THE FULL CUTTER . . . . . .

v 11 -

C P. No. 138 (15,107) A.R.C. Technical Report



# MINISTRY OF SUPPLY .

# AERONAUTICAL RÉSEARCH COUNCIL CURRENT PAPERS

# The Theory of Aerofoils with Hinged Flaps in Two-dimensional Compressible Flow

By

F/Lt. L. C. Woods, M.A., D.Phil., A.F.R.Ae.S., (R N.Z.A.F. Scientific Defence Corps, seconded to the Aerodynamics Division of the N.P.L.)

LONDON HER MAJESTY'S STATIONERY OFFICE

1953

Price 8s 6d net

•

C.P. No. 138.

The Theory of Aerofoils with Hinged Flaps in Two-dimensional Compressible Flow - By -F/Lt. L. C. Woods, M.A., D.Phil., A.F.R.Ae.S., (R.N.Z.A.F. Scientific Defence Corps, seconded to the Aerodynamics Division of the N.P.L.)

7th August, 1952

### Summary

Recently published methods<sup>1</sup> of deducing practical values of the various control characteristics from a knowledge of their theoretical values increases the importance of the theory of twodimensional controls in an inviscid compressible fluid. The classical work of Glauert<sup>2</sup> neglects compressibility and aerofoil thickness, and while the more recent work of Goldstein and Preston<sup>3</sup> includes thickness effects it ignores compressibility. Furthermore this latter method achieves accuracy for thick aerofoils at the cost of a complicated method of calculation.

This paper presents a theory of two-dimensional controls in compressible flow which is almost as simple to apply as Glauert's theory and is as accurate as the method of Ref. 3. An example given by Goldstein and Preston is troated by the author's method to illustrate this point.

## 1. <u>Introduction</u>

## Definition of Symbols

- (x,y) the physical plane of zero incidence, with an Argand plane
  - $z = x + 1y, 1 = \sqrt{-1}$
- (n,s) distances measured normal to and along a streamline respectively
- $(q, \theta)$  volocity vector in polar co-ordinates
  - a absolute angle of incidence, i.e., measured from the no-lift position
  - $\eta$  flap deflection, measured positively for a downward movement of the flap
- $a, \eta$  as suffixes to denote values at absolute incidences of a and flap deflections of  $\sqrt{\eta}$
- $\infty$  as a suffix to donote values at an infinite distance from the aerofoil
- $U = q_{\infty}$
- $\gamma$  ratio of specific heats

P,Po/

Published with permission of the Director, National Physical Laboratory.

- $\rho, \rho_0$  local and stagnation densities respectively
- $(\phi, \psi)$  plane of velocity equipotentials ( $\phi$  = constant) and streamlines ( $\psi$  = constant) for zero circulation ( $\alpha$  = 0), where

$$d\phi = q ds, d\psi = --q dn$$
 ... (1)

M local Mach number

$$\beta \equiv (1 - M^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

m is defined by the equation

$$m = (1 - M^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\rho_0}{\rho} = \beta \frac{\rho_0}{\rho} \dots (2)$$

r is defined by the equation

$$\mathbf{r} = \int_{q=U}^{q} \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{m} + \mathbf{m}_{\infty}) \frac{\rho}{\rho_{0}} d\left(\log \frac{U}{q}\right) = \mathbf{r} \left(\frac{q}{U}\right) \qquad \dots (3)$$

w is defined by the equation

$$w = \phi + im_{cc}\psi \qquad \dots \qquad (4)$$

 $z_{\alpha}$  the physical plane for an absolute incidence of  $\alpha$ , i.e.,

$$z_{\alpha} = e^{2\alpha} z \qquad \dots (5)$$

 $(\delta, y)$  elliptic co-ordinates defined by

$$w = -2a \cosh \zeta = -2a \cosh (\delta + iy);$$
 ... (6)

the aerofoil surface is  $\psi = 0$ ,  $-2a \leq \phi \leq 2a$ , or  $\delta = 0$ , when

$$\phi = -2a \cos y \qquad \dots (7)$$

c aerofoil chord when  $\eta = 0$ 

- (1-E)c the contour of the flap when undeflected meets the upper and lower surfaces of the aerofoil at x = (1 - E)c, thus Ec is the "flap chord"
- (1 E')c distance of hinge from leading edge of the aerofoil  $(E \neq E')$  a'/

- 3 -
- a' incidence of the front part of the aerofoil measured from the  $\eta = 0$  chord line
- $-\alpha_0, -\alpha_0'$  no-lift angles for  $\eta = 0$  and  $\eta \neq 0$  respectively, thus

$$\alpha = \alpha' + \alpha_0, \eta = 0 \qquad \dots (8)$$

and  $\alpha = \alpha^{\dagger} + \alpha_{0}^{\dagger}, \eta \neq 0$  ... (9)

- C pressure coefficient
- CL lift coefficient
- C<sub>10</sub> moment coefficient
- $C_{\rm H}$  hinge moment coefficient, such that the hinge moment is

$$\frac{1}{2} \rho_{\infty} U^2 E^2 c^2 C_{\text{H}}$$

$$a_0, a_1, a_2$$
  $a_0 = (C_L)_{\alpha'=\eta=0}$ ,  $a_1 = \left(\frac{\partial G_L}{\partial \alpha}\right)_{\alpha'=\eta=0}$ ,  $a_2 = \left(\frac{\partial C_L}{\partial \eta}\right)_{\alpha'=\eta=0}$ 

whence to first order in  $\alpha$ ' and  $\eta$ 

$$C_{L} = a_{0} + a_{1} a' + a_{2} \eta \qquad \dots (10)$$

$$h_{m_{0}} \qquad h = -\left(\frac{\partial C_{m}}{\partial C_{L}}\right)_{C_{L}} = \eta = 0 \qquad \dots (10)$$

i.e., to first order

$$C_{\rm m} = -h C_{\rm L} - m_0 \eta \qquad \dots (11)$$

$$b_0, b_1, b_2$$
  $b_0 = (C_H)_{\alpha'=\eta=0}$ ,  $b_1 = \left(\frac{\partial C_H}{\partial \alpha}\right)_{\alpha'=\eta=0}$ ,  $b_2 = \left(\frac{\partial C_H}{\partial \eta}\right)_{\alpha'=\eta=0}$ 

i.e., to first order

$$C_{H} = b_{0} + b_{1} \alpha' + b_{2} \eta \qquad \dots (12)$$
  
b b = -  $\left(\frac{\partial C_{H}}{\partial \eta}\right)_{C_{L} = \eta = 0}$ .

With/

With the aid of (10) equation (12) can be written

$$C_{H} = b_{0} - \frac{b_{1}a_{0}}{a_{1}} + \frac{b_{1}}{a_{1}} C_{L} - \left(\frac{b_{1}a_{2} - a_{1}b_{2}}{a_{1}}\right)\eta,$$

so that

This paper gives methods of calculating the quantities  $a_0, a_1, a_2, m_0, h, b_0, b_1, b_2$  and b defined above, in subsonic twodimensional flow. Compressibility effects on these parameters are calculated by a theory more accurate than linear perturbation theory, but not valid above the critical Mach number. The theory is applicable to aerofoils of moderate thickness (say up to 20% thick) and for small values of  $\eta$ .

An exact method for the calculation of  $a_0$ ,  $a_1$  and h for aerofoils of any thickness in incompressible flow is given in Appendix I. The exact theory of the hinged flat plate in incompressible flow but without restrictions on the value of  $\eta$  is given in Appendix IV. A summary of formulae is given in Section 4.

The independent variables of the theory to be given in the next section are  $\delta$  and  $\gamma$  defined by equations (4) and (6), while the dependent variables are r (equation(3)) and  $\theta$ . The quantity r can be readily evaluated as a function of q/U. It has been shown (see Ref. 5) that when the approximation

is admissible, r and  $\theta$  are conjugate harmonic functions in the w plane. (The theory is outlined in Appendix V for the reader's convenience.) Equation (14) and an equation similar to (3) were first used by von Kármán<sup>6</sup> to show that  $\phi$  and  $\psi$  are approximately harmonic functions in the  $(r, \theta)$  plane. Although the theory given below is not really valid when  $M_{co}$  is greater than that critical value corresponding to the first appearance of some speed locally (c.f. equation (2)), it can be still applied with some confidence to calculate the subsome field when small supersonic patches exist. This point is important in the theory of controls as a high but localized velocity peak does occur at the flap hinge on the upper surface when  $\eta$  is positive.

The complex number defined by

$$\mathbf{f} = \mathbf{r} + \mathbf{i}\boldsymbol{\theta} \qquad \dots (15)$$

is approximately an analytic function of w (r and  $\theta$  being conjugate harmonic functions), but if the flow is incompressible,  $r = \log(U/q)$ , w =  $\phi + i\psi$ , and so

$$f = \log \begin{pmatrix} U \\ - e^{i\theta} \\ q \end{pmatrix} = \log \begin{pmatrix} U dz \\ - - \\ dw \end{pmatrix}$$
, ...(16)

whence/

whence it follows that f is exactly an analytic function of w. Thus the theory of Section 2 (but not of Section 3) will be exact in incompressible flow.

## 2. Basic Mathematical Theory

The theory of this section is quite general and applies to aerofoils with or without deflected flaps.

If  $\theta$  and  $\theta_{\alpha}$  are measured from the direction of flow at infinity, i.e., if  $\theta_{\alpha} = \theta_{\alpha,\infty} = 0$ , it follows from equations (3) and (15) that

$$\mathbf{f}_{\infty} = \mathbf{f}_{\alpha \infty} = 0 \,. \tag{17}$$

Now f is an analytic function of w and therefore (see equation (6)) it is an analytic function of  $\zeta$ . In fact, as shown in Ref. 4,\*

$$f(\zeta) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\sqrt{x} = -\pi}^{\pi} \log \sinh \frac{1}{2} (i\gamma^{x} - \zeta) \, d\theta(\gamma^{x}), \qquad \dots (18)$$

where  $\theta(\gamma^{\texttt{H}})$  is the value of  $\theta$  on the aerofoil surface. Equation (18) is the no-lift solution. If the aerofoil is placed at a small absolute angle of incidence a, then on the Joukowski Hypothesis, as in Ref. 5,

$$f_{\alpha}(\zeta) = f(\zeta) - i\alpha - \log \frac{1}{2}(\zeta + 2i\alpha)$$
  
 $\sinh \frac{1}{2}\zeta$  ...(19)

in which it is assumed that the trailing edge is at  $y = \pi$ , and the stream direction is from  $x = -\infty$  (see Fig. 1). The form of equation (19) shows that the effect of incidence on the front stagnation point is to displace it from y = 0 to  $y = -2\alpha$ .

Important auxiliary equations can be deduced by considering the form  $f_{\alpha}$  takes near infinity. From equations (18) and (19) it follows that

$$f = + \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbf{y}^{\mathbf{H}} = -\pi}^{\pi} \left( \frac{1}{2} \zeta + \log 2 \right) d\theta(\mathbf{y}^{\mathbf{H}}) - \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbf{y}^{\mathbf{H}} = -\pi}^{\pi} \mathbf{y}^{\mathbf{H}} d\theta(\mathbf{y}^{\mathbf{H}}) + e^{+\zeta} \left\{ 2ie^{+i\mathbf{Q}} \sin \alpha + \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbf{y}^{\mathbf{H}} = -\pi}^{\pi} e^{-2\mathbf{y}^{\mathbf{H}}} d\theta(\mathbf{y}^{\mathbf{H}}) \right\} + e^{+2\zeta} \left\{ ie^{+2i\alpha} \sin 2\alpha + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbf{y}^{\mathbf{H}} = -\pi}^{\pi} e^{-2i\mathbf{y}^{\mathbf{H}}} d\theta(\mathbf{y}^{\mathbf{H}}) \right\} + O(e^{+3\zeta}) ,$$

Comparing/

\*See also Appendix V.

Comparing this with equation (17) we conclude that

and

$$\int_{\gamma^{\mathrm{H}}=-\pi}^{\pi} y^{\mathrm{H}} \, \mathrm{d}\theta(\gamma^{\mathrm{H}}) = - \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \theta(\gamma^{\mathrm{H}}) \, \mathrm{d}\gamma^{\mathrm{H}} = 0. \qquad \dots (21)$$

Equation (20) is the obvious requirement that  $\theta(y^{\infty}) = \theta(2\pi + y^{\infty})$ , while equation (21) fixes the orientation of the aerofoil for the no-lift position. If  $\theta$  is measured from the aerofoil chord then  $\theta = \theta + \alpha_0$ , and (21) yields

$$a_{0} = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{\theta(\mathbf{y}^{\mathbf{M}}) \, \mathrm{d} \mathbf{y}^{\mathbf{M}}}{-\pi} \qquad \dots (22)$$

which fixes the value of the no-lift angle.

From equation (6),  $w \rightarrow \omega$  implies that  $\zeta \rightarrow -\infty$ , and we find

$$e^{+\zeta} = -\frac{a}{w} + 0 \begin{pmatrix} a \\ - \\ w \end{pmatrix}^3,$$

and so the expansion for  $f_{\alpha}$  can be written

$$\mathbf{f}_{\alpha} = -\frac{a}{w} \left\{ 2ie^{\pm i\alpha} \sin \alpha + \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\gamma^{H}=-\pi}^{\pi} e^{-i\gamma^{H}} d\theta(\gamma^{H}) \right\} + \left( \left( \frac{a}{w} \right)^{2} \left\{ 1e^{\pm 2i\alpha} \sin 2\alpha + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\gamma^{H}=-\pi}^{\pi} e^{-2i\gamma^{H}} d\theta(\gamma^{H}) \right\} + 0 \left( \frac{a}{w} \right)^{2} \cdot \cdots (23)$$

From this equation we conclude that

$$\int_{\gamma^{H}=-\pi}^{\pi} \cos \gamma^{H} d\theta(\gamma^{H}) = \int_{\gamma^{H}=-\pi}^{\pi} \sin \gamma^{H} d\theta(\gamma^{H}) = 0, \qquad \dots (24)$$

otherwise when a = 0, f will have a term  $0\begin{pmatrix} a \\ - \\ w \end{pmatrix}$ , and since from equation (3)

$$\frac{q}{v} \stackrel{e}{=} e^{-r/\beta_{\infty}}, \qquad \dots (25)$$

$$\frac{q}{v} / v / \dots (25)$$

q/U will be of the form 1 + A/|w| for large |w|, and a liftproducing circulation will exist. (An alternative proof for the case of incompressible flow appears in Appendix I.)

Finally it follows from equations (1) and (7) that on the aerofoil surface

$$\frac{sU}{2a} = \int_{0}^{y} \frac{U \sin y}{q} \dots (26)$$

where the origin of s is taken at the front stagnation point.

This completes the account of the basic mathematical theory. The numerical application of this theory to the calculation of the compressible flow about aerofoils is given in Ref. 5.

## 3. The Aerofoil with a Hinged Flap at Small Angles of Deflection

The theory to be given below is only valid for small values of  $\mathbf{n}$ , the flap deflection angle. Unfortunately a simple theory valid for large values of  $\mathbf{r}$  (say > 20°) is not possible, except in the case of a hinged flat plate (Appendix IV). In general if  $\eta$  is large the only recourse is to find the flow about the aerofoil and flap ab initio for each value of  $\mathbf{r}$ . The author's polygon method<sup>5</sup> described in the previous section, would be very suitable for such a calculation. However, as shown below, a relatively simple theory applicable even to comparatively thick aerofoils can be developed when terms  $O(\eta^2)$  can be neglected.

#### 3.1 The Velocity Distribution

Subscripts a and  $\eta$  will be used to denote values when the aerofoll is at an incidence absolute a with a flap deflection  $\eta$ , while the absence of subscripts denote the case  $\alpha = \eta = 0$ . Consider the aerofoll, for which  $\alpha = \eta = 0$ , shown in Fig. 2(a). We shall suppose that the solution has been obtained for this case, and that therefore we have or can deduce q/U and s/c as functions of  $\gamma$ (defined by equation (7) and in Fig. 1). If the polygon method has been used to find the solution, q/U and s/c will be immediately available as functions of  $\gamma$  (see example (b) in Section 5); otherwise suppose q/U is given as a function of s, then the equation

$$\frac{\phi}{2a} = -\cos y = \begin{pmatrix} cU \\ -z \\ 2a \end{pmatrix} \int_{0}^{s/c} \frac{q}{-d} \begin{pmatrix} s \\ -z \\ c \end{pmatrix} - 1, \qquad \dots (27)$$

which follows from (1) and (7), enables s/c = s/c(y), and hence q/U = q/U(y) to be calculated. The constant (cU/2a) must satisfy

$$1 \doteq \begin{pmatrix} cU \\ -2a \end{pmatrix} \int_{0}^{p/c} \frac{q}{-d} \begin{pmatrix} s \\ -c \end{pmatrix},$$

where p is the perimeter distance from the leading to the trailing edge.

In/

, - 8 -

In Fig. 2 the flap surface is shown starting at C, where  $y = \lambda_0$ , and F, where  $y = -\lambda_1$ . When  $\eta = 0$ , each of C and F correspond to a value of x/c of 1 - E. The hinge will be taken to be at x/c = 1 - E', and of course for thin aerofoils  $E \neq E'$ .

The most important increments  $(\theta_p, \operatorname{say})$  to  $\theta$  due to the deflection of the flap are shown in Fig. 3. They are due to (i) the front stagnation point shifts to some point B, where  $\gamma = \lambda$  say, and consequently the flow direction between A and B is reversed, i.e.,  $\theta$  is decreased by  $\pi$  in  $0 \leq \gamma \leq \lambda$ , (ii) the deflection of the flap reduces  $\theta$  by  $\eta$  in  $-\pi \leq \gamma \leq -\lambda_1$ ,  $\lambda_0 \leq \gamma \leq \pi$ , and (iii)  $\theta$  is increased by  $\alpha_0' - \alpha_0$  in  $-\pi \leq \gamma \leq \pi$  due to a change in the no-lift angle from  $\alpha_0$  to  $\alpha_0'$ . Unfortunately these are not the only increments to  $\theta$ , for the modification to the velocity distribution which they produce (equation (39) below) slightly distorts the relation between s and  $\gamma$  (equation (26)) and consequently causes a slight change ( $\Delta\theta$ ) in  $\theta(\gamma)$ . We can thus write  $\theta$  for  $\eta \neq 0$  as

$$\theta_n = \theta_0 + \theta_p + \Delta \theta$$
,

where  $\theta_0$  is the value of  $\theta$  when  $\eta = 0$ . For a thin aerofoil the distortion in the (s, y) relation will result in quite small values of  $\Delta\theta$  away from the nose of the aerofoil as  $\Delta\theta = \Delta s/R$ , where  $\Delta s$  is the change in s. The largest values of  $\Delta\theta$  will be near the nose, but these will have a comparatively small effect on the velocity distribution over the flap, and therefore on  $C_{\rm H}$ . Thus only a small error will be introduced (except in the velocity distribution near the nose) by writing

$$\theta_{\eta} = \theta_{0} + \theta_{p}$$
. ...(28)

Now  $\theta_0$  satisfies equations (20), (21) and (24), and since  $\theta_\eta$  must also satisfy these equations, this must also be true of  $\theta_p$ . The increment  $\theta_p$  is a step function with jumps in value as set out in the following table:-

у	-π	-λ <u>1</u>	ολ		λο	π	
Jump in $\theta_p$	$-\eta + \alpha_0^{\dagger} - \alpha_0$	η	-π	π	-η	$\eta - \alpha_0^{\dagger} + \alpha_0$	

and consequently the Stieltjes integrals in equations (21) and (24) degenerate to

$$2\pi(\eta - \alpha_0^{\dagger} + \alpha_0) - \eta(\lambda_1 + \lambda_0) + \pi\lambda = 0 \qquad \dots (29)$$

$$\eta(\cos \lambda_0 - \cos \lambda_1) + \pi(1 - \cos \lambda) = 0 \qquad \dots (30)$$

$$\eta(\sin \lambda_0 + \sin \lambda_1) - \pi \sin \lambda = 0. \qquad \dots (31)$$

Equation (20) is obviously satisfied by  $\theta_{\rm p}$ . Equations (30) and (31) yield

$$\lambda_0 - \lambda_1 = \lambda \qquad \dots (32)$$

and

$$\sin \frac{1}{2}\lambda = -\sin \lambda_{\rm m} \qquad \dots (33)$$

 $\lambda_{\rm m} = \frac{1}{2} (\lambda_{\rm o} + \lambda_{\rm i}) ,$ ...(34) where

These equations imply that we cannot fix the positions of C and F (Fig. 2) independently. It is convenient to regard  $\eta$  and  $\lambda_m$  as the dependent variables. Equation (29) fixes the value of  $(\alpha_0^{\dagger} - \alpha_0)$ , the change in no-lift angle due to the flap deflection. Using equation (33) and ignoring terms  $O(\eta^2)$  we find

$$\alpha_{0}^{\prime} - \alpha_{0} = \eta \left( 1 - \frac{\lambda_{11}}{\pi} + \frac{\sin \lambda_{m}}{\pi} \right), \qquad \dots (35)$$

 $\begin{pmatrix} \partial (\alpha_0^{\dagger} - \alpha_0) \\ \hline \partial \eta \end{pmatrix}_{m=0} = 1 - \frac{\lambda_m}{\pi} + \frac{\sin \lambda_m}{\pi} .$ ...(36)

In Appendix III it is shown that these equations are exact for incompressible flow about a flat hinged plate,

Substitution of equation (28) in equation (18) yields

$$f_{0,\eta}(\zeta) = f(\zeta) - i(\eta - \alpha_0' + \alpha_0) + \frac{\eta}{-\log \frac{1}{2}(\zeta - i\lambda_0)} + \log \frac{1}{2}\zeta$$
  
$$\pi \qquad \sinh \frac{1}{2}(\zeta + i\lambda_1) \qquad \sinh \frac{1}{2}(\zeta - i\lambda)$$

If the aerofoil is now placed at an absolute incidence of a the front stagnation point will be displaced from  $y = \lambda$  to  $y = \lambda - 2\alpha$ , and hence (c.f. equation (19)) we will have

$$f_{\alpha_{g}\eta}(\zeta) = f(\zeta) - i(\eta - \alpha_{0}' + \alpha_{0} + \alpha) + \frac{\eta}{-\log \frac{1}{2}(\zeta - i\lambda_{0})}$$

$$\pi \qquad \sinh \frac{1}{2}(\zeta + i\lambda_{1})$$

On the aerofoil surface,  $\delta = 0$ , and equation (37) becomes, with the aid of (32) and (34)

$$r_{\alpha,\eta}(\gamma) = r(\gamma) + \frac{\eta}{\pi} \frac{\sin \frac{1}{2}(\gamma - \frac{1}{2}\lambda - \lambda_m)}{\sin \frac{1}{2}(\gamma - \frac{1}{2}\lambda + \lambda_m)} + \frac{\sin \frac{1}{2}\gamma}{\sin \frac{1}{2}(\gamma + 2\alpha - \lambda)}, \dots (38)$$
where/

whence

- 10 -

where  $\lambda$  and  $\lambda_m$  are related by equation (33). The velocity distribution now follows from equation (3). At low Mach numbers the approximation (25) is valid, when equation (38) yields

$$\frac{q_{\alpha,\eta}(y)}{U} = \frac{q}{U} \left\{ \frac{\sin \frac{1}{2}(y - \frac{1}{2}\lambda + \lambda_m)}{\sin \frac{1}{2}(y - \frac{1}{2}\lambda - \lambda_m)} \right\}^{\eta/\pi\beta_{\infty}} \left\{ \frac{\sin \frac{1}{2}(y + 2\alpha - \lambda)}{\sin \frac{1}{2}y} \right\}^{1/\beta_{\infty}} . ...(39)$$

In the calculation of the various derivatives appearing in equations (10), (11) and (12) it will be convenient at first to regard  $\alpha$  and  $\eta$  as independent variables. Subsequently  $\alpha$  will be replaced by (equations (9) and (35))

$$\alpha' = \alpha' + \alpha_0 + \eta \left( 1 - \frac{\lambda_m}{\pi} + \frac{\sin \lambda_m}{\pi} \right), \qquad \dots (40)$$

so that a' and  $\eta$  become the independent variables.

# 3.2 Calculation of C<sub>L</sub>, a<sub>0</sub>, a<sub>1</sub>, a<sub>2</sub>

The lift coefficient,  $C_L$ , is defined by the contour integral taken round the aerofoil surface

$$C_{\rm L} = -\frac{1}{c} \oint C_{\rm p} \cos \theta \, ds$$
,

where the pressure coefficient  $C_p$  is a function of  $\gamma,~\eta$  and  $\alpha$  . Thus, since

$$\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & & \cos \theta \\
-\cos \theta \, ds &= & ---- \, d\phi \\
c & & c \, q
\end{array}
\left(\begin{array}{c}
2a \\
-- \\
Uc \\
\end{array}\right) \left(\begin{array}{c}
U \cos \theta \\
---- \\
q
\end{array}\right) \sin y \, dy,$$

$$C_{L} = -\begin{pmatrix} 2a \\ -- \\ Uc \end{pmatrix} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} C_{p} \sin y \begin{pmatrix} U \cos \theta \\ ---- \\ q \end{pmatrix} dy \qquad \dots (41)$$

If v is the ratio of the specific heats,  $C_{\rm p}$  is given by

$$C_{p} = \frac{2}{\nu M_{\infty}^{2}} \left\{ \left[ 1 - \frac{\nu - 1}{2} M_{\infty}^{2} \left\{ \left( \frac{q_{\alpha}}{2} \eta \right)^{3} - 1 \right\} \right]^{\nu/\nu - 1} - 1 \right\},$$

from which it follows that

$$\frac{\partial C_{p}}{\partial (q/U)} = -2 \left(\frac{q}{U}\right) \frac{\rho}{\rho_{c3}} \cdot \dots (42)$$
It/

It is easily doduced from equations (3), (33) and (38) that

$$\begin{pmatrix} \partial(q/U) \\ \hline \partial_{\alpha} \\ a_{=\eta \pm 0} \end{pmatrix}_{\alpha = \eta \pm 0} = \frac{1}{\beta_{\infty}} \begin{pmatrix} q \\ U \\ \hline U \\ m + m_{\gamma} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 2m_{\gamma\gamma} \\ \hline p \\ \rho \\ cot \frac{1}{2}Y,$$

and

•

$$\left(\frac{\partial(q/U)}{\partial \eta}\right)_{\alpha=\eta=0} = -\frac{1}{\beta_{cc}} \left(\frac{q}{U}\right) \left(\frac{2m_{\lambda}}{m+m_{\lambda}}\right) \frac{\rho_{\lambda}}{\rho} \left(\frac{1}{\pi} \log \frac{\sin \frac{1}{2}(y-\lambda_{m})}{\sin \frac{1}{2}(y+\lambda_{m})} + \frac{\sin \lambda_{m}}{\pi} \cot \frac{1}{2}y\right),$$

and hence from equation (42)

$$\begin{pmatrix} \partial C_p \\ \hline \partial \alpha \end{pmatrix}_{\alpha=\eta=0} = -\frac{2}{\beta_{c0}} \chi \begin{pmatrix} q \\ \hline U \end{pmatrix}^2 \cot \frac{1}{2} \gamma, \qquad \dots (43)$$

and

$$\left(\frac{\partial C_p}{\partial \eta}\right)_{\alpha=\eta=0} = \frac{2}{\beta_{\infty}} \chi \left(\frac{q}{U}\right)^2 \left\{\frac{1}{\tau} \log \frac{\sin \frac{1}{2}(\gamma - \lambda_m)}{\sin \frac{1}{2}(\gamma + \lambda_m)} + \frac{\sin \lambda_m}{\tau} \cot \frac{1}{2}\gamma\right\}, \dots (44)$$

where

$$\chi = \frac{2m_{oo}}{m + m_{co}},$$

is a function of q/U. This function is given in Table 2 of Ref. 5 for  $M_{\odot} = 0.5$ , 0.7 and 0.79. Differentiating equation (41) with respect to a and  $\eta$ , and making use of equations (43) and (44), we find

$$\begin{pmatrix} \partial C_{L} \\ --- \\ \partial \alpha \end{pmatrix}_{\alpha=\eta=0}^{2} = \frac{2}{\beta_{00}} \begin{pmatrix} 4a \\ -- \\ Uc \end{pmatrix} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \chi \begin{pmatrix} q \\ - \cos \theta \\ U \end{pmatrix} \cos^{2} \frac{1}{2} \gamma \, d\gamma, \qquad \dots (45)$$

and

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial C_{L}}{\partial \eta} \end{pmatrix}_{\alpha=\eta=0} = -\frac{1}{\beta_{,0}} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{4a}{Uc} \end{pmatrix} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \chi \left( \frac{q}{U} \cos \theta \right) \sin \gamma \\ \times \left\{ \frac{1}{-1} \log \frac{\sin \frac{1}{2}(\gamma - \lambda_{m})}{\sin \frac{1}{2}(\gamma + \lambda_{m})} + \frac{\sin \lambda_{m}}{\pi} \cot \frac{1}{2}\gamma \right\} d\gamma .$$

$$\dots (46)$$

If the polygon method of calculating q/U has been used, (4a/Uc), q-( $\gamma$ ) and  $\theta(\gamma)$  will be known,  $\chi(\gamma)$  can be readily deduced from tables U such as those given in Ref. 5, and so the integral in (45) can be evaluated numerically without difficulty. A calculation of this type appears in Ref. 5. A simple approximation can be found by writing

$$\chi = -\frac{q}{U} \cos \theta = 1 \qquad \dots (47)$$

in the integrals of equations (45) and (46). We find

$$\begin{pmatrix} \partial C_{L} \\ \hline \partial \alpha \end{pmatrix}_{\alpha=\eta=0} = \frac{2\pi}{\beta_{\infty}} \begin{pmatrix} 4a \\ \hline U_{c} \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \dots (48)$$

and 
$$\left(\frac{\partial C_{L}}{\partial \eta}\right)_{\alpha=\eta=0} = 0$$
. ...(49)

Equation (49) is in any case obvious since  $C_{I}$ , depends only on  $\alpha$ . From

$$C_{L} = \alpha \left(\frac{\partial C_{L}}{\partial \alpha}\right)_{\alpha=\eta=0} + \eta \left(\frac{\partial C_{L}}{\partial \eta}\right)_{\alpha=\eta=0},$$

and equations (40), (48) and (49) it follows that

$$C_{L} = \frac{2\pi}{\beta_{c}} \left( \frac{4a}{Uc} \right) \left\{ \alpha_{0} + \alpha' + \eta \left( 1 - \frac{\lambda_{m}}{\pi} + \frac{\sin \lambda_{m}}{\pi} \right) \right\}.$$

A comparison of this equation with equation (10) yields

$$a_{0} = \frac{2\pi}{\beta_{\infty}} \begin{pmatrix} 4a \\ -a \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} a_{0} \qquad \dots (50)$$

$$a_{1} = \frac{2\pi}{\beta_{\infty}} \begin{pmatrix} 4a \\ -a \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \qquad \dots (51)$$

and

$$a_{2}/a_{1} = 1 - \frac{\lambda_{m}}{\pi} + \frac{\sin \lambda_{m}}{\pi} \qquad \dots (52)$$

It is well-known that for thick aerofoils in incompressible flow equations (50) and (51) are exact (see Appendix I), while in Appendix IV it is shown that equation (52) is exact for the flat plate in incompressible flow. An approximation for the parameter (4a/Uc), which occurs throughout the theory, is given in Appendix II.

•

# 3.3 Calculation of $C_m$ , h and $m_o$

The equation corresponding to (41) for the moment coefficient about the leading edge is

$$C_{m} = \begin{pmatrix} 2a \\ - \\ U_{c} \end{pmatrix} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} C_{p} \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ - + - \tan \theta \\ c & c \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} U \\ - \cos \theta \\ q \end{pmatrix} \sin y \, dy,$$

where x/c is measured from the leading edge. Differentiating this equation with respect to  $\alpha$  and  $\eta$  and making use of equations (43) and (44) we find

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial C_m}{\partial \alpha} \\ \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial \alpha} \\ \frac{\partial q}{\partial \alpha} = \eta = 0 \end{pmatrix} = -\frac{2}{\beta_{00}} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} a \\ \frac{1}{2} c \\ \frac{1$$

and

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial C_{m}}{\partial \eta} \end{pmatrix}_{\alpha=\eta=0} = \frac{1}{\beta_{\infty}} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\mu_{a}}{U_{c}} \end{pmatrix} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \chi \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ - + - \tan \theta \\ c & c \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} q \\ - \cos \theta \\ U \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\times \left\{ \frac{1}{\pi} \log \frac{\sin \frac{1}{2}(\gamma - \lambda_{m})}{\sin \frac{1}{2}(\gamma + \lambda_{m})} + \frac{\sin \lambda_{m}}{\pi} \cot \frac{1}{2}\gamma \right\} \sin \gamma \, d\gamma ,$$

which can be evaluated directly when q/U has been calculated by the polygon method.

Approximations to these equations can be found by writing  $Ux = 2a + \phi$ , which leads to

$$\frac{x}{c} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 4a \\ -- \\ Uc \end{pmatrix} (1 - \cos \gamma),$$

ignoring the very small " $\stackrel{y}{-}$  tan  $\theta$  " term, and using equation (47). The results are

and 
$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial C_{m}}{\partial \alpha} \\ \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial \alpha = \eta = 0} \\ \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial \alpha} \\ \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial \alpha = \eta = 0} \\ \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial \alpha} \\ \frac{$$

but/

but  $C_{\rm L}$  =  $a_1 a$ , and so it follows from equations (48), (53) and the definitions of h and m<sub>o</sub> that<sup>+</sup>

$$h = \frac{1}{4} \begin{pmatrix} 4a \\ -c \\ Uc \end{pmatrix}$$

$$m_{0} = \frac{1}{2\beta_{cJ}} \begin{pmatrix} 4a \\ -c \\ Uc \end{pmatrix}^{2} \sin \lambda_{m} (1 - \cos \lambda_{m}) .$$
(54)

By comparison with the equation for  $C_{L1}$  given in Section 3.3 it is clear that the coefficient of the hinge moment,  $C_{H1}$ , is given by<sup>++</sup>

$$C_{\rm H} = \begin{pmatrix} 2a \\ - \\ Uc \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{E^2} \left( \int_{-\pi}^{-\lambda_1} + \int_{\lambda_0}^{\pi} \right) C_p \begin{cases} x \\ - \\ c \end{cases} = \frac{y}{c} + \frac{y}{c} + \frac{y}{c} + \frac{y}{c} \\ - \frac{y}{c} \end{cases} \left( \int_{-\pi}^{U} \frac{y}{c} + \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{y}{c} \right) S_1 + \frac{y}{c} + \frac{y}{c} \\ - \frac{y}{c}$$

the hinge being at x/c = 1 - E', where  $y = \lambda_{11}^{*}$ , say. From equations (32) and (34),  $\pi \rightarrow 0$  implies  $\lambda_1 \rightarrow \lambda_0 \rightarrow \lambda_m$ . Thus

$$(C_{\rm H})_{\alpha=\eta=0} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{4a}{U_{\rm C}} \right) \frac{1}{E^2} \left( \int_{-\pi}^{-\lambda_{\rm II}} + \int_{\lambda_{\rm II}}^{\pi} \right) C_{\rm p} \left\{ \frac{x}{c} - 1 + E' + \frac{y}{c} \tan \theta \right\} \left( \frac{U}{q} \cos \theta \right) \sin y \, dy,$$

$$\dots (56)$$

which has to be calculated numerically just as in the exact treatment of equation (45)

Differentiating/

<sup>+</sup>In incompressible flow this equation for h gives results accurate to within 0.01c provided the maximum thickness is less than 0.1c and occurs in the range 0.4c  $\leq x \leq 0.6c$ . A more accurate equation for h in incompressible flow is given in Appendix III.

<sup>++</sup>Note that the "non-dimensionalizing" distance for  $C_{
m H}$  is Ec, not E'c.

Differentiating equation (55) we find, with the aid of equations (43) and  $(l_{44})$ , that

$$\begin{pmatrix} \partial C_{H} \\ \neg \alpha \\ \partial \alpha \end{pmatrix}_{\alpha=\eta=0} = -\frac{2}{\beta_{co}E^{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 4\omega \\ Uc \end{pmatrix} \left( \int_{-\pi}^{-\lambda_{m}} + \int_{\lambda_{m}}^{\pi} \right) \chi \left\{ \begin{matrix} x \\ c \end{matrix} - 1 - E^{\dagger} + \frac{y}{c} \tan \theta \\ \begin{matrix} z \\ \end{matrix} \right\}$$

$$\times \left( \begin{matrix} q \\ \neg \cos \theta \\ \end{matrix} \right) \cos^{2} \frac{1}{2} y \, dy$$
and<sup>H</sup>

$$\left( \frac{\partial C_{H}}{\partial \eta} \right)_{\alpha=\eta=0} = \frac{1}{\beta_{\infty}} \left( \frac{\mu_{a}}{U_{c}} \right) \left( \int_{-\pi}^{-\lambda_{m}} + \int_{\lambda_{m}}^{\pi} \right) \chi \left\{ \frac{x}{c} - 1 - E' + \frac{y}{c} \tan \theta \right\} \left( \frac{q}{U} \cos \theta \right) \sin \gamma$$

$$\times \left\{ \frac{\sin \lambda_{m}}{\pi} \cot \frac{1}{2}y + \frac{1}{\pi} \log \frac{\sin \frac{1}{2}(y - \lambda_{m})}{\sin \frac{1}{2}(y + \lambda_{m})} \right\} d\gamma \quad .$$

$$\cdots (57)$$

Equations (57) can be evaluated numerically, but for thin aerofoils travelling at speeds such that  $M_{\infty}$  is well below the critical Mach number the following approximations will be sufficiently accurate. We write

$$\lambda \left\{ \frac{x}{c} - 1 - E' + \frac{y}{c} \tan \theta \right\} \left( \frac{q}{U} \cos \theta \right) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{l_{\mu}a}{Uc} \right) (\cos \lambda_m' - \cos \gamma),$$

which results in

$$\begin{pmatrix} \partial C_{\rm H} \\ \hline \partial \alpha \end{pmatrix}_{\alpha=\eta=0} = -\frac{1}{\beta_{\rm c} E^2} \begin{pmatrix} 4a \\ \neg \\ Uc \end{pmatrix}^2 \\ \times \left\{ \sin \lambda_{\rm m} (1 + \frac{1}{2} \cos \lambda_{\rm m} - \cos \lambda_{\rm m}^{\star}) + (\pi - \lambda_{\rm m}) (\cos \lambda_{\rm m}^{\star} - \frac{1}{2}) \right\},$$

and

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial C_{H}}{\partial \eta} \end{pmatrix}_{\alpha=\eta=0} = -\frac{1}{2\pi\beta} \left( \frac{4\alpha}{Uc} \right)^{2} \sin \lambda_{m} \\ \times \left\{ (\pi - \lambda_{m}) \left( 1 - \cos \lambda_{m} \right) - \sin \lambda_{m} (1 + \cos \lambda_{m} - 2 \cos \lambda_{m}^{t}) \right\}.$$

<sup>M</sup>This expression neglects a very small term due to the dependence of the limits of the integrals in equation (55) on  $\eta$ .

Now/

Now

$$C_{\rm H} = (C_{\rm H})_{\alpha=\eta=0} + \alpha \left(\frac{\partial C_{\rm H}}{\partial \alpha}\right)_{\alpha=\eta=0} + \eta \left(\frac{\partial C_{\rm H}}{\partial \eta}\right)_{\alpha=\eta=0}$$

and using equation (40) we have

$$C_{H} = \left\{ (C_{H})_{\alpha = \eta = 0} + \alpha_{0} \left( \frac{\partial C_{H}}{\partial \alpha} \right)_{\alpha = \eta = 0} \right\} + \alpha' \left( \frac{\partial C_{H}}{\partial \alpha} \right)_{\alpha = \eta = 0} + \eta \left\{ \left( 1 - \frac{\lambda_{m}}{\pi} + \frac{\sin \lambda_{m}}{\pi} \right) \left( \frac{\partial C_{H}}{\partial \alpha} \right)_{\alpha = \eta = 0} + \left( \frac{\partial C_{H}}{\partial \eta} \right)_{\alpha = \eta = 0} \right\}.$$

Comparing this equation with (12), and using the values of the derivatives found above, we conclude that

$$b_{0} = (C_{H})_{\alpha=\eta=} - \frac{\alpha_{0}}{\beta_{y}E^{2}} \left(\frac{4a}{Uc}\right)^{2}$$

$$\left\{ \sin \lambda_{m} (1 + \frac{1}{2} \cos \lambda_{m} - \cos \lambda_{m}^{\prime}) + (\pi - \lambda_{m}) (\cos \lambda_{m}^{\prime} - \frac{1}{2}) \right\}$$

$$b_{1} = -\frac{1}{\beta_{y}E^{2}} \left(\frac{4a}{Uc}\right)^{2} \left\{ \sin \lambda_{m} (1 + \frac{1}{2} \cos \lambda_{m} - \cos \lambda_{m}^{\prime}) + (\pi - \lambda_{m}) (\cos \lambda_{m}^{\prime} - \frac{1}{2}) \right\}$$

$$b_{2} = -\frac{1}{\pi\beta_{y}E^{2}} \left(\frac{4a}{Uc}\right)^{2} \left\{ (\pi - \lambda_{m}) \sin \lambda_{m} + \frac{1}{2} \sin^{2} \lambda_{m} - (\frac{1}{2} - \cos \lambda_{m}^{\prime}) (\pi - \lambda_{m})^{2} \right\},$$

$$\dots (58)$$

while from  $C_{L} = a_1 a$  and the definition of b we have

$$b = \frac{1}{2\pi\beta_{y}E^{2}} \left(\frac{4a}{Uc}\right)^{2} \sin \lambda_{m} \{(\pi - \lambda_{m})(1 - \cos \lambda_{m}) - \sin \lambda_{m}(1 + \cos \lambda_{m} - 2 \cos \lambda_{m}^{*})\},$$

# 4. Surmary of Formulae

•

The formulae given in Section 3 for the control characteristics are of two types:- (a) the accurate integral formulae, such as equations (57), and (b) the approximations, such as equations (58). The integral formulae are relatively simple to apply, particularly if q/U is calculated by the polygon method, but they do involve a few hours computation. The author considers that they are sufficiently accurate for most purposes for aerofoils of thickness ratio less than 20% travelling at speeds such that  $M_{20} < M_{orit}$ . The approximations, which

will/

will be summarized below, will, in the author's opinion, give reliable results for aerofoils of thickness ratio less than, say  $10^{\circ}$ , when  $M_{\odot} < (H_{\rm crit.} - 0.2)$ . As far as thickness effects are concerned it appears from the example in the next section that these approximations are more accurate than the method given in Ref. 3 called "Approximation III, Simple Theory", which involves numerical integration as in the author's more accurate method.

The rate of change of the no-lift angle is given by

$$\left(\frac{\partial(\alpha_0'-\alpha_0)}{\partial\eta}\right)_{\eta=0} = 1 - \frac{\lambda_{\eta}}{\pi} + \frac{\sin\lambda_{\eta}}{\pi}, \qquad \dots (36)$$

where, from equation (27)  $\lambda_{p}$  satisfies

$$\cos \lambda_{\rm m} = 1 - 2 \begin{pmatrix} c U \\ -- \\ 4 a \end{pmatrix} \int_0^{\overline{s}/c} q d \begin{pmatrix} s \\ - \\ c \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \dots (60)$$

in which s is the distance from the front stagnation point to the commencement of the flap. The ratio  $(4\alpha/Uc)$  is given approximately by (equation (90), Appendix II)

$$\begin{pmatrix} 4a \\ --\\ Uc \end{pmatrix} = 1 + \frac{1}{2\pi\beta_{c}} \int_{0}^{c} \frac{y_{u} - y_{\ell}}{x(c - x)} dx, \qquad \dots (61)$$

(the suffices u and  $\ell$  referring to the upper and lower surfaces respectively) or alternatively, from equation (27)

$$\begin{pmatrix} 4a \\ -- \\ Uc \end{pmatrix} = \int_{0}^{p/c} \frac{q}{U} d \begin{pmatrix} s \\ - \\ c \end{pmatrix}. \qquad \dots (62)$$

In equations (60) and (62) s/c can be replaced by x/c for thin aerofolls.

The numbers  $a_0$ ,  $a_1$  and  $a_2$  are given by

$$a_{0} = \frac{2\pi}{\beta_{0}} \begin{pmatrix} 4a \\ -- \\ Uc \end{pmatrix} a_{0}, \qquad \dots (50)$$

$$a_{1} = \frac{2\pi}{\beta_{c3}} \begin{pmatrix} 4a \\ -- \\ Uc \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \dots (51)$$

and  $a_2 = a_1 \left(1 - \frac{\lambda_{12}}{\pi} + \frac{\sin \lambda_{12}}{\pi}\right), \qquad \dots (52)$ 

where/

- 18 -

where  $a_0$  is given approximately by (equation (91), Appendix II)

$$\alpha_{0} = \left( \begin{array}{c} U_{0} \\ -- \\ 4a \end{array} \right)^{3/2} \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{C} \frac{(y_{u} + y_{l})}{\pi^{2}(c - x)^{3/2}} dx . \qquad ...(63)$$

The derivatives h and  $m_0$  (equations (54)) are given by

$$h = \frac{1}{4} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{4a}{-} \\ Uc \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \dots (64)$$

and 
$$m_0 = \frac{1}{2\beta_{00}} \left( \frac{\mu_a}{Uc} \right)^2 \sin \lambda_m (1 - \cos \lambda_m)$$
, ...(65)

while  $b_0$ , b,  $b_1$  and  $b_2$  are given by equations (58) and (59) of the previous section. Usually it is sufficient to write  $\lambda_m^i = \lambda_m$ , when the equations for  $b_1$  and b become

$$b_{1} = -\frac{1}{E^{3}\beta_{0}} \left(\frac{4a}{Uc}\right)^{2} \left\{ \sin \lambda_{m} (1 - \frac{1}{2}\cos \lambda_{m}) - (\pi - \lambda_{m})(\frac{1}{2} - \cos \lambda_{m}) \right\}, \dots (66)$$

and  $b = \frac{1}{2E^2 \beta_{30}} \left( \frac{\mu_a}{Uc} \right)^2 \sin \lambda_m \left( 1 - \frac{\lambda_m}{\pi} - \frac{\sin \lambda_m}{\pi} \right) (1 - \cos \lambda_m) .$  ...(67)

The derivative  $b_2$  then follows from equation (13).

The equations given above for the control derivatives differ from those given by Glauert<sup>2</sup> only by

(i) the compressibility term, 
$$1/\beta_{co}$$
,  
(ii) the 'thickness' term,  $\begin{pmatrix} 4a \\ -- \\ Uc \end{pmatrix}$ , and

(iii) the meaning to be assigned to  $\lambda_m$ . ( $\lambda_m$  is the angular co-ordinate of the hinge in the  $(\phi, \psi)$  plane in the author's theory, whereas in Glauert's theory  $\lambda_m$  is the angular co-ordinate of the hinge in the (x,y) plane.)

In Ref. 11 Perring extended Glauert's flat plate theory to plates with multiply-hinged flaps. The analysis of this paper is easily extended to aerofoils with such flaps. If Perring's results are modified as described in (i), (ii) and (iii) above there will result the author's approximate equations for this type of flap.

# 5. Examples

(a) An Example given in Ref. 3

Goldstein and Preston gave as an example of their method, the calculation of b,  $b_1$  and  $b_2$  for a symmetrical "roof-top" aerofoil for which the velocity distribution is defined to be

 $\frac{q}{U} = \begin{cases} 1.1337 + 0.1213 x & 0 \le x \le 0.6 \\ 1.2064 - 0.9706(x - 0.6) & 0.6 \le x \le 1.0 \end{cases}$ 

The flap commences at x/c = 0.8, and the flow is incorpressible. If it is assumed that  $x/c \doteq s/c$  in equations (60) and (62), then from the given velocity distribution (normally this would have to be calculated as a first step), it is easily found that

> $\lambda_{\rm m} = 132^{\circ}1'$ , and  $\frac{4a}{--} = 1.1070$ . Uo

 $\left(\text{In Glauert's theory } \lambda_{m} = 180^{\circ} - \cos^{-1}(0.6) = 126^{\circ}52^{\circ}, \text{ and } \frac{4a}{--} = 1.\right)$ 

Thus from equations (63), (36), (50), (51), (52), (64), (65), (66), (67) and (13) we find respectively

$$a_0 = 0, \left(\frac{\partial a_0}{\partial \eta}\right)_{\eta=0} = 0.503, a_0 = 0, a_1 = 6.956, a_2/a_1 = 0.503,$$

 $h = 0.277, m_0 = 0.760, b_1 = -0.376, b = 0.572, and b_2 = -0.763.$ 

The values of  $b_1$ ,  $b_2$  and b given in Ref. 3 are compared with those given above in the following table.

- b <sub>1</sub>	- p <sup>3</sup>	b	Method
0.450	0.923	0.648	Ref. 3 {Glauert
0.349	0.739	0.547	Approx. III (sumple theory)
0.364	0.774	0.574	Approx. III (complex theory)
0.376	0.763	0.572	Theory of this paper

The approximate theory of this paper appears from this example to be very satisfactory, particularly as this aerofoil is 15% thick.

(b) Aerofoil RAE 104 at  $M_3 = 0.7$ 

The compressible about the symmetrical aerofoil, RAE 104 was calculated in Ref. 5 by the polygon method. The following figures taken from Table 6 of that report apply to  $M_{co} = 0.7$ .

у°	0	3	9	15	21	27	35	45	55	65
x/c	0	0.0008	0.0067	0.0177	0.0337	0.054	0.087	0.140	0.204	0.275
₀/Ŭ	0	0.470	0.883	1.051	1.110	1.139	1.156	1.176	1.178	1.180
	·			· · · · · · · · · · · ·						

у°	75	85	95	105	115	125	135	145	155	165
x/c	0.352	0.433	0.516	0.597	0.676	0.755	0.827	0.889	0.941	0.977
q/U	1.181	1.179	1.178	1.167	1.110	1.053	1.005	0.967	0.927	0.878

γ°	175	180
x/c	0.997	1.000
q/U	0.790	0

 $\begin{pmatrix} 4a \\ -- \\ Uc \end{pmatrix} = 1.1200$ 

We shall calculate the control characteristics for a flap commencing at x/c = 0.75. By interpolation in the above figures we find that at x/c = 0.75,  $y = \lambda_m = 125^{\circ}40'$ . Also  $1/\beta_{\sim 1} = 1.4003$ , and hence from the equations given in Section 4 we find that

ao	=	0,	$ \begin{pmatrix} \partial a_0' \\ \hline \partial \eta \\ \partial \eta \end{pmatrix}_{\eta=0} $	¥	0.561, a <sub>o</sub>	n	0, a <sub>1</sub>	=	9.854, a <sub>2</sub> /a <sub>1</sub>	=	0,561,
----	---	----	---	---	-----------------------	---	-------------------	---	---------------------------------------	---	--------

 $h = 0.280, m_0 = 1.129, b_1 = -0.624, b = 0.783, b_2 = -1.133.$ 

References

No. Author(s)

# Title, etc.

- 1L. W. Bryant,Two-dimensional control characteristics.A. S. Halliday(23rd March, 1950).and A. S. BatsonR. & M. 2730.
- 2 H. Glauert Theoretical relationships for an aerofoil with hinged flap. (April, 1927). R. & M. 1095.
- 3. S. Goldstein and Approximate two-dimensional aerofoil theory. J. H. Preston Part VI. Aerofoils with hinged flaps. (August, 1945). C.F.73.

# References (Contd.)

٠

No.	Author(s)	Title, etc.
4	L. C. Woods	The two-dimensional subsonic flow of an inviscid fluid about an aerofoil of arbitrary shape. R. & M. 2811. November, 1950.
5	L. C. Woods	The application of the polygon method to the calculation of the compressible subsonic flow round two-dimensional profiles. C. P. No. 115. June, 1952.
6	Th. von Karman	Compressibulaty effects in aerodynamics. Jour. Aero. Sci., Vol.8, No.9, July, 1941.
7	Liepmann and Puckett	Introduction to the aerodynamics of a compressible fluid. John Wiley and Sons. 1947.
8	L. H. Milne-Thomson	Theoretical hydrodynamics. Macmillan & Co., 1949.
9	M. J. Lighthill	A new method of two-dimensional aerodynamic design. R. & M. 2112. April, 1945.
10	H. Glauert	Acrofoll and airscrew theory. Cambridge, 1926.
11	W. G. A. Perring	The theoretical relationship for an aerofoil with a multiply hinged flap system. R. & M. 1171. April, 1928.

\*

APPENDIX I/

# APPENDIX I

An Exact Method of Calculating a, and h in Incompressible Flow

The origin in the z plane will be taken at the 'centre of the aerofoil profile'<sup>8</sup>, which is defined by the equation

$$\operatorname{Lam} (Uz - W) = 0. \qquad \dots (68)$$

١

It is shown in Ref. 4, \$19 that

$$U_{Z} = W - \frac{1U}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} y(\gamma^{\mathsf{H}}) \operatorname{coth} \frac{1}{2}(\zeta - i\gamma^{\mathsf{H}}) d\gamma^{\mathsf{H}}, \qquad \dots (69)$$

the conjugate equation to which is

$$Uz = w - \frac{U}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left\{ x(\gamma^{\texttt{H}}) - \frac{\phi}{U} \right\} \operatorname{coth} \frac{1}{2} (\zeta - i\gamma^{\texttt{H}}) d\gamma^{\texttt{H}},$$

where  $x(\gamma^{*})$ ,  $y(\gamma^{*})$  are the aerstoil co-ordinates. By addition of these results, and taking  $\lim_{-\zeta \to cc}$ , which is equivalent to  $\lim_{-\zeta \to cc} (\omega \to cc)$  we find that the origin must be taken in the z plane so that

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \mathbf{x}(\mathbf{y}^{\mathbf{H}}) d\mathbf{y}^{\mathbf{H}} = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \mathbf{y}(\mathbf{y}^{\mathbf{H}}) d\mathbf{y}^{\mathbf{H}} = 0. \qquad \dots (70)$$

If the axis x = 0 is taken to satisfy equation (21), then the z plane is completely fixed in position.

If (X,Y) is the force acting on the acrofoil, and  $\mathbb{N}$  is the nose-up moment about the origin (defined by (70)), then the theorem of Blasius<sup>0</sup> is that

$$X - iY = \frac{1}{2}i\rho \int_{C} \left(\frac{dw_{\alpha}}{dz_{\alpha}}\right)^{2} dz_{\alpha} , \qquad M + iN = \frac{1}{2}\rho \int_{C} z_{\alpha} \left(\frac{dw_{\alpha}}{dz_{\alpha}}\right)^{2} dz_{\alpha} ,$$

i.e., from equations (5) and (16)

$$X - iY = \frac{1}{2}i\rho e^{-i\alpha}U \int_{C} e^{-2f_{\alpha}+f} dw, M + iN = \frac{1}{2}\rho e^{-2i\alpha}U \int_{C} z e^{-2f_{\alpha}+f} dw,$$
(74)

...(71)

where/

where C is any closed contour about the acrofoil. The only contributions to these integrals arise from the coefficients of 1/w in the expansions of the integrands. Consider first the force (X,Y). From equations (23) and (71) we find

X - iY = 
$$-\pi \alpha \rho U \left\{ 4i \sin \alpha - \frac{e^{-i\alpha}}{\pi} \int_{\gamma^{H}=-\pi}^{\pi} e^{-i\gamma^{H}} \partial \theta(\gamma^{H}) \right\},$$

and since this must vanish when  $\alpha = 0$ , we have an alternative proof of equations (24). Thus

$$X = 0, Y = 4a\pi pU \sin \alpha$$
,

and the lift coefficient is given by

,

$$C_{\rm L} = \frac{Y}{\frac{1}{2} \rho c U^2} = 2\pi \begin{pmatrix} 4\alpha \\ -- \\ Uc \end{pmatrix} \sin \alpha . \qquad \dots (72)$$

This equation is a well-known text book result, but the corresponding result for  $C_m$  given below is possibly new.

From equation (71)

$$M + iN = + \rho \pi i e^{-2i\alpha} \times \left( \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \cos f \cdot \sin U z e^{-2f} \alpha + f \\ 0 \end{array} \right) \cdot \dots \cdot (73)$$

Equations (16), (23) and (24) yield

$$f = \log \begin{pmatrix} Ud_z \\ --- \\ d_w \end{pmatrix} = \frac{a^2}{2\pi w^2} \int_{\gamma^{H} = -\pi}^{\pi} e^{-\vartheta i \gamma^{H}} d\vartheta(\gamma^{H}) + 0 \begin{pmatrix} a \\ - \\ w \end{pmatrix}^{3},$$

and hence with the aid of equation (68), we have

$$U_{Z} = W - \frac{a^{2}}{2\pi W} \int_{\gamma^{H}=-\pi}^{\pi} e^{-2i\gamma^{H}} d\theta(\gamma^{H}) + O\begin{pmatrix}a\\-\\w\end{pmatrix}^{2} \cdot \cdots \cdot (74)$$

From equations (23) and (24) it follows that

$$e^{-2f_{\alpha}+f} = 1 + \frac{4ai}{w} e^{+i\alpha} \sin \alpha - \frac{a^{2}}{w^{2}}$$

$$\times \left\{ 2ie^{+2i\alpha} \sin 2\alpha + 8e^{+2i\alpha} \sin^{2} \alpha + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\gamma^{H}=-\pi}^{\pi} e^{-2i\gamma^{H}} d\theta(\gamma^{H}) \right\} + 0 \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \\ w \end{pmatrix} \dots (75)$$
Now/

- 24 -

Now  $C_{\rm m}^{\rm i} = \frac{11}{\frac{1}{2}\rho c^2 U^2}$ , where  $C_{\rm m}^{\rm i}$  is the moment coefficient about the origin defined by equation (70), and so from (73), (74) and (75) it follows that

$$C_{\rm m}^{*} = \frac{\pi}{4} \left( \frac{4a}{-c} \right)^{2} \sin 2\alpha$$

$$\times \left\{ 1 - \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\gamma^{\rm H}_{=-\pi}}^{\pi} \cos 2\gamma^{\rm H} \, \mathrm{d}\theta(\gamma^{\rm H}) - \frac{\cot 2\alpha}{2\pi} \int_{\gamma^{\rm H}_{=-\pi}}^{\pi} \sin 2\gamma^{\rm H} \, \mathrm{d}\theta(\gamma^{\rm H}) \right\} \dots \dots (76)$$

The conjugate equation to this was given by Lighthill<sup>9</sup> for application to the problem of aerofoil design.

An alternative form of this equation can be found thus. From equations (6) and (69)

$$U \frac{dz}{dw} = 1 - \frac{Ui}{8a\pi \sinh \zeta} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} y(\gamma^{H}) \operatorname{cosech}^{2} \frac{1}{2}(\zeta - i\gamma^{H}) d\gamma^{H}$$
$$= 1 + \frac{iaU}{\pi w^{2}} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{i\gamma^{H}} y(\gamma^{H}) d\gamma^{H} + 0 \begin{pmatrix} a \\ -w \end{pmatrix}^{3},$$
$$i.e., \log \begin{pmatrix} Udz \\ -dw \end{pmatrix} = f = -iaU - \frac{-iaU}{\pi w^{2}} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} y(\gamma^{H}) e^{i\gamma^{H}} d\gamma^{H} + 0 \begin{pmatrix} a \\ -w \end{pmatrix}^{3}.$$

Comparing this equation with (23) (with  $\alpha = 0$ ) we conclude that

$$\int_{y^{H}=-\pi}^{\pi} \cos 2y^{H} d\theta(y^{H}) = 8 \begin{pmatrix} U_{0} \\ -- \\ 4a \end{pmatrix} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{y}{c} (y^{H}) \sin y^{H} dy^{H} \dots (77)'$$

and

$$d \int_{\gamma^{\mathcal{H}}=-\pi}^{\pi} \sin 2\gamma^{\mathcal{H}} d\theta(\gamma^{\mathcal{H}}) = -8 \begin{pmatrix} U_{c} \\ -- \\ 4a \end{pmatrix} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{y}{c} (\gamma^{\mathcal{H}}) \cos \gamma^{\mathcal{H}} d\gamma^{\mathcal{H}} . \qquad \dots (78)$$

Thus equation (76) can be written in the form

If the polygon method of finding the velocity distribution about the aerofoil has been used then the functions  $\begin{array}{c} x & y \\ -(\gamma^{\#}), -(\gamma^{\#}), \theta(\gamma^{\#}) \end{array}$  and  $\begin{array}{c} x & y \\ -(\gamma^{\#}), -(\gamma^{\#}), \theta(\gamma^{\#}) \end{array}$  and  $\begin{array}{c} c & c \end{array}$  (4a/Uc) will be immediately available, and  $C_{\rm m}$  and  $C_{\rm L}$  can be calculated directly.

Suppose the centre of the profile lies at a distance  $\overline{x}$  then

$$h = \overline{x} - \begin{pmatrix} \partial C_m \\ - - \\ \partial C_L \end{pmatrix}_{\alpha = 0}, \text{ approximately}$$

i.e., from (79),

$$h = \overline{x} - \frac{1}{4} \begin{pmatrix} 4a \\ -- \\ Uc \end{pmatrix} \left\{ 1 - \frac{4}{\pi} \begin{pmatrix} Uc \\ -- \\ 4a \end{pmatrix} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{y}{c} (y^{H}) \sin y^{H} dy^{H} \right\} . \qquad \dots (80)$$

If we write  $Ux \doteq 2a + \phi = 2a(1 - \cos y)$ , ...(81)

$$\mathbf{h} \stackrel{:}{=} \overline{\mathbf{x}} - \frac{1}{4} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{4\mathbf{a}}{-1} \\ \mathbf{U}\mathbf{c} \end{pmatrix} \left\{ 1 - \frac{8\mathbf{A}}{\pi c^2} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{U}\mathbf{c} \\ -1 \\ 4\mathbf{a} \end{pmatrix}^2 \right\}, \qquad \dots (82)$$

where A is the area of the aerofoil, but this equation requires knowing  $\overline{x} - \begin{pmatrix} 4a \\ -- \\ Uc \end{pmatrix}$ . The numbers  $\begin{pmatrix} 4a \\ -- \\ Uc \end{pmatrix}$  and  $\overline{x}$  are discussed in Appendices II and III respectively.

### APPENDIX II

The Value of 
$$\begin{pmatrix} 4a \\ -- \\ Uc \end{pmatrix}$$

This important ratio occurs throughout the theory. In the polygon method<sup>5</sup> it is calculated as an essential step from (c.f. equation (26))

$$\frac{p}{c}\begin{pmatrix}cU\\-\\4a\end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{2}\int_{0}^{\pi} \frac{U\sin y}{q} \dots (84)$$

where p is the distance between the stagnation points measured along the upper surface. Integration of equation (18) by parts results in

$$\mathbf{r}(\mathbf{y}) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \theta(\mathbf{y}^{\mathbf{H}}) \cot \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{y}^{\mathbf{H}} - \mathbf{y}) d\mathbf{y}^{\mathbf{H}},$$

on the aerofoll surface, and the approximation (25) then yields

$$\begin{array}{c} U \\ - \neq e^{\mathbf{r}/\beta_{-j}} \neq 1 + \frac{1}{2\pi\beta_{\infty}} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \theta(\mathbf{y}^{\mathsf{H}}) \cot \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{y}^{\mathsf{H}} - \mathbf{y}) d\mathbf{y}^{\mathsf{H}} . \\ \end{array}$$
(85)

For aerofoils of moderate thickness  $p \neq c$ , and hence from (84) and (85)

$$\begin{pmatrix} cU\\ --\\ 4a \end{pmatrix} = 1 + \frac{1}{2\pi\beta} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \theta(y^{H}) \sin y^{H} \log |\tan \frac{1}{2}y^{H}| dy^{H} . \qquad \dots (86)$$

If we make use of the approximation (81) then

$$\int \theta(y^{H}) \sin y^{H} dy^{H} \doteq \begin{pmatrix} U \\ -2a \end{pmatrix} \int \frac{dy}{dx} dx = \begin{pmatrix} Uc \\ -4a \end{pmatrix} \frac{2y}{c},$$

and so integrating (86) by parts we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} 4a \\ -- \\ Uc \end{pmatrix} \stackrel{i}{=} 1 + \frac{1}{\pi\beta} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \begin{pmatrix} y \\ - \\ c \end{pmatrix} \frac{dy^{\#}}{\sin y^{\#}} \cdot \dots (87)$$

It can be shown from equation (69) that this equation is exact in incompressible flow.

From (87) it follows that the effect of compressibility on  $\begin{pmatrix} 4a \\ -- \\ Uc \end{pmatrix}$  is given by / \

$$\begin{pmatrix} 4a \\ \hline \\ U_{c} \end{pmatrix} = 1 + \frac{1}{\beta_{c}} \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 4a \\ \hline \\ U_{c} \end{pmatrix}_{1} - 1 \right\}, \qquad \dots (88)$$

where  $\begin{pmatrix} 4a \\ -- \\ Uc \\ i \end{pmatrix}$  is the value in incompressible flow. Thus, for example, a (equation (51)) is related to  $(a_1)_1$  by

$$a_{1} = \frac{2\pi}{\beta_{\infty}} \left\{ 1 + \frac{1}{\beta_{\infty}} \left( \frac{(a_{1})_{1}}{2\pi} - 1 \right) \right\} \cdot \dots (89)$$

. .

A useful approximation for  $\begin{pmatrix} l_{+a} \\ - \\ Uc \end{pmatrix}$  follows from (81) and (87). If  $y_u$  and  $y_c$  denote values of y at opposite points on the upper and lower surface respectively, then we find

$$\begin{pmatrix} 4a \\ \hline \\ Uc \end{pmatrix} \stackrel{\neq}{=} 1 + \frac{1}{2\pi\beta} \int_{0}^{c} \frac{y_{u} - y_{z}}{x(c - x)} dx . \qquad \dots (90)$$

Approximations to many of the equations given in this paper can be found by using equation (81). For example consider equation (22) for  $a_0$ . Making use of equations (24), which are clearly independent of the origin of  $\frac{\theta}{\sim}$ , we can write

$$a_{0} = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \theta(\gamma^{H}) \{1 - \cos \gamma^{H}\} d\gamma^{H},$$

which after some calculation reduces to the approximate form

$$\alpha_{0} = \left(\frac{y_{0}}{4\alpha}\right)^{3/2} \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{0} \frac{y_{u} + y_{\ell}}{x^{2}(c - x)^{3/2}} dx . \qquad \dots (91)$$

When (Uc/4a) is taken equal to unity this equation is in agreement with the usual formula of thin according theory<sup>10</sup>.

## APPENDIX III

## An Approximation for h

If the centre of the profile is at a distance  $\overline{x}$  from the leading edge, then taking the origin of the (x,y) plane at the leading edge, we find from equation (70) that

$$\overline{\mathbf{x}} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \mathbf{x}(\mathbf{y}) \, \mathrm{d}\mathbf{y}'.$$

An/

An integration by parts results in

 $\overline{x} = c - \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{dx \, ds \, d\phi}{ds \, d\phi \, dy}$  $\Rightarrow c - \frac{a}{\pi U} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{U}{q} y \sin y \, dy,$  $since \qquad \frac{d\phi}{dy} = 2a \sin y, \frac{dx}{ds} \div 1 \quad and \quad \frac{ds}{d\phi} = \frac{1}{q}.$ 

If the value of U/q from the incompressible form of equation (85) is now substituted in this equation for x, then with the aid of (24), it is found that

$$\overline{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{c} - \frac{2\mathbf{a}}{\mathbf{u}} + \frac{2\mathbf{a}}{\mathbf{u}} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \theta(\mathbf{y}) \sin \mathbf{y} \log \cos \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{y} \, \mathrm{d} \mathbf{y} \, .$$

Writing  $\theta \doteq dy/dx$ , and integrating by parts we have

$$\overline{x}/c = 1 - \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 4a \\ -\pi \\ Uc \end{pmatrix} + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} y(y) \tan \frac{1}{2} y \, dy \, . \qquad ...(92)$$

Finally from equations (80), (87) and (92) it follows that

$$h_{1} = \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} \left( \frac{y_{u}}{c} - \frac{y_{\ell}}{c} \right) \left( 2 \sin y - \frac{1 + 2 \cos y}{2 \sin y} \right) dy. \qquad \dots (93)$$

In evaluating the integral it is usually sufficient to write a

$$\cos y = \begin{pmatrix} 2x \\ 1 - -- \\ c \end{pmatrix}.$$

when  $h_1$ , the incompressible flow value of  $h_1$  has been found from (93), it follows from (c.f. equation (89))

$$h = \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{\beta_{\infty}} \begin{pmatrix} n_1 & 1 \\ n_2 & -\frac{1}{4} \end{pmatrix} . ...(94)$$

APPENDIX IV/

# APPENDIX IV

# The Exact Theory of the Hinged Flat Plate in Incompressible Flow

Fig. 4(a) shows the flat plate at the no-lift position, while Fig. 4(b) shows the relation  $(\theta, y)$ , which should not be confused with the relation shown in Fig. 3(b) where the meaning of y is slightly different.

Equations (24) lead to 
$$\sin \frac{1}{2}\lambda = -\frac{\eta}{\pi} \sin \lambda_{m}$$
  
and  $\lambda = \lambda_{1} - \lambda_{0}$ ,  
where  $\lambda_{m} = -\frac{1}{2}(\lambda_{1} + \lambda_{0})$ ,

while equation (21) leads to the value

$$\alpha_{0}^{*} = \eta \left( 1 - \frac{\lambda_{m}}{\pi} + \frac{\sin \lambda_{m}}{\pi} \right)$$

for the no-lift angle. From equations (16) and (18) we find that the velocity distribution is given by

$$\frac{q}{U} = \frac{\sin \frac{1}{2}y}{\sin \frac{1}{2}(y+\lambda)} \left[ \frac{\sin \frac{1}{2}(y+\lambda_1)}{\sin \frac{1}{2}(y-\lambda_0)} \right]^{\eta/\pi},$$

and hence from equation (26) the  $(s, \gamma)$  relation is given by

Substitution of the  $(\theta, y)$  relation in equation (76) leads to

$$C_{\rm m}^{\dagger} = \frac{\pi}{4} \left( \frac{4a}{\rm Uc} \right)^2 \left\{ \sin 2\alpha - \cos(2\alpha - \lambda) \left( \sin \lambda - \frac{\eta}{\pi} \sin 2\lambda_{\rm m} \right) \right\},$$

and so

۰

•

,

•

$$\begin{pmatrix} \partial C_{m}^{\prime} \\ \overline{\partial \alpha} \end{pmatrix}_{\eta=\alpha=0}^{\eta=\alpha=0} = \frac{\pi}{2}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \partial C_{m}^{\prime} \\ \overline{\partial \eta} \\ \eta=\alpha=0 \end{pmatrix}_{\eta=\alpha=0}^{\eta=\alpha=0} = -\frac{1}{2} \sin \lambda_{m} (1 - \cos \lambda_{m}),$$

as it is easily shown from equation (86) that  $cU/4a = 1 + O(\eta^2)$ .

# - 30 -

# APPENDIX V

#### Basic Mathematical Theory

The theory is based on the equations?

90			1 ∂q			96		1	∂q		
	+	$(1 - M^2)$		=	ο,		-	-		=	Ο,
∂n			q Əs			∂s		q	дn		•

which with the aid of equations (1), (2) and the transformation

$$dr = (1 - M^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} d\left(\log \frac{U}{q}\right),$$

can be written in the form

From (2) it is readily found that in subsonic flow

$$\mathbf{m} = \mathbf{m}_{\infty} \left\{ 1 + \frac{\nu+1}{2\beta c_{0}^{2}} \left[ \frac{\mathbf{u}_{\infty}^{4}}{\mathbf{u}_{\infty}} \left( \frac{\mathbf{q}}{\mathbf{u}} - 1 \right) + 0 \left[ \left( \frac{\mathbf{q}}{\mathbf{u}} - 1 \right)^{2} \right] \right\},$$

.

so that for thin aerofoils  $\begin{pmatrix} -\infty \\ U \end{pmatrix}$  at high subsonic Mach numbers or thick aerofoils at lower subsonic Mach numbers, von Kármán's approximation

$$m = m_{cq}$$
, ...(96)

- -

is plausible. This approximation enables (95) to be written as the Cauchy-Riemann equations

Since, in any application we shall make, these four derivatives exist and are continuous in the open domain outside the aerofoil contour, we can write

$$\mathbf{r} + \mathbf{i}\theta \equiv \mathbf{f}_{u} (\phi + \mathbf{i} \mathbf{m}_{o} \mathbf{y}),$$

or if  $w_{\alpha} = \phi + i m_{\infty} \psi$ ,

$$\mathbf{f}_{\alpha} = \mathbf{f}_{\alpha} (\mathbf{w}_{\alpha}), \qquad \dots$$

where the suffix  $\alpha$  denotes the appropriate incidence (measured from the no-lift angle).

A particular case of (97) is the no-lift solution

$$f = f(w)$$
. ...(98)

/Now

Now for small angles if incidence (only such angles are important in the paper), we make the assumption that  $w_{c}$  is an analytic function of w, i.e., that (97) can be written

$$\mathbf{f}_{\alpha} = \mathbf{f}_{\alpha}(\mathbf{w}), \qquad \dots (99)$$

for incompressible flow (99) is exactly true, since both w and w<sub>a</sub> are analytic functions of z. It is important to notice that the approximation involved in (99) is merely one of the <u>location</u> of the solution  $f_{cl}$ , and it is similar in character to the approximation commonly made in engineering applications of the Kármán-Tsien method (cf. reference 7, p.183). The approximation receives some experimental verification in reference 5. Further verification of its plausibility is to be found in the approximate equations of section 4, where it yields the same compressibility factor,  $1/\beta_{\infty}$ , as that predicted by the linear perturbation theory.

It can be verified that the modified definition of r given by equation (3) is consistent with the approximation (96). It is an empirical modification made, because as shown in reference 5, it leads to improved agreement with experiment.

With the aid of equation (6) it is found that the value of f given by equation (18) satisfies equation (97) and the appropriate boundary conditions. When the aerofoil is placed at an angle of incidence  $\alpha$ , on the aerofoil surface  $\theta_{\alpha}$  is given by

$$\theta_{\alpha}(*) = \begin{pmatrix} \theta(y^{*}) - \alpha, & -\pi \leqslant y^{*} \leqslant \pi \\ +\pi, & -y_{0} \leqslant y^{*} \leqslant 0, \end{pmatrix}$$
 ...(100)

where the  $\pi$  term is due to the reversal in flow direction caused by the displacement of the front stagnation point from  $y^* = 0$  to  $y^* = -y_0$ . (By the Joukowski Hypothesis the position of the rear stagnation point is unchanged.) The value of  $y_0$  is fixed by the condition that the flow at infinity must be undisturbed. It is not difficult to verify that  $f_d$  given by (19) satisfies equation (99), the boundary conditions (100) and leaves the flow at infinity undisturbed. Full details of the proof of these results from equation (99), is to be found in reference 4.







(b)  $\omega$  plane (zero circulation)





(a)  $\Xi$  plane,  $\eta = 0$ 



(d)  $\omega$  plane



(a)  $\not\equiv$  plane,  $\eta \neq 0$ 



(δ) (θ<sub>p</sub>, γ)

FIG. 4



(a) <del>Z</del> plane



(b) (θ,γ)

C P. No. 138 (15,107) A R C. Technical Report

1

1

#### CROWN COPYRIGHT RESERVED

•

PRINTED AND PUBLISHED BY HER MAJESTY'S STATIONERY OFFICE To be purchased from

York House, Kingsway, LONDON, W.C.2 423 Oxford Street, LONDON, W.1 P.O. Box 569, LONDON, S E 1

13a Castle Street, EDINBURGH, 2
13a Castle Street, EDINBURGH, 2
1 St. Andrew's Crescent, CARDIFF
39 King Street, MANCHESTER, 2
2 Edmund Street, BIRMINGHAM, 3
80 Chichester Street, BELFAST or from any Bookseller

1953

Price 8s 6d net

PRINTED IN GREAT BRITAIN

.