C.P. No. 290 (17,608) A.R.C Technical Report



MINISTRY OF SUPPLY

AERONAUTICAL RESEARCH COUNCIL CURRENT PAPERS

Some Notes on the Flow Durations Occurring in Hypersonic Shock Tubes

By

B. D. Henshall, Ph D.

ROYAL AND SHISHMENT

LONDON . HER MAJESTY'S STATIONERY OFFICE

1956

Price 2s 6d net

Some Notes on the Flow Durations occurring in Hypersonic Shock Tubes - By -B. D. Henshall, Ph.D., of the Aerodynamics Division, N.F.L.

11th August, 1955

1. Introduction and Summary

Interest in hyperconne shock tubes is increasing both in the United States of America 1,2,3 and the United Fingaom 4,5; principally because the shock tube offers the only simple method whereby very high Mach number flows - with stagnation temperatures approximating to those of full-scale flight - may be generated. The present paper gives a résumé of the aerodynamic principles involved in the production of very strong shock waves and very high Mach number flows; subsequently we consider the effects of the deviations from perfect gas theory which occur when strong shock waves are generated. Finally, some calculations of the flow durations occurring in hypersonic shock tubes are presented in tabular and graphical form. It is found that the influence of the gazeous imperfections is such that the flow durations are reduced; this reduction is of the order of 50% for very strong shock conditions.

2. <u>Hypersonic Shock Tube Flow</u>

2.1 The Froduction of Very Strong Shock Waves

Fig.1 is a diagram of the flow patterns which occur in a simple conventional shock tube and it illustrates the notation used below and listed in the Appendix. The ultimate attainable pressure ratio, $P = \hat{p}_0/p_0$, across the diaphragm is often governed by considerations of chamber strength and the sensitivity of the optical system used in the working section and will not normally exceed 10+; but, regardless of the precise value of Γ , the shock Mach number V_+ and shock strength p_S rapidly approach limiting values as $P \Rightarrow \ll$. On the basis of simple theory (see, for example, Ref.6) these maximum values as $P \Rightarrow \infty$ are given by

$$p_{s} = \frac{2 y}{y_{+1}} V_{+}^{2} - \frac{y_{-1}}{y_{+1}} \qquad \dots \dots (2.1)$$

and $V_{+} = \left(\frac{y_{+1}}{\hat{y}_{-1}}\right) \frac{\hat{a}_{0}}{a_{0}} \qquad \dots \dots (2.2)$

where the superscript $^{\circ}$ refers to quantities related to the gas initially at the higher pressure in the shock tube. Thus, if air at room temperature (y=1.4) is used on both sides of the diaphragm,

 $p_s \rightarrow 42$ and $V_{\perp} \rightarrow 6$ as $P \rightarrow \infty$.

Clearly, for large V_{+} , a_0 must be as large as possible; hence the gas in the chamber mist have a low molecular weight and as high a temperature as practicable. It is dangerous to heat pure hydrogen and the requirements of high temperature, high pressure and low molecular weight are best achieved by

(i)/

Published with permission of the Director, National Physical Laboratory.

(i) heating holium

or (ii) exploding a combustible mixture of oxygen and hydrogen

in the chamber. In either case, the molecular weight of the gas in the chamber may be varied by the addition of nitrogen; hence, although the tube operates at a fixed diaphragm pressure ratio P - thus enabling a standard procedure to be followed - the shock Mach number V_+ is controllable.

With the above techniques, it is possible² to operate the constant-volume⁺ combustion type shock tube with $\hat{a}_{O}/a_{O} \neq 6.6$; this corresponds to $V_{=} = 16$ when $P = 10^{+}$. If the diaphragm is ruptured at the instant the mixture in the chamber is ignited - the so-called 'constant-pressure' process in which the shock pressure ratio p_{S} is equal to the diaphragm pressure ratio P - results² are obtained which indicate that \hat{a}_{O} becomes very large and $\hat{n}_{O}/a_{O} \Rightarrow \Theta$ In this manner it is feasible to generate, in air, shock Mach numbers $V_{+} \simeq 25$ and shock strengths $p_{S} \simeq 700$ with practicable pressure ratios across the diaphragm. The thermodynamics and acrodynamics of combustion shock tubes will be discussed in a later paper.

Another method^{7,8} whereby the shock Mach number V_4 may be increased is known as the double-diaphragm step-type shock tube and is illustrated in Fig.2. If the area ratio A/A is very large or the displuragm D_2 does not shatter under the impact, shock S_4 undergoes normal reflection at diaphragm D_2 and leaves the gas there at rest at an increased temperature and pressure. Subsequently when diaphragm D_2 is ruptured, either mechanically (A = A) or automatically by the impact of shock S_4 (A > A), the ensuing flow produces a shock S_5 whose Mach number is greater than that of shock S_1 . We have noted that when the diaphragm pressure ratio P is very large, a large increase in P does not alter the attainable shock Mach number V_4 appreciably. However, equation (2.2) shows that V_4 is very sensitive to changes in the speed of sound ratio across the diaphragm A_0/A_0 when P is large.

For a given diaphragm pressure ratio P and a given initial temperature ratio \hat{T}_0/T_0 the double-diaphragm technique essentially specifices pressure ratio in favour of increased temperature ratio. The reservoir behind diaphragm D_2 has a pressure \hat{p}_0' (< \hat{p}_0) and a temperature \hat{T}_0' (> \hat{T}_0); the increase of temperature has a much greater effect than the decrease of pressure and hence the shock S₃ is stronger than the shock S₄.

If $\hat{A} = A$ and the shock S_1 shatters the diaphroum D_2 on impact, a simple rarefaction wave only will arise. The full gain obtainable from the double-diaphragm method will not then be realised.

Experiments are proceeding¹ in the United States of America to develop a diaphragmless shock tube where strong shock waves are produced by the direct discharge of high voltage electrical energy through the gas in the chamber.

2.2 The Production of very high Mach Number Flows

In the above section we have considered the generation of very strong shock waves; but the Mach number M of the flow behind a shock does not increase without limit as the shock Mach number V_+ is increased. From simple shock tube theory⁵, the relevant equations connecting V_+ and M are:-

The diaphragm is ruptured when the combustion of the gases in the chamber is complete.

- 2 -



$$\frac{u}{v_0} = \frac{2}{y_{+1}} \left[v_+ - \frac{1}{v_-} \right]$$
 (2.3)

- 3 -

$$\frac{a}{a_0} = \frac{2}{(y+1) V_+} \left[\left(y V_+^2 - \frac{y-1}{2} \right) \left(\frac{y-1}{2} V_+^2 + 1 \right) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \dots \dots (2.4)$$

and
$$M = \frac{u}{a_0} \cdot \frac{a_0}{a} = \left[V_+^2 - 1 \right] \left\{ \left(\gamma V_+^2 - \frac{\gamma - 1}{2} \right) \left(\frac{\gamma - 1}{2} - V_+^2 + 1 \right) \right\}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \dots (2.5)$$

Hence as the shock strength is increased and V_{\perp} becomes >> 1 we have

$$\frac{u}{a_{0}} \Rightarrow \frac{2}{y_{+1}} V_{+} = 0.833 V_{+} \text{ for alr} \qquad \dots \dots (2.6)$$

$$\frac{a}{a_{0}} \Rightarrow \left[\frac{2y(y-1)}{(y+1)^{2}} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} V_{+} = 0.44 V_{+} \text{ for air} \qquad \dots \dots (2.7)$$

$$M = \frac{u}{a} \Rightarrow \left[\frac{2}{y(y-1)} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} = 1.89 \text{ for air} \qquad \dots \dots (2.8)$$

Further, we define a strong shock wave as one which generates supersonic flow behind it when it propagates into hir at rest; that is, for a strong shock wave, the shock Mach number V_{\pm} must be greated than 2.07 - see equation (2.5) with M = 1.

Hypersonic flow (defined in the present context by M > 5) may be generated in a shock tube if the supersonic flow bohind a strong shock wave is expanded in a divergent nozzle. However, the strength of the primary shock wave will decrease during its passage through the divergent section - in an analogous manner to the docay of a cylindrical blast wave - and hence, to maintain flow equilabrium, a secondary shock wave of increasing strength is formed by the continuous diffraction of the primary shock through the divergent channel. In general, a secondary contact surface or contact region of entropy gradients will also be formed in the diffraction process; both this and the secondary upstreamfacing shock wave will be swept downstream after the primary downstreamfacing shock wave. A region of stendy flow at high Mach number is established in the hypersonic working section until the arrival of the primary contact surface. Ultimately the strength of the secondary shock is such that it becomes stationary with respect to the walls of the shoch tube; it is then equivalent to the standing shock observed in underexpanded flow in a nozzle.

3. The Duration of Hypersonic Flow in a Shock Tube

3.1 Ideal Shock Tube Flow

and

Fig.3 illustrates those features discussed above of the flow in the channel of a hypersonic shock tube; the extent of the hypersonic flow region is shaded. Clearly the maximum duration $\tau_{\rm H}$ of hypersonic flow occurs at the position⁺ $x_{\rm H}$; however, we assume $\tau_{\rm H} \sigma \tau$ where τ is given by $\boldsymbol{\tau} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ - & - \\ u & U \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{L}$

..... (3.1)

⁺ The model under test would be placed at position x_{M} where $x_{M} > x_{H}$, but we assume

$$r_{M} \approx r_{H} \simeq \tau$$
.

and where L is the length of the constant area channel from the duaphragm D to the entrance of the divergent nozzle. It should be noted that the length of the chamber must be such that the reflected head of the rarefaction wave does not overtake the primary contact surface before the entrance to the nozzle section: and, further, the length of the 'hypersonic' channel H must be chosen so that the reflected shock wave from the end of the channel does not interfere with the hypersonic flow region. For the latter consideration, when $V_+ >> 1$, the reflected shock velocity; hence we determine that the length of the 'hypersonic' channel H must be greater than $\frac{1}{2}UT$.

That is,
$$H > \frac{1}{4} U T$$
 (3.2)

where U is primary shock wive velocity and τ is the duration of hypersonic flow. The length of the chamber may be determined by standard methods 5, 3, 9; specimen calculations indicate that this dimension is usually less than 20 flot. For strong shock waves $(V_+ >> 1)$, see equation (2.6), $u = \frac{5}{5} U$,

and hence, from equation (3.1), we have

$$\tau = \frac{L}{50} = \frac{L}{5V_{1}}$$

If we assume $a_0 = 1120$ ft/sec, the testing thre per foot of channel is given approximately by

$$\frac{7}{L} = \frac{0.179}{\text{milliseconds}}$$

$$\frac{1}{V_{+}} = \frac{0.179}{\text{milliseconds}}$$

A more accurate relation for the ideal testing time may be obtained by the use of equation (2.3) instead of (2.6); in this case

$$\frac{r}{L} = \frac{1}{r_{0}} \left[\frac{1}{5} \left[\frac{1}{V_{+}} - \frac{1}{V_{+}} \right], V_{+} \right] \dots (3.4)$$

Relations (5.3) and (3.4) are plotted in Fig.4 for a range of values of V_{+} .

3.2 The Affects of the Non-Perfect Gas Parameters: Variable Specific Hert; Dissociation and Ionistion

The effects of variable specific heat on shocks propagated into air at atmospheric temperatures and pressures have been considered by Fector, Burkhaldt and Bothe and Teller. Their results are summarised in Ref.9 and plotted, using shock tube notation, in Fig.5. The shock characteristics begin to deviate appreciably from ideal theory when the shock Mach number V_{+} exceeds 4. Dissociation and ionisation become approximable when $V_{+} > 8$ and $V_{+} > 11$ respectively; and they were taken into account by Burkhardt in the calculations presented in Fig.5. We denote by T^* , u^* , p^* , Y^* the values of the parameters of state bohind strong shock waves when the effects of gaseous imperfections are present.

3.3 <u>Maporarental Results</u>

For observations of the flow behind very strong shock waves have been made; measurements of flow Mach number M by Hertzberg, quoted by Dodge in Ref.1, are given in Table I for a range of values of V_{\perp} and for two absolute channel pressures p_0 .

3.4 Correlation Letwoen Experiment and Theory

In the present section an attempt is made to correlate the experimental results of Hertzberg with an approximate non-perfect gas theory. For real imperfect gases, equation (3.1) must be replaced by

where the value of u^* is, as yet, undetermined. Suppose the relation (2.3) holds for real gases; that is

- 5 -

$$u^{*} \qquad y_{+1} \\ - = ---- \\ u \qquad y_{+1}$$
 (3.7)

Then we may write

where y^* is the effective y of the real gas. Further, let

where the variation of k with V_+ is given by Fig.3. Then, from (3.8)

$$\begin{array}{c} u^{*} \\ - \\ u \\ u \\ \end{array} \left[\begin{array}{c} U(k-1) + u \\ - \\ - \\ k u \\ \end{array} \right]$$
 (3.9)

Equate (3.7) to (3.9), put $y = 1.J_{+}$ and put $u = \frac{5}{5}U_{-}$ [A good approximation only if $V_{+} > 10$ - see Section 3.1.] Hence we obtain

$$5k + 1$$

 $y^* = ------ (3.10)$
 $6k - 1$

The variation of y^* with T^* and V_+ is shown by Fig.(, where equation (3.10) is comprised with standard data⁸.

We may now compute an approximate non-ideal theoretical Mach number MT^* of the quasi-stead; flow behind the shock wave. $u^* = u^* \sqrt{T}$

					C.	• 2	1 7 -	
Now	11 *	Ξ	м.		≏ II .			 (3.11)
				u	ລາ	u V	γ*· T *	•

or, using (3.7)
$$\Pi_{T}^{*} = \Pi_{T} \frac{y + 1}{y^{*} + 1} \sqrt{\frac{yT}{y^{*}T^{*}}}$$
 (3.12)

where $M_{\rm T}$ values are given in Table I for specified V₊, and corresponding values of T*/T and y' are obtained from Figs. 5 and 6.

The calculated values of flow Mach number $M_{\rm T}^*$ are compared with the experimental values $M_{\rm E}$ in Table I, it is clear that the values are in fair agreement. However, two factors, which are not taken into account in the theoretical analysis, will have a considerable effect on the experiment results. The growth of boundary layers on the walls of the shock tube may be shown⁵ to cause an acceleration of the contact surface (velocity u) as it progresses down the tube; hence one might expect that the observed⁺ Mach number would be larger than the theoretical value. Moreover, when the shock wave is very strong, considerable heat transfer must occur from the intensely hot gas behind the shock to the cold walls of the shoch tube; this effect would cause a smaller Mach number M to be observed than predicted by theory. It might be expected that for small V₊ the acceleration effect is predominant; whilst for large V₊ the heat transfer criteria is of prime importance. [Table I shows that the variation of $M_{\rm E}$ is consistent with the above remerks.]

Thus/

Thus, if it were possible to correct the M_T^* values for the above effects, we might find good agreement between theory and experiment.

3.5 Calculation of Approximate Non-Ideal Flow Duration

We may now evaluate (3.5) using the value of uf defined by (5.9); this should give an approximate upper limit for the flow duration (see Section 3.1).

From (3.9) $u^{*} = \left[\frac{U(k-1)+u}{k}\right]$ and hence, evaluating (3.5) we obtain $\frac{\tau}{L} = \frac{1}{a_{0}} \left[\frac{k}{V_{+}(k-1)+\frac{5}{6}(V_{+}-\frac{1}{V_{+}})} - \frac{1}{V_{+}}\right] \dots (3.13)$

where k is defined by (3.8) and is related to V_{+} as shown in Fig.5.

Calculated flow durations from equation (3.13) are given in Fig.4 and compared with values obtained from equations (3.3) and (3.4).

4. Conclusions

The double-diaphragm, compustion-type shock tube may be used to generate shock Mach numbers $V_{\pm} \simeq 20$; subsequently the flow behind the shock may be expanded to yield a short duration hypersonic flow with a stagnation temperature approximating to that of full-scale flight.

The influences of deviations from ideal gas theory, which occur when strong shock waves are generated, are considered and these are shown to reduce appreciably the available testing times; this reduction is of the order of 50% for very strong shock conditions.

APPFINDIX/

- 7 -

<u>ALCHIOIX</u>

Not .tion

- a velocity of sound
- A cross-sectional area of shock tube
- H length of 'hyperscule' channel (see Fig. 3)
- κ function of short linch number V_{μ} (see Fig. 5)
- L length of constant are channel proceeding the cationee to bue asvergent nonzie (see sig.)
- M Mach number
- p al solute pressure
- R the Cas Constant
- t cune
- T absolute terperature
- u flow velocity
- U shock veloc_ty
- x distance measured along long builted with or shock tube
- $y = C_0/C_V$ the ratio of the specific herts
 - T duration of hypersonic flo.

Non-dimension & guantities

 $V_{+} = -$ shock let on number

 a_0

- $P = \hat{p}_0 / p_0$ pressure ratio proves the discolution
- $p_s = p/p_0$ pressure ratio heross the shock wave

Superscripts

- A quantities related to gas initially at highest pressure in shock tube
- ' quantities related to gas initially at intermediate pressure in double-diaparagm shock tube (Fig.2)
- denotes the values of the parameters of state benind strong shock vaves when the effects of gaseous imperfections are present

Subsorapts/

- 8 --

Subscripts

- o initial state of gas (at rest) or stagnation state
- E experimental value
- I ideal gas theory value
- T non-ideal gas theory value

References

<u>No</u> .	Author(s)	Title, etc.
1	J. A. Dodge	Ultra-high temperature aerodynamic testing facilities. Arnold Engineering Development Centre Report AEDC-TN-54-61.
2	A. Hertzberg and W. E. Smith	A method for generating strong shock waves. J. App. Phys. Vol 25, No. 1, January, 1951.
3	H. Nagametsu	Summary of recent GALCIT hypersonic experimental investigations. J. Ae.Sci. Vol 22, No. 3, p.165, March, 1955.
24	B. D. Henshall and D. V. Holder	Note on a proposed hypersonic shock tube installation at the N.P.L. A.R.C. 17,303 - T.P.424 - F.M.2206. January, 1955.
5	B. D. Hershall	On some aspects of the use of shock tubes in aerodynamic research. A.R.C. 17,107 - T.P.149. University of Bristol. Department of Aeronautical Engineering Report No. P.4. Communicated by Frof. A. R. Collar, February, 1955 and Corrigendum, 13th July, 1955.
6	E. L. Resler, S. C. Lin and A. Kantrowitz	The production of high temperature gases in shock tubes. J. Appld. Phys. Vol 23, No. 12 p.1390 December, 1952.
7	B. D. Henshall	The use of multiple diaphragms in shock tubes. A.R.C. Report (In preparation)
8	I. I. Glass, W. Martin and G. N. Patterson	A theoretical and experimental study of the shock tube. A.R.C. 17,243 - T.P.441. December, 1954. University of Toronto UTIA Report No. 2 November, 1953.
9	J. Lukasiewicz	Shock Tube theory and applications. A.R.C. 15,653 - T.P.385 - F.M.1963. February, 1953.

Shock Moch Number V ₊	Flow Mach Number (Ideal) ^M I	Flow Numb Mg Channel P Po 76 mm Hg	Flow Mach Number (Non-ideal) Gas Mr*	
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 9 20 21 22 23 24	1.54 1.66 1.74 1.78 1.80 1.82 1.83 1.84 1.85 1.84 1.85 1.86 1.87 1.87 1.87 1.87 1.87 1.88 1.88 1.88 1.88 1.89 1.89 1.89 1.89 1.89 1.89	1.64 1.80 1.96 2.10 2.24 2.38 2.50 2.61 2.73 2.82 2.91 2.98 3.04 3.08 3.12 3.13 3.14 3.13 3.12 $$	1.64 1.80 1.98 2.16 2.32 2.48 2.62 2.76 2.87 2.98 3.06 3.14 3.20 3.24 3.28 3.30 3.32 3.32 3.32 3.32 3.32 3.17	1.74 1.88 1.97 2.06 2.17 2.30 2.43 2.56 2.72 2.88 3.07 3.21 3.35 3.48 3.59 3.48 3.59 3.69 3.78 3.85 3.89 3.91

_ ____

.

TABLE I

,

4

,

- -

FIG.1



Non-dimensional notation -

$$V_{+} = \frac{U}{a_{o}}; P = \frac{\hat{P}_{o}}{P_{o}}; P_{s} = \frac{P}{P_{o}}, M = \frac{u}{a}$$

Simple shock tube flow t - x diagram



The flow in a double-diaphragm step-type shock tube t-x diagram.





- -



Hypersonic shock tube: flow durations

FIG. 5.





DS#837#/1/R7230010/\$6CL

FIG 6



Crown copyright reserved Printed and published by HER MAJESTY'S STATIONERY OFFICE To be purchased from York House, Kingsway, London w c 2 423 Oxford Street, London w 1 P O Box 569, London s E 1 13A Castle Street, Edinburgh 2 109 St. Mary Street, Cardiff 39 King Street, Manchester 2 Tower Lane, Bristol 1 2 Edmund Street, Birmingham 3 80 Chichester Street, Belfast or through any bookseller

Printed in Great Britain

