LIBRARY ROYAL AIRCRAFT ESTABLISHMENT BEDFORD.

R. & M. No. 2855 (14,336) A.R.C. Technical Report



MINISTRY OF SUPPLY

AERONAUTICAL RESEARCH COUNCIL REPORTS AND MEMORANDA

Oscillating Wings in Compressible Subsonic Flow

By

W. P. JONES, M.A., D.Sc. of the Aerodynamics Division, N.P.L.

Crown Copyright Reserved

LONDON: HER MAJESTY'S STATIONERY OFFICE 1957 PRICE 5s 6d NET

Oscillating Wings in Compressible Subsonic Flow

Bу

W. P. Jones, M.A., D.Sc. of the Aerodynamics Division, N.P.L.

Reports and Memoranda No. 2855 October, 1951

Summary.—The problem of estimating flutter and stability derivatives for wings of finite span describing simple harmonic oscillations in compressible flow is considered. It is shown that the problem can be reduced to a similar one for an equivalent wing in incompressible flow. The lateral dimensions of the equivalent wing are $\sqrt{(1 - M^2)}$ times those of the original wing and the frequency of oscillation is increased by the factor $(1 - M^2)^{-1}$ where M denotes the Mach number of the compressible flow. The mode of oscillation is different but related to that of the original wing and leads to a more complicated condition for tangential flow. It is suggested, however, that sufficient accuracy might be obtained by representing the boundary condition to first-order accuracy in the frequency and then solving quite generally the integral equation which determines the velocity potential at the surface of the wing. The comparisons made in Table 1 and Figs. 2a to 2d indicate that the above procedure is reasonably satisfactory in the two-dimensional case. For M = 0.7, the values of the derivatives given by the formulae derived in this report show fair agreement with the 'exact' results of Refs. I and 2 over a wide range of frequency parameter values. Since flutter derivatives for wings of finite span are not usually very sensitive to variations in frequency parameter, the scheme of calculation suggested should be sufficiently accurate for all practical purposes when the combined effects of thickness and viscosity are negligible. It does, however, require a reliable method for calculating derivatives for low aspect ratio wings in incompressible flow, since the aspect ratio of the equivalent wing is $\sqrt{(1 - M^2)}$ times that of the original wing and becomes small for the higher values of M.

1. Introduction.—The theory for thin aerofoils of infinite span oscillating in inviscid compressible flow³ has been fully developed, but for wings of finite span the position is less satisfactory. In the case of supersonic flow, analytical solutions can be derived for certain plan-forms but there is little systematic information on aerodynamic derivatives, and there is still less for subsonic flow. In this paper the subsonic case is considered, and it is shown that the problem of determining flutter and stability derivatives can be reduced to a similar problem for a wing of related plan-form in incompressible flow. If A and f denote the aspect ratio and frequency of oscillation of the original wing, the corresponding values for the equivalent reduced wing in incompressible flow are $A\sqrt{(1 - M^2)}$ and $f/(1-M^3)$ respectively, where M represents the Mach number of the flow over the original thin wing. The boundary condition for tangential flow over the equivalent wing depends on the mode of motion of the original wing and also on M (see section 2). If the original wing is oscillating as a rigid body, the corresponding equivalent wing must distort in a particular way in the chordwise direction. For instance, if w denotes the downwash distribution for the actual wing, the corresponding downwash W for the equivalent wing at M = 0 is proportional to $w \exp(-i\lambda X)$ where X is a non-dimensional chordwise distance and λ is a function of f and M. Known methods^{4,5} for calculating derivatives in the case of incompressible flow can then be used and solutions of the compressible problem obtained indirectly.

The exponential term in the downwash complicates matters, but, fortunately, the evidence from two-dimensional theory given in Table 1 of this report suggests that replacing $\exp(-i\lambda X)$ by $1 - i\lambda X$ does not lead to serious error in the derivative values for a wide range of frequency parameter values. In view of this, it is believed that, as far as the calculation of flutter and stability derivatives is concerned, sufficient accuracy might be given by an approximate method in which the downwash distribution is represented to first order only in the frequency. Since λ is usually multiplied by X, neglecting terms of higher order than λ is equivalent to neglecting terms in X of corresponding order, and hence any distortion in the chordwise direction.

Published with the permission of the Director, National Physical Laboratory.

The scheme requires, however, a reliable method for estimating aerodynamic forces on wings of low aspect ratio, since when M tends to unity the aspect ratio of the equivalent wing tends to zero. For oscillating wings of very low aspect ratios, Garrick's extended form of R. T. Jones' steady theory⁶ may be used.

2. Equations of Motion.—Let U_0 , p_0 , ρ_0 be the uniform velocity, pressure, and density respectively, of the airstream in the undisturbed state, and let V_s denote the velocity of propagation of small disturbances caused by introducing an oscillating thin wing into the field of flow. If $U_0 + u$, v, w denote the velocity components of the disturbed flow at a point x, y, z at time t, the linearised form of Euler's equations for the motion are

where $d/dt \equiv \partial/\partial t + U_0 \partial/\partial x$, and p is the pressure. Furthermore, since $V_s^2 = dp/d\rho$ the equation of continuity is expressible in the form

$$\frac{1}{V_s^2}\frac{dp}{dt} + \rho_0 \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial w}{\partial z}\right) = 0 . \qquad (2)$$

If ϕ denotes the velocity potential of the disturbance superimposed on the steady flow, then $u = \partial \phi / \partial x$, $v = \partial \phi / \partial y$ and $w = \partial \phi / \partial z$. Substitution in (1) and integration then yields

At infinity, ϕ and $p - p_0$ tend to zero; hence f(t) = 0.

The oscillating wing gives rise to a vortex wake across which there is a discontinuity in the velocity potential. Let ϕ_a and ϕ_b represent the values of ϕ above and below the sheet of discontinuity, representing the wing and its wake. Then, if $k \equiv \phi_a - \phi_b$, it follows from (3) that the lift distribution $\hat{l}(x,y)$ is given by

Since there is no discontinuity in the pressure field in the wake, the condition

must be satisfied.

By eliminating p from (2) and (3), it may be shown that ϕ must satisfy the equation

over the whole field of flow and the appropriate condition for tangential flow over the wing. Let the downward displacement at time t of any point x, y on the surface of the wing be denoted by $\zeta(x,y,t)$. Then, since there is no flow normal to the wing, the following condition must be satisfied at the surface of the wing

The problem is then reduced to one of finding a solution of (6) which satisfies (5) in the wake and (7) on the wing.

3. Method of Solution.—In the present report, it is assumed that the wing is describing simple harmonic oscillations of constant amplitude, but the analysis is also applicable to oscillations of

growing amplitude provided that at the time t under consideration the amplitude is small – otherwise the linearised equations of section 2 become invalid. Firstly, the variables x, y, z, t are replaced by the non-dimensional variables X, Y, Z, T defined by

$$x = lX, \quad y = \beta^{-1}lY, \quad z = \beta^{-1}lZ, \quad t = lT/U_0, \quad \dots \quad \dots \quad \dots \quad \dots \quad (8)$$

where l is a convenient length, and where $\beta \equiv \sqrt{(1 - M^2)}$ with $M \equiv U_0/V_s$. This transformation reduces the lateral dimension of the wing by the factor β .

If f is the frequency of the oscillation, the velocity potential ϕ of the disturbance may be conveniently expressed in the form

where $\omega = 2\pi f l / U_0$, and $\lambda = M^2 \cdot \beta^{-2} \omega$.

By substituting the above expression for ϕ in (4) and writing $K = \Phi_a - \Phi_b$, the following equation for the lift distribution is obtained, namely,

where $\Gamma \equiv i\nu K + \partial K/\partial X$ and $\nu = \beta^{-2}\omega$. Since $\tilde{l}(X, Y)$ is zero everywhere in the wake, the condition $\Gamma = 0$, which implies that

must be satisfied when $X \ge X_{\iota}$ the value of X at the trailing edge.

It also follows from (6) and (9) that Φ must satisfy the equation

where $\varkappa = M\nu = M\omega\beta^{-2}$. The corresponding boundary condition at the surface of the equivalent wing is

where w is defined by (7).

A particular solution of (13) which represents an outward radiating disturbance is $r^{-1} e^{-i\pi r}$. By the use of Green's theorem', it may then be shown that the general solution for oscillatory motion is given by

$$4\pi\Phi(X_1, Y_1, Z_1) = \iint K(X, Y) \frac{\partial}{\partial Z_1} \left(\frac{e^{-i\kappa r}}{r}\right) dX \, dY \,, \qquad \dots \qquad \dots \qquad (15)$$

where $r \equiv \sqrt{[(X - X_1)^2 + (Y - Y_1)^2 + Z_1^2]}$. The integral is taken over the part of the plane Z = 0 representing the equivalent wing and the wake. On differentiation, (15) yields

$$4\pi W(X_1, Y_1, 0) = \iint_{Z_1 \to 0} K \frac{\partial^2}{\partial Z_1^2} \left(\frac{e^{-ixr}}{r} \right) dX \, dY, \qquad \dots \qquad \dots \qquad \dots \qquad (16)$$

where W on the aerofoil, $Z_1 = 0$, is given by (14) and K is unknown. To determine K, it is convenient to write (16) in the form

where

$$f(r) = \frac{1 - e^{-i\omega r}}{r}.$$
 (19)

It is shown in Appendix I that I_0 is of order $\varkappa^2 \log_e \varkappa$. Hence, to first-order accuracy in frequency, (16) may be replaced by

with the wake condition

and

Equations (20) and (21) are precisely what one would have to satisfy in the case of the wing of 'reduced' plan-form oscillating in an incompressible fluid with a frequency parameter ν (frequency f/β^2) and a complex downwash amplitude $W = \beta^{-1} w' e^{i\lambda X}$, where $w = w' e^{i\omega T}$ represents the downwash distribution for the original wing. Hence, if the general incompressible flow solution for the oscillating wing of reduced plan-form were known, the solution for the original wing in compressible flow could be derived.

Numerical methods for solving the problem of the oscillating wing of any plan-form in incompressible flow already exist, but it is difficult to assess their accuracy. Comparisons with the few experimental results available are useful but, since the measured value of any aerodynamic coefficient is dependent on thickness and boundary-layer effects, one has no control on accuracy.

For the oscillating aerofoil of infinite span solutions which are claimed to be reasonably accurate are given in Refs. 1 and 2. These solutions are compared with solution C of this paper in Table 1 and Figs. 2a to 2d. Since, however, the numerical values of the derivatives for M = 0.7given in Refs. 1 and 2 differ appreciably for the higher values of the frequency parameter in some cases, there still appears to be some uncertainty in the derivative values even for two dimensions.

4. Two-dimensional Theory.—For an aerofoil of infinite span and chord c(=2l), equation (16) gives

$$2\pi W(X_1,0) = \int_0^\infty \int_{-1}^\infty K(X) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial Z_1^2} \cdot \frac{-i\kappa \sqrt{(Y^2 + \alpha^2)}}{\sqrt{(Y^2 + \alpha^2)}} \cdot dX \, dY, \qquad \dots \qquad (22)$$

where $\alpha^2 = (X - X_1)^2 + Z_1^2$ and $Z_1 \rightarrow 0$. Let $\alpha^2 t^2 \equiv Y^2 + \alpha^2$ and substitute in (22). Then, since

where $H_0^{(2)} \equiv J_0 - iY_0$ is Hankel's function of zero order, it follows that

$$2\pi W(X_1) = -\int_{-1}^{\infty} K(X) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial Z_1^2} \left[\frac{\pi}{2} i H_0^{(2)}(\varkappa | \alpha|) \right] dX. \qquad \dots \qquad \dots \qquad \dots \qquad (24)$$

When $Z_1 \rightarrow 0$, (24) yields, after differentiation and integration by parts, the expression

$$2\pi W(X_1) = \int_{-1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{X - X_1} \frac{\partial}{\partial X} \left[K(X) \cdot \frac{\pi}{2} \varkappa | X - X_1| (Y_1 + iJ_1) \right] dX \qquad (25)$$

from which K(X) may be determined when $W(X_1)$ is known. This relation corresponds to the more complicated integral equation first derived by Possio for an aerofoil oscillating in a compressible fluid³.

The solution of (25) may be derived by iteration as follows.

$$\psi(\varkappa | X - X_1|) = 1 + \frac{1}{2}\pi\varkappa | X - X_1| (Y_1 + iJ_1). \qquad \dots \qquad \dots \qquad \dots \qquad (26)$$

Then (25) may be written in the form

where

$$2\pi I = \int_{-1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{X_1 - X} \frac{\partial}{\partial X} \left[K(X) \cdot \psi(\varkappa | X - X_1 |) \right] dX. \qquad \dots \qquad \dots \qquad \dots \qquad (28)$$

Furthermore, by (12),

$$K(X) = K(1)e^{-iv(X-1)}$$
 (29)

in the wake. Equation (27) corresponds in form to the integral equation which arises in the theory of unsteady motion in incompressible flow, and can be treated by the methods developed to solve the latter problem^{8,9}. It should also be noted that I tends to zero linearly as the frequency is decreased (see Appendix I). If the integral I were known, (27) could be solved exactly by the method of Ref. 8 or by the use of the general formula given by Küssner and Schwarz⁹. According to Ref. 9 the solution of (27) when W + I is known is given by

$$\Gamma = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} (W+I) \left\{ \left[C(\nu)(1-\cos\vartheta) + \cos\vartheta \right] \cot\frac{\theta}{2} + i\nu L(\theta,\vartheta) \sin\vartheta + \frac{\sin\theta}{\cos\theta - \cos\vartheta} \right\} d\vartheta \quad (30)$$

and

$$K(X) = e^{-ivX} \int_{-1}^{X} \Gamma e^{ivX} dX, \quad -1 \leqslant X \leqslant 1$$

= $e^{-ivX} \int_{-1}^{1} \Gamma e^{ivX} dX, \quad X \ge 1$ (31)

In (30), $X = -\cos \vartheta$,

$$L(\theta,\vartheta) = \frac{1}{2}\log_{e}\frac{1-\cos\left(\theta+\vartheta\right)}{1-\cos\left(\theta-\vartheta\right)} = 2\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{\sin n\theta \sin n\vartheta}{n} \quad \dots \quad \dots \quad \dots \quad \dots \quad \dots \quad (32)$$

and $C(\nu)$ is the usual oscillatory lift function. By the use of (28), (30) and (31) it should be possible to determine $\Gamma(X)$ and K(X) corresponding to any W(X) by successive approximation as follows. Let I = 0 initially in (30). Then determine Γ_1 and by the use of (31) derive K_1 the first approximation to K. Substitute $K = K_1$ in (28) to obtain I_1 the first approximation to I, and substitute $I = I_1$ in (30) to give Γ_2 , and hence K_2 a second approximation to K. This process could be continued until $K_n = K_{n+1}$ when further iteration would be unnecessary. The corresponding lift distribution mould be $K_1 = K_1 + K_1 + K_2 + K_2 + K_1 + K_2 + K_2$ distribution would be given by (11).

A variation of the above procedure would be to expand the left-hand side of (27) in the form

$$W + I_n = U_0[C_0 + C_1(\frac{1}{2} + \cos\vartheta) + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} C_n \cos n\vartheta] \quad \dots \quad \dots \quad \dots \quad (33)$$

where I_n is the *n*th approximation to *I*. It then follows from (27) and (30) that

٦

where

п

$$\Gamma_{0} = 2[C(\nu) \cot \frac{1}{2}\theta + i\nu \sin \theta]$$

$$\Gamma_{1} = -2\sin \theta + \cot \frac{1}{2}\theta + i\nu \left(\sin \theta + \frac{\sin 2\theta}{2}\right)$$

$$\geq 2, \Gamma_{n} = -2\sin n\theta + i\nu \left(\frac{\sin (n+1)\theta}{n+1} = \frac{\sin (n-1)\theta}{n-1}\right)$$
(35)

5. Applications.—Consider an aerofoil describing pitching and translational oscillations as indicated in the following diagram:—



Fig. 1

The downward displacement ζ of the point P is then given by

and the downwash amplitude

$$w' = U_0[i\omega(z' + X\alpha') + \alpha']... \qquad (37)$$

It follows from (27), since $\beta W = w' e^{-i\lambda X}$, that

It is shown in Ref. 10 that

and, since $X = -\cos \theta$,

$$W = \frac{w e^{-i\lambda X}}{\beta} = \frac{U_0}{\beta} \left[\alpha' + i\omega z' - \omega \alpha' \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \right] e^{i\lambda \cos \theta}$$
$$= U_0 \left[C_0 + C_1 (\frac{1}{2} + \cos \theta) + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} C_n \cos n\theta \right] \dots \dots \dots \dots (40)$$

where

with
$$J_{n'} = \frac{\partial f_{n}(\lambda)}{\partial \lambda}$$
.

(a) Boundary Condition A.—When I = 0 is assumed, the solution of (38) is readily obtained by using the results of incompressible flow theory. It follows that Γ , in this case, is given by (34), where the C_n 's and Γ_n 's are defined by (41) and (35) respectively. The corresponding lift distribution for compressible flow is then given by (11) in the form

$$\tilde{l}(X) = \rho_0 U_0^2 \left[\Sigma C_n \Gamma_n \right] e^{i(\lambda X + \omega T)}. \qquad \dots \qquad \dots \qquad \dots \qquad \dots \qquad \dots \qquad \dots \qquad (42)$$

Since $X = -\cos \theta$, it follows that

and that

$$X e^{i\lambda X} = -i \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} e^{i\lambda X} = -i \Big[J_0' + 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-i)^n J_n'(\lambda) \cos n\theta \Big]. \qquad (44)$$

It may then be proved that

$$\int_{-1}^{1} \Gamma_{0} e^{i\lambda X} dX = 2\pi \left[\left(C(\nu) + \frac{i\nu}{2} \right) J_{0}(\lambda) - iC(\nu) J_{1}(\lambda) + \frac{i\nu}{2} J_{2}(\lambda) \right] \int_{-1}^{1} \Gamma_{0} e^{i\lambda X} dX = -2\pi i \left[\left(C + \frac{i\nu}{2} \right) J_{0}' - iC J_{1}' + \frac{i\nu}{2} J_{2}' \right] \int_{-1}^{1} \Gamma_{1} e^{i\lambda X} dX = -\pi \left(1 - \frac{\nu}{\lambda} \right) (J_{2} + iJ_{1}) \int_{-1}^{1} \Gamma_{1} e^{i\lambda X} dX = \pi i \left(1 - \frac{\nu}{\lambda} \right) (J_{2}' + iJ_{1}') + \frac{\pi i\nu}{\lambda^{2}} (J_{2} + iJ_{1})$$

$$(45)$$

and for $n \ge 2$

$$\int_{-1}^{1} \Gamma_{n} e^{i\lambda X} dX = (-i)^{n+1} \pi \left(1 - \frac{\nu}{\lambda}\right) (J_{n+1} + J_{n-1})$$

$$\int_{-1}^{1} \Gamma_{n} e^{i\lambda X} dX = (-i)^{n+2} \pi \left[\left(1 - \frac{\nu}{\lambda}\right) (J_{n+1}' + J_{n-1}' + \frac{\nu}{\lambda^{2}} (J_{n+1} + J_{n-1}) \right]$$

Hence, by the use of (41), (42) and (45) it may be shown that the total lift L is given by

$$\frac{L e^{-ipt}}{\pi \rho_0 l U_0^2} = C_0 [2C(\nu)(J_0 - iJ_1) + i\nu(J_0 + J_2)] - C_1 (1 - \frac{\nu}{\lambda})(J_2 + iJ_1) + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (-i)^{n+1} C_n (1 - \frac{\nu}{\lambda})(J_{n+1} + J_{n-1}). \qquad (46)$$

Similarly, the moment M about the mid-chord axis^{*} is given by

$$\frac{M e^{-i\rho t}}{\pi \rho_0 l^2 U_0^2} = C_0 [2C(\nu)(J_1' + iJ_0') - \nu(J_0' + J_2')] \\
+ C_1 \Big[\Big(1 - \frac{\nu}{\lambda} \Big) (J_1' - iJ_2') + \frac{\nu}{\lambda^2} (J_1 - iJ_2) \Big] \\
+ \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (-i)^n C_n \Big[\Big(1 - \frac{\nu}{\lambda} \Big) (J_{n+1}' + J_{n-1}') + \frac{\nu}{\lambda^2} (J_{n+1} + J_{n-1}) \Big]. \quad ... \quad (47)$$

It is shown in Appendix II that

$$\Sigma_{1} = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} J_{n} (J_{n-1}' + J_{n+1}') = \frac{J_{0} J_{2} + J_{1} J_{3}}{2}$$

$$\Sigma_{2} = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} J_{n}' (J_{n-1} + J_{n+1}) = \frac{J_{1}^{2} + J_{2}^{2}}{2}$$

$$\Sigma_{3} = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} J_{n} (J_{n-1} + J_{n+1})$$

$$= \frac{\lambda}{2} \Big[J_{1}'^{2} + J_{2}'^{2} + \Big(1 - \frac{1}{\lambda^{2}}\Big) J_{1}^{2} + \Big(1 - \frac{4}{\lambda^{2}}\Big) J_{2}^{2} \Big]$$

$$\Sigma_{4} = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} J_{n}' (J_{n-1}' + J_{n+1}')$$

$$= \frac{1}{4} \frac{d}{d\lambda} (J_{1}^{2} + J_{2}^{2}) + \frac{1}{\lambda^{3}} \int_{0}^{\lambda} \lambda_{1} \int_{0}^{\lambda_{1}} (J_{1}^{2} + J_{2}^{2}) \lambda_{0} d\lambda_{0} d\lambda_{1}$$
(48)

where

$$\int_{0}^{\lambda_{1}} (J_{1}^{2} + J_{2}^{2}) \lambda_{0} d\lambda_{0} = 2 \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n J_{n}(\lambda_{1})^{2}.$$

* For the mid-chord axis, $M = il dL/d\lambda$, where L is given by (46) and C_0, C_1, \ldots, C_n are regarded as being independent of λ .

Hence, by use of (41) and (48), the following expressions for the lift and pitching moment may be derived

$$\frac{\beta L}{\pi \rho_0 l U_0^2} = \left[(\alpha + i\omega z) (J_0 - iJ_1) - \omega \alpha (J_0' - iJ_1') \right] \left[2C(\nu) (J_0 - iJ_1) + i\nu (J_0 + J_2) \right] \\
+ 2\left[(\alpha + i\omega z) J_1 - \omega \alpha J_1' \right] \left(1 - \frac{\nu}{\lambda} \right) (J_1 - iJ_2) \\
- 2i \left(1 - \frac{\nu}{\lambda} \right) \left[(\alpha + i\omega z) \Sigma_3 - \omega \alpha \Sigma_2 \right]. \\
\frac{\beta M}{\pi \rho_0 l^2 U_0^2} = \left[(\alpha + i\omega z) (J_0 - iJ_1) - \omega \alpha (J_0' - iJ_1') \right] \left[2C(\nu) (J_1' + iJ_0') - \nu (J_0' + J_2') \right] \\
+ 2\left[(\alpha + i\omega z) J_1 - \omega \alpha J_1' \right] \left[\left(1 - \frac{\nu}{\lambda} \right) (J_2' + iJ_1') + \frac{\nu}{\lambda^2} (J_2 + iJ_1) \right] \\
+ 2(\alpha + i\omega z) \left[\left(1 - \frac{\nu}{\lambda} \right) \Sigma_1 + \frac{\nu}{\lambda^2} \Sigma_3 \right] - 2\omega \alpha \left[\left(1 - \frac{\nu}{\lambda} \right) \Sigma_4 + \frac{\nu}{\lambda^2} \Sigma_2 \right] \right]$$
(49)

In the notation generally used in flutter theory, the aerodynamic coefficients are defined by the relations

$$\frac{L}{c\rho_{0}U_{0}^{2}} = (l_{z} + i\widetilde{\omega}l_{z}) \frac{\mathbf{z}}{c} + (l_{\alpha} + i\widetilde{\omega}_{\dot{\alpha}})\alpha$$

$$\frac{M}{\rho_{0}c^{2}U_{0}^{2}} = (m_{z} + i\widetilde{\omega}m_{z}) \frac{\mathbf{z}}{c} + (m_{\alpha} + (i\widetilde{\omega}m_{\dot{\alpha}})\alpha)$$
(50)

, any where $\tilde{\omega}$

Let

.

-

$$\omega = 2\omega = pc/U_{0} \text{ and } \mathbf{z} = \frac{1}{2}z.$$

$$R \equiv 2C(\nu)[J_{0}(\lambda) - iJ_{1}(\lambda)] + i\nu[J_{0}(\lambda) + J_{2}(\lambda)],$$

$$S \equiv \left(1 - \frac{\nu}{\lambda}\right)[J_{2}(\lambda) + iJ_{1}(\lambda)],$$

$$R' \equiv \partial R/\partial\lambda, \quad S' \equiv \partial S/\partial\lambda,$$

$$(51)$$

where ν is regarded as being independent of λ (actually $\lambda = M^2 \nu$). From (49) and (50) it then follows that . . .

$$l_{z} + i\tilde{\omega}l_{\dot{z}} = \frac{\pi i\omega}{\beta} \Big[(J_{0} - iJ_{1})R - 2iJ_{1}S - 2i\Big(1 - \frac{v}{\lambda}\Big)\Sigma_{3} \Big] \\ l_{\alpha} + i\tilde{\omega}l_{\dot{\alpha}} = \frac{\pi}{2\beta} \Big\{ [J_{0} - iJ_{1} - \omega(J_{0}' - iJ_{1}')]R - 2i(J_{1} - \omega J_{1}')S \\ - 2i\Big(1 - \frac{v}{\lambda}\Big)[\Sigma_{3} - \omega\Sigma_{2}] \Big\} \\ m_{z} + i\tilde{\omega}m_{\dot{z}} = -\frac{\pi\omega}{2\beta} \Big\{ (J_{0} - iJ_{1})R' - 2iJ_{1}S' - 2i\Big[\Big(1 - \frac{v}{\lambda}\Big)\Sigma_{1} + \frac{v}{\lambda^{2}}\Sigma_{3}\Big] \Big\} \\ m_{\alpha} + i\tilde{\omega}m_{\dot{\alpha}} = \frac{\pi i}{4\beta} \Big\{ [J_{0} - iJ_{1} - \omega(J_{0}' - iJ_{1}')]R' - 2i(J_{1} - \omega J_{1}')S' \\ - 2i\Big[\Big(1 - \frac{v}{\lambda}\Big)(\Sigma_{1} - \omega\Sigma_{4}) + \frac{v}{\lambda^{2}}(\Sigma_{3} - \omega\Sigma_{2})\Big] \Big\}$$
(52)

and from these formulae the individual aerodynamic coefficients for the boundary condition assumed can be determined exactly.

.

(b) Boundary Condition B.—To obtain this boundary condition, I = 0 is again assumed, and (40) is replaced by

$$\beta C_{0} = (\alpha' + i\omega z') \left(1 - \frac{i\lambda}{2}\right) + \frac{i\omega}{2} \alpha'$$

$$\beta C_{1} = i\lambda (\alpha' + i\omega z') - i\omega \alpha'$$

$$\beta C_{1} = 0.$$
(54)

Condition (53) is obtained by substituting $1 + i\lambda \cos \theta$ for $\exp(i\lambda \cos \theta)$ and neglecting terms of second and higher order in the frequency^{*}. The same condition could be derived by regarding the equivalent aerofoil as rigid and neglecting distortion terms in X^2 and higher powers. Once the boundary condition has been chosen, the general solution of (38) for any value of ω can be obtained as already shown. For condition B, the formulae derived for the mid-chord aerodynamic coefficients are

$$l_{z} + i\tilde{\omega}l_{\dot{z}} = \frac{\pi i\omega}{\beta} \Big[\Big(1 - \frac{i\lambda}{2} \Big) R - i\lambda S \Big]$$

$$l_{\alpha} + i\tilde{\omega}l_{\dot{\alpha}} = \frac{\pi}{2\beta} \Big\{ \Big[1 + \frac{i(\omega - \lambda)}{2} \Big] R + i(\omega - \lambda)S \Big\}$$

$$m_{z} + i\tilde{\omega}m_{\dot{z}} = -\frac{\pi\omega}{2\beta} \Big[\Big(1 - \frac{i\lambda}{2} \Big) R' - i\lambda S' \Big]$$

$$m_{\alpha} + i\tilde{\omega}m_{\dot{\alpha}} = \frac{\pi i}{4\beta} \Big\{ \Big[1 + \frac{i(\omega - \lambda)}{2} \Big] R' + i(\omega - \lambda)S' \Big\} \Big\}$$
(55)

A comparison of the results for boundary conditions A and B revealed that the neglect of secondorder terms in frequency in the boundary condition did not lead to serious discrepancies over the practical range of values of the frequency parameter (see Table 1). For both A and B, it is assumed that I = 0, and the approximate solutions of (38) obtained can only be regarded as a rough approximation to the true solution. A better approximation to the exact solution can, however, be made by including the I term.

(c) Boundary Condition C.—It is shown in Appendix I that, when
$$\omega \to 0$$
,

where K(1) is the value of K at the trailing edge, and where

$$\delta = \log_{e} \frac{M}{2} + \sqrt{(1 - M^{2})} \log_{e} \left(\frac{1 + \sqrt{(1 - M^{2})}}{M} \right). \qquad (57)$$

Since in the limit.

the left-hand side of (38) may be written in the form

$$2\pi (W+I) = \frac{2\pi U_0}{\beta} [(\alpha' + i\omega z')(1 + i\lambda\cos\theta) - i\omega\alpha\cos\theta + i\nu\delta(\alpha' + i\omega z')] \qquad ..$$
(59)

to first-order accuracy in frequency. With this modified boundary condition, (38) can be solved exactly for any value of the frequency parameter. The solution thus obtained may be regarded as a first approximation to the exact solution of (25).

^{*} The terms α' and $i\omega z'$ are assumed to be of the same order.

Let

$$a = 1 + i\nu\delta - \frac{i\lambda}{2}$$

$$b = 1 + i\nu\delta + \frac{i(\omega - \lambda)}{2}$$
(60)

and

n

It follows from (72) that

The lift distribution is then given by (42) and the corresponding lift and pitching moment may be calculated. In this case the formulae for the aerodynamic coefficients are

$$l_{z} + i\tilde{\omega}l_{\dot{z}} = \frac{\pi i\omega}{\beta} (aR - i\lambda S)$$

$$l_{\alpha} + i\tilde{\omega}l_{\dot{\alpha}} = \frac{\pi}{2\beta} [bR + i(\omega - \lambda)S]$$

$$m_{z} + i\tilde{\omega}m_{\dot{z}} = -\frac{\pi\omega}{2\beta} (aR' - i\lambda S')$$

$$m_{\alpha} + i\tilde{\omega}m_{\dot{\alpha}} = \frac{\pi i}{4\beta} [bR' + i(\omega - \lambda)S'].$$
(62)

A comparison of the values given by (62) and those given by the 'exact' solution show fair agreement for a wide range of $\ddot{\omega}$ values and good agreement for $\ddot{\omega} \leq 0.4$. From this, it appears that if solution C, which is essentially a first approximation, were extended to include second order terms in frequency on the left-hand side of (38), reliable estimates of the derivatives would be obtained even for higher values of $\ddot{\omega}$.

6. Concluding Remarks .- In view of the fact that solutions A and B agree closely, it appears that the left-hand side of (38) need not be known to great accuracy and that the assumption $\exp(-i\lambda X) = 1 - i\lambda X$ can be made at an early stage in the calculations. This is likely to be true also in the three-dimensional case, and it seems probable that solutions of sufficient accuracy would be obtained if the left-hand side of (17) were replaced by its limiting form for low frequencies. Present methods of treating the equivalent incompressible flow problem could then be applied to derive a general solution of (17) for any frequency parameter value. There is no need to limit the solution to low frequencies once the approximate form of the left-hand side of (17) has been chosen. In the two-dimensional case, approximation C is quite satisfactory for $\dot{M}=0.7$ and $\tilde{\omega} \leq 0.4$, and it seems likely that the corresponding approximation in three dimensions would give reliable results. Flutter derivatives for wings of finite span are not usually very sensitive to variations of frequency parameter and the method of calculation suggested may give good accuracy for a wider range of $\tilde{\omega}$ values than that given by approximation C in the two-dimensional Terms of order $\varkappa^2 \log_e \varkappa$ are neglected in this approximation but they could be included in case. the corresponding three-dimensional solution if necessary. It is, however, doubtful whether the accuracy of solution for the higher values of \varkappa would be improved since terms of order \varkappa^2 then become equally important.

Acknowledgement.—The numerical results given in this report were calculated by Miss Sylvia W. Skan and Miss J. S. Francis of the Aerodynamics Division.

REFERENCES

No.	Auth	hor	•		Title, etc.
1	I. T. Minhinnick	•••	••	•••	Subsonic aerodynamic flutter derivatives for wings and control surfaces (compressible and incompressible flow). A.R.C. 14,228. July, 1950.
2	·		•••	•••	Table of aerodynamic coefficients for an oscillating wing without control surface in a subsonic compressible flow. National Aeronautical Research Institute, Amsterdam.
3	G. Temple	••	••	•••	Modern Developments in Fluid Dynamics. Vol. III, Chapter IX, Unsteady Motion.
4	W. P. Jones	••	••	•••	The calculation of aerodynamic derivative coefficients for wings of any plan-form in non-uniform motion. R. & M. 2470. December, 1946.
5	D. E. Lehrian	•••	••	••	Aerodynamic coefficients for an oscillating delta wing. R. & M. 2841. July, 1951.
6	I. E. Garrick	••	••	••	Some research on high-speed flutter. Anglo-American Aeronautical Conference, 1951
7	H. Lamb		••	••	Hydrodynamics. Cambridge University Press.
8	W. P. Jones	•••	••	••	Aerodynamic forces on wings in non-uniform motion. R. & M. 2117. August, 1945.
9	H. G. Küssner and	L. Sch	iwarz	••	The oscillating wing with aerodynamically balanced aileron. L.F.F. December 1940. p. 337. Translated by Miss S. W. Skan. A.R.C. 5548.
10	N. W. McLachlan	••	••	••	Bessel Functions for Engineers. (The Oxford Engineering Science Series.) Clarendon Press. 1934.
11	W. P. Jones		•••	••	Wind-tunnel interference effect on the values of experimentally deter- mined derivative coefficients for oscillating aerofoils. R. & M. 1912. August, 1943.
12	L. Schwarz	••	••	•••	Untersuchung einiger mit den Zylinder funktionen nullter Ordnung verwandter Funktionen. L.F.F. 20. 1943.

APPENDIX I

Limiting Forms of Integrals

(i) Three-dimensional Case.—The integral I_0 defined by (18) may be expressed in the form

where $\xi = X - X_1$. When \varkappa is small, the first two integrals are of order \varkappa^2 since the approximation

is valid within the ranges of integration. This approximation cannot be used in the third integral since ξ varies for zero to infinity and $\varkappa r$ may be large for large values of r. However, when $z \to 0$, it may be proved that

where $R^{2} = \xi^{2} + a^{2}$, and $a = |Y - Y_{1}|$. Let

$$\sigma(Y) \equiv K(X_t, Y) \mathrm{e}^{-iv(X_1 - X_t)} .$$

Then the third integral in (63) reduces to

It is shown in Ref. 11 that

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-iv\xi} d\xi}{\sqrt{(\xi^{2} + a^{2})}} = K_{0}(va) - iT_{0}(va)$$

$$= -\left(\gamma + \log_{e} \frac{va}{2}\right) - \frac{\pi i}{2} + iva - \frac{a^{2}v^{2}}{4}\log_{e} v + O(v^{2}) \qquad \dots \qquad (67)$$

and, since $\varkappa = M \nu$,

where $t = \xi + M \sqrt{(\xi^2 + a^2)}$. Hence, by (67) and (68),

$$= \log_{e} (1 + M) - \frac{M^{2}a^{2}}{4} \cdot v^{2} \log_{e} v + O(v^{2})$$

and, therefore, when $\nu \rightarrow 0$,

where the integral has its steady value.

From (18), (63) and (70) it then follows that the integral I_0 is of order $\varkappa^2 \log_e \varkappa$.

(ii) Two-dimensional Case.—The integral I defined by (28) may be expressed in the form

$$2\pi I = \int_{-1}^{1} \frac{1}{X_1 - X} \frac{\partial}{\partial X} \left[K(X) \psi(\varkappa | X - X_1|) \right] dX + \int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{K(1)}{X_1 - X} \frac{\partial}{\partial X} \left[\psi e^{-i\nu(X-1)} \right] dX \qquad \dots \qquad \dots \qquad \dots \qquad \dots \qquad \dots \qquad \dots \qquad (71)$$

since $K(X) = K(1)e^{-iv(X-1)}$ in the wake.

12

Since, when $\varkappa \to 0$,

$$\psi(\varkappa | X - X_1 |) \sim \frac{\varkappa^2}{2} (X - X_1)^2 \log_e \frac{\varkappa}{2} + O(\varkappa^2), \qquad \dots \qquad \dots \qquad \dots \qquad (72)$$

the first integral in (71) is of order $\varkappa^2 \log_e \varkappa$. The second integral in (71) is denoted by I_2 , where

$$I_{2} = -K(1)e^{-iv(X_{1}-1)}Q - \int_{X_{1}}^{1} \frac{K(1)}{X_{1} - X} \frac{\partial}{\partial X}(\psi e^{-iv(X-1)}) dX \qquad \dots \qquad \dots \qquad (73)$$

and

Next consider the limiting form of $Q(\varepsilon)$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$, where

$$Q(\varepsilon) = \int_{\varepsilon}^{\infty} \frac{\nu}{x} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} [\psi(Mx) e^{-ix}] dx . \qquad (75)$$

The function ψ is defined by (26) and is expressible in the form

By substituting for ψ in (75), it may be shown that

$$\frac{Q(\varepsilon)}{v} = \int_{\varepsilon}^{\infty} \left[\frac{\pi i}{2} M^2 (Y_0 + iJ_0) e^{-ix} - \frac{\pi i M}{2} (Y_1 + iJ_1) e^{-ix} - \frac{i e^{-ix}}{x} \right] dx
= \int_{\varepsilon}^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{\pi i}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left[e^{-ix} (Y_0 + iJ_0) \right] - \frac{\pi}{2} \beta (Y_0 + iJ_0) e^{-ix} - \frac{i e^{-ix}}{x} \right\} dx.$$
(77)

Now

$$\int_{\varepsilon}^{\infty} \frac{\mathrm{e}^{-ix}}{x} \, dx \sim -\gamma - \log \varepsilon - \frac{i\pi}{2} + O(\varepsilon), \dots \qquad \dots \qquad \dots \qquad \dots \qquad (78)$$

$$\frac{\pi i}{2} [(Y_0 + iJ_0) \mathrm{e}^{-i\varepsilon}]_{\varepsilon}^{\infty} = -\frac{\pi i}{2} \mathrm{e}^{-i\varepsilon} [Y_0(M\varepsilon) + iJ_0(M\varepsilon)] \qquad \dots \qquad \dots \qquad (79)$$

$$oldsymbol{\sim} - i \Big(arphi + \log_{ extsf{e}} rac{Marepsilon}{2} + rac{i\pi}{2} + O(arepsilon) \Big)$$
 ,

and it is proved in Ref. 12 that

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} [Y_{0}(Mx) + iJ_{0}(Mx)] e^{-ix} dx = -\frac{2i}{\pi\sqrt{(1-M^{2})}} \log_{e} \frac{1-\sqrt{(1-M^{2})}}{M}.$$
(80)

Hence, when $\varepsilon \to 0$,

$$\frac{Q}{\nu} \sim i \left[\sqrt{(1-M^2) \log_e \frac{1-\sqrt{(1-M^2)}}{M}} - \log_e \frac{M}{2} \right] \qquad \dots \qquad \dots \qquad (81)$$

and it follows from (71) and (73) that

where

APPENDIX II

Summations

(i) Evaluation of Σ_1 , Σ_2 , Σ_3 and Σ_4 .—By the use of the well-known relations

$2J'_{n}(\lambda) = J_{n-1}(\lambda) - J_{n+1}(\lambda)$]			
$\frac{2nJ_n}{\lambda} = J_{n-1} + J_{n+1}$		 ••	•••	 (84)
$\int_0^{\lambda} J_n^2 \lambda d\lambda = \frac{\lambda^2}{2} \left[J_n^{\prime 2} + \left(1 - \frac{n^2}{\lambda^2} \right) J_n^2 \right]$	J			

it may be shown that

ŗ

and that

. .

Since, by (86),

. .

. . .

. . .

. .

(86)

it follows by integration that

Hence, by (84) and (88),

The remaining series

$$\begin{split} \Sigma_{4} &= \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} J_{n}'(J_{n-1}' + J_{n+1}') \\ &= \frac{d\Sigma_{2}}{d\lambda} - \frac{2}{\lambda} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n J_{n} J_{n}'' \\ &= \frac{d\Sigma_{2}}{d\lambda} - \frac{2}{\lambda} \frac{d}{d\lambda} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n J_{n} J_{n}' + \frac{1}{\lambda} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} 2n J_{n}'^{2} \\ &= \frac{d\Sigma_{2}}{d\lambda} - \frac{2}{\lambda} \frac{d}{d\lambda} \left[\frac{\lambda (J_{1}^{2} + J_{2}^{2})}{4} \right] + \frac{1}{\lambda} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} 2n J_{n}'^{2} \\ &= -\frac{J_{1}^{2} + J_{2}^{2}}{2\lambda} + \frac{1}{\lambda} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} 2n J_{n}'^{2} . \qquad (90)$$

14

Since

$$\int_{0}^{\lambda} 2J_{n}^{2} \lambda \, d\lambda = \lambda^{2} \Big[J_{n'}^{2} + \Big(1 - \frac{n^{2}}{\lambda^{2}} \Big) J_{n}^{2} \Big]$$
$$= \lambda^{2} \Big[2J_{n'}^{2} - \frac{d}{d\lambda} \left(J_{n} J_{n'} \right) \Big] - \lambda J_{n} J_{n'}^{2} , \qquad \dots \qquad \dots \qquad \dots \qquad \dots \qquad (91)$$

t follows that

$$\int_{0}^{\lambda} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} 2n J_n^2 \lambda \, d\lambda = \lambda^2 \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} 2n J_n^2 - \left(\lambda^2 \frac{d}{d\lambda} + \lambda\right) \left(\frac{\lambda \Sigma_2}{2}\right)$$

and, therefore, by (89),
$$\lambda^2 \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} 2n J_n^2 = \frac{\lambda^2}{2} \frac{d}{d\lambda} (\lambda \Sigma_2) + \frac{\lambda^2 \Sigma_2}{2} + \int_0^{\lambda} \lambda^2 \Sigma_3 \, d\lambda \, . \qquad \dots \qquad \dots \qquad \dots \qquad \dots \qquad (92)$$

Hence, by (90) and (92),

$$\Sigma_{4} = \frac{1}{4} \frac{d}{d\lambda} \left(J_{1}^{2} + J_{2}^{2} \right) + \frac{1}{\lambda^{3}} \int_{0}^{\lambda} \int_{0}^{\lambda} (J_{1}^{2} + J_{2}^{2}) \lambda_{1} d\lambda_{1} d\lambda . \qquad (93)$$

TABLE 1Comparison of Results for M = 0.7 (Mid-chord Axis)

l .				l _ż .					
		Approximation	ns	Refs.*	A	Refs.*			
ω	A	В	С		A	В	С		
$ \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \cdot 04 \\ 0 \cdot 08 \\ 0 \cdot 2 \\ 0 \cdot 4 \\ 0 \cdot 6 \\ 0 \cdot 8 \end{array} $	$0 \\ 0 \cdot 0199 \\ 0 \cdot 0538 \\ 0 \cdot 1404 \\ 0 \cdot 1517 \\ 0 \cdot 0127 \\ - 0 \cdot 2493$	$ \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \cdot 0199 \\ 0 \cdot 0539 \\ 0 \cdot 1407 \\ 0 \cdot 1534 \\ 0 \cdot 0149 \\ - 0 \cdot 2518 \end{array} $	$00 \cdot 02250 \cdot 06360 \cdot 19170 \cdot 32510 \cdot 36470 \cdot 3294$	$\begin{matrix} 0 \\ 0 \cdot 0223 \\ 0 \cdot 0629 \\ 0 \cdot 1849 \\ (0 \cdot 193) \\ 0 \cdot 2975 \\ (0 \cdot 313) \\ 0 \cdot 3120 \\ (0 \cdot 360) \\ 0 \cdot 2613 \\ (0 \cdot 317) \end{matrix}$	$ \begin{array}{r} 4 \cdot 399 \\ 4 \cdot 072 \\ 5 \\ 3 \cdot 766 \\ 3 \cdot 123 \\ 2 \cdot 587 \\ 2 \cdot 317 \\ 2 \cdot 150 \\ \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{r} 4 \cdot 399 \\ 4 \cdot 073 \\ 3 \cdot 767 \\ 3 \cdot 130 \\ 2 \cdot 611 \\ 2 \cdot 366 \\ 2 \cdot 232 \\ \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{r} 4 \cdot 399 \\ 4 \cdot 065 \\ 5 \\ 3 \cdot 748 \\ 3 \cdot 086 \\ 2 \cdot 590 \\ 2 \cdot 442 \\ 5 \\ 2 \cdot 467 \\ 5 \\ \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{c} 4\cdot 399\\ 4\cdot 061\\ 3\cdot 740\\ 3\cdot 054\\ (3\cdot 05)\\ 2\cdot 504\\ (2\cdot 51)\\ 2\cdot 269\\ (2\cdot 25)\\ 2\cdot 172\\ (2\cdot 12)\end{array}$	
				(0.317)				(2.12)	

IADLE I-commune	TABLE	1—continued
-----------------	-------	-------------

· .		lα		l _à					
~	A	pproximatior	ıs	Refs.*	A	Refs.*			
ω	A	В	С		*A	B.	С	1 all(1 2	
$ \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \cdot 04 \\ 0 \cdot 08 \\ 0 \cdot 2 \\ 0 \cdot 4 \\ 0 \cdot 6 \\ 0 \cdot 8 \\ \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{r} 4 \cdot 399 \\ 4 \cdot 078 \\ 3 \cdot 783 \\ 3 \cdot 177 \\ 2 \cdot 693 _{5} \\ 2 \cdot 469 \\ 2 \cdot 345 \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{r} 4 \cdot 399 \\ 4 \cdot 078 \\ 3 \cdot 781 \\ 3 \cdot 169 \\ 2 \cdot 667 _{5} \\ 2 \cdot 417 \\ 2 \cdot 258 \\ \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{r} 4 \cdot 399 \\ 4 \cdot 071 \\ 3 \cdot 762 \\ 3 \cdot 124 \\ 2 \cdot 647 \\ 2 \cdot 493 \\ 2 \cdot 494 \\ \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{r} 4\cdot 399\\ 4\cdot 066\\ 3\cdot 757\\ 3\cdot 117\\ (3\cdot 11)\\ 2\cdot 637\\ (2\cdot 63)\\ 2\cdot 471\\ (2\cdot 435)\\ 2\cdot 448\\ (2\cdot 38)\end{array}$	$ \begin{array}{c} -\infty \\ -11 \cdot 402 \\ -7 \cdot 475 \\ -2 \cdot 738 \\ -0 \cdot 3128 \\ 0 \cdot 5374 \\ 0 \cdot 9311 \end{array} $	$-\infty \\ -11 \cdot 400 \\ -7 \cdot 472 \\ -2 \cdot 7275 \\ -0 \cdot 2979 \\ 0 \cdot 5503 \\ 0 \cdot 9369$	$-\infty \\ -13.041 \\ -8.993 \\ -4.002 \\ -1.3705 \\ -0.4213 \\ 0.0287$	$\begin{array}{c} -\infty \\ -12 \cdot 981 \\ -8 \cdot 903 \\ -3 \cdot 881 \\ (-3 \cdot 85) \\ -1 \cdot 2775 \\ (-1 \cdot 45) \\ -0 \cdot 370 \\ 5 \\ (-0 \cdot 46) \\ 0 \cdot 032 \\ (-0 \cdot 07) \end{array}$	
•				(2 00)		• · · · ·			

TABLE 1-continued

		m_z		$-m_{\dot{z}}$					
Sa	A	.pproximation	15	Refs.* - 1 and 2	A	Refs.*			
	A	В	С		A	В	С	- 1 and 2	
$ \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \cdot 04 \\ 0 \cdot 08 \\ 0 \cdot 2 \\ 0 \cdot 4 \\ 0 \cdot 6 \\ 0 \cdot 8 \end{array} $	$0 \\ -0.0058 \\ -0.0167 \\ -0.0536 \\ -0.1069 \\ -0.1523 \\ -0.1951$	$ \begin{array}{r} 0 \\ -0.0058 \\ -0.0167 \\ -0.0538 \\ -0.1080 \\ -0.1559 \\ -0.2040 \\ \end{array} $	$0 \\ -0.0065 \\ -0.0191 \\ -0.0664 \\ -0.1509 \\ -0.2460 \\ -0.3612$	$\begin{matrix} 0 \\ -0.0064 \\ -0.0188 \\ -0.0629 \\ (-0.063) \\ -0.1330 \\ (-0.147) \\ -0.2016 \\ (-0.212) \\ -0.2768 \\ (-0.288) \end{matrix}$	$-1 \cdot 0998 \\ -1 \cdot 0168 \\ -0 \cdot 9383 \\ -0 \cdot 7719 \\ -0 \cdot 6352 \\ -0 \cdot 5727 \\ -0 \cdot 5416$	$ \begin{array}{r} -1 \cdot 0998 \\ -1 \cdot 0170 \\ -0 \cdot 9384 \\ -0 \cdot 7724 \\ -0 \cdot 6362 \\ -0 \cdot 5736 \\ -0 \cdot 5423 \\ \end{array} $	$-1 \cdot 0998 \\ -1 \cdot 0146 \\ -0 \cdot 9316 \\ -0 \cdot 7496 \\ -0 \cdot 5859 \\ -0 \cdot 4938 \\ -0 \cdot 4286$	$\begin{array}{c} -1 \cdot 099 \\ -1 \cdot 013 \overline{z} \\ -0 \cdot 9280 \\ -0 \cdot 743 \\ (-0 \cdot 745) \\ -0 \cdot 5808 \\ (-0 \cdot 582) \\ -0 \cdot 4960 \\ (-0 \cdot 487) \\ -0 \cdot 440 \\ (-0 \cdot 427) \end{array}$	

 $--m_{\alpha}$

 $-m_{\alpha}$

й	A	pproximation	15	Refs.* 1 and 2	А	Refs.*		
	A	В	С		A	В	С	- 1 and 2
$ \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \cdot 04 \\ 0 \cdot 08 \\ 0 \cdot 2 \\ 0 \cdot 4 \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{r} -1.0998 \\ -1.0185 \\ -0.9428 \\ -0.7872 \\ -0.6684 \\ \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{r} -1 \cdot 0998 \\ -1 \cdot 0184 \\ -0 \cdot 9426 \\ -0 \cdot 7867 \\ -0 \cdot 6676 \\ \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{r} -1.0998 \\ -1.0161 \\ -0.9358 \\ -0.7638 \\ -0.6173 \\ \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{r} -1.0995 \\ -1.0148 \\ -0.9333 \\ -0.7595 \\ -0.755) \\ -0.6166 \\ \end{array} $	∞ 3.6453 2.6463 1.4261 0.7915	∞ 3.6450 2.6453 1.4228	∞ $4 \cdot 0547$ $3 \cdot 0245$ $1 \cdot 7391$ $1 \cdot 0529$	$ \begin{array}{c} \infty \\ 4 \cdot 0297 \\ 2 \cdot 9808 \\ 1 \cdot 6690 \\ (1 \cdot 670) \\ 0 \cdot 9761 \end{array} $
0.6 0.8	$ \begin{array}{c} -0.6240 \\ -0.6129 \end{array} $	-0.6235 -0.6133	-0.5437 -0.4996	$ \begin{array}{c} -(0.617) \\ -0.5474 \\ (-0.532) \\ -0.5040 \\ (-0.488) \end{array} $	0 · 5654 0 · 4589	0 · 55557 0 · 4465	0.8058	$ \begin{array}{c c} 0.9761\\ (1.01)\\ 0.7350\\ (0.770)\\ 0.6301\\ (0.648) \end{array} $

* Values in brackets were obtained from tables given in Ref. 2.

.









PRINTED IN GREAT BRITAIN

R. & M. No.

Publications of the Aeronautical Research Council

ANNUAL TECHNICAL REPORTS OF THE AERONAUTICAL RESEARCH COUNCIL (BOUND VOLUMES)

1939 Vol. I. Aerodynamics General, Performance, Airscrews, Engines. 50s. (51s. 9d.)

Vol. II. Stability and Control, Flutter and Vibration, Instruments, Structures, Seaplanes, etc. 63s. (64s. 9d.)

1940 Aero and Hydrodynamics, Aerofoils, Airscrews, Engines, Flutter, Icing, Stability and Control, Structures, and a miscellaneous section. 50s. (51s. 9d.)

1941 Aero and Hydrodynamics, Aerofoils, Airscrews, Engines, Flutter, Stability and Control Structures. 63s. (64s. 9d.)

1942 Vol. I. Aero and Hydrodynamics, Aerofoils, Airscrews, Engines. 75s. (76s. 9d.)

Vol. II. Noise, Parachutes, Stability and Control, Structures, Vibration, Wind Tunnels. 47s. 6d. (49s. 3d.)

1943 Vol. I. Aerodynamics, Aerofoils, Airscrews. 80s. (81s. 9d.)

Vol. II. Engines, Flutter, Materials, Parachutes, Performance, Stability and Control, Structures. 90s. (92s. 6d.)

1944 Vol. I. Aero and Hydrodynamics, Aerofoils, Aircraft, Airscrews, Controls. 84s. (86s 3d.)

 Vol. II. Flutter and Vibration, Materials, Miscellaneous, Navigation, Parachutes, Performance, Plates and Panels, Stability, Structures, Test Equipment, Wind Tunnels. 84s. (86s. 3d.)
 1945 Vol. I. Aero and Hydrodynamics, Aerofoils. 130s. (132s. 6d.)

Vel II Aingrath Aingrating Controls 1905. (1925. (1925. (

Vol. II. Aircrast, Airscrews, Controls. 130s. (132s. 6d.)

Vol. III. Flutter and Vibration, Instruments, Miscellaneous, Parachutes, Plates and Panels, Propulsion. 130s. (132s. 3d.)

Vol. IV. Stability, Structures, Wind Tunnels, Wind Tunnel Technique. 130s. (132s. 3d.)

Annual Reports of the Aeronautical Research Council-

1937 2s. (2s. 2d.) 1938 1s. 6d. (1s. 8d.) 1939-48 3s. (3s. 3d.)

Index to all Reports and Memoranda published in the Annual Technical Reports, and separately—

April, 1950 R. & M. 2600. 2s. 6d. (2s. 8d.)

Author Index to all Reports and Memoranda of the Aeronautical Research Council—

1909-January, 1954. R. & M. No. 2570 15s. (15s. 6d.)

Indexes to the Technical Reports of the Aeronautical Research Council—

 December I, 1936 — June 30, 1939.
 R. & M. No. 1850.
 Is. 3d. (1s. 5d.)

 July I, 1939 — June 30, 1945.
 R. & M. No. 1950.
 Is. (1s. 2d.)

 July I, 1945 — June 30, 1946.
 R. & M. No. 2050.
 Is. (1s. 2d.)

 July I, 1946 — December 31, 1946.
 R. & M. No. 2150.
 Is. (1s. 5d.)

 January I, 1947 — June 30, 1947.
 R. & M. No. 2250.
 Is. 3d. (1s. 5d.)

Published Reports and Memoranda of the Aeronautical Research Council---

Between Nos. 2251–2349 Between Nos. 2351–2449 Between Nos. 2451–2549 Between Nos. 2551–2649

 R. & M. No. 2350.
 1s. 9d. (1s. 11d.)

 R. & M. No. 2450.
 2s. (2s. 2d.)

 R. & M. No. 2550.
 2s. 6d. (2s. 8d.)

 R. & M. No. 2650.
 2s. 6d. (2s. 8d.)

Prices in brackets include postage

HER MAJESTY'S STATIONERY OFFICE

York House, Kingsway, London W.C.2; 423 Oxford Street, London W.1 13a Castle Street, Edinburgh 2; 39 King Street, Manchester 2: 2 Edmund Street, Birmingham 3; 109 St. Mary Street, Cardiff; Tower Lane, Bristol 1; 80 Chichester Street, Belfast, or through any bookseller

S.O. Code No. 23-2855

R. & M. No. 🤇