R. & M. No. 2926 (15,597) A.R.C. Technical Report



MINISTRY OF SUPPLY

AERONAUTICAL RESEARCH COUNCIL REPORTS AND MEMORANDA

Some Remarks on Multhopp's Subsonic Lifting-Surface Theory

K. W. MANGLER, Dr.sc.nat., A.F.R.Ae.S., and B. F. R. SPENCER, B.Sc.

By

Crown Copyright Reserved

LONDON : HER MAJESTY'S STATIONERY OFFICE 1956

THREE SHILLINGS NET

Some Remarks on Multhopp's/Lifting-Surface Theory

By

K. W. MANGLER, Dr.sc.nat., A.F.R.Ae.S.

and

B. F. R. Spencer, B.Sc.

Communicated by the Principal Director of Scientific Research (Air), Ministry of Supply

> Reports and Memoranda No. 2926* August, 1952

Summary.—This note contains an alternative method to that proposed by Multhopp¹ in his subsonic lifting-surface theory for dealing with the spanwise integration of the downwash.

The method consists of arranging the series form of the influence function near the inducing section so that the logarithmic term may be integrated, instead of introducing an artificial correction function as Multhopp does.

Multhopp's scheme for the solution of the system of linear equations is retained, and there is no increase in the amount of computor work involved.

1. Introduction.—In Multhopp's method¹ for calculating the lift distribution of a wing at subsonic speeds the form of the chordwise lift distribution is assumed and then interpolation functions of trigonometrical form are used in setting up the downwash equations, which determine the spanwise distribution of the load and the local aerodynamic centre. Multhopp himself pointed out that the integrand for this spanwise integration contains a logarithmic singularity, so that a direct application of the trigonometrical series becomes questionable. He therefore introduced a correction term allowing for this singularity. In the present note, an alternative method is suggested which allows for the integration of this function with a logarithmic singularity in a more straightforward manner.

2. The Spanwise Integration in Multhopp's Downwash Equation.—Written in non-dimensional quantities, the downwash equation which relates the loading l of the lifting surface to the incidence α (geometrical incidence plus camber and twist) reads:

$$\alpha(\xi,\eta) = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-1}^{+1} \frac{\gamma(\eta')i(\xi,\eta,\eta')}{(\eta-\eta')^2} \, d\eta' - \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-1}^{+1} \frac{\mu(\eta')j(\xi,\eta,\eta')}{(\eta-\eta')^2} \, d\eta' \quad \dots \quad (1)$$

(compare Multhopp¹ equation (60)). Here the 'principal value' of the integral must be taken at $\eta' = \eta$. The functions

$$\gamma = \frac{C_L c}{2b}$$
 and $\mu = \frac{C_m c}{2b}$... (2)

* R.A.E. Tech. Note Aero. 2181, received 30th January, 1953.

1

denote the non-dimensional lift per unit span (circulation) and the pitching moment per unit span respectively and

$$\xi = \frac{2x}{b} \quad \eta = \frac{2y}{b}$$

are non-dimensional co-ordinates in the chordwise and spanwise directions, referred to the halfspan b/2 (c = local chord). The influence functions i and j which depend on the assumptions on the form of the chordwise load distribution (the first producing lift but no moment about the quarter-chord point, the latter no lift, but only a moment) have been tabulated by Multhopp as functions of ξ and $\eta' - \eta$.

Near the point $\eta' = \eta$ the functions *i* and *j* may be written as (compare Multhopp¹, equation (75)):

$$i(\xi,\eta,\eta') = K_1 \left(\frac{b}{2c_{\nu}}\right)^2 (\eta - \eta')^2 \log |\eta - \eta'| + i^*(\xi,\eta,\eta')$$

$$j(\xi,\eta,\eta') = \bar{K}_1 \left(\frac{b}{2c_{\nu}}\right)^2 (\eta - \eta')^2 \log |\eta - \eta'| + j^*(\xi,\eta,\eta')$$
(3)

where i^* and j^* and their first and second derivatives with respect to η' are regular and bounded for all values of $\eta' - \eta$ so that these terms can be expanded as a Fourier polynomial. K_1 and \overline{K}_1 depend on the choice of ξ and thus are constant for the purpose of integration.

The inclusion of an arbitrary constant in the logarithmic term does not improve the approximation, since its contribution to the downwash integral is zero, as will be indicated later on (equation (14)).

Now we introduce

$$\eta' = \cos \vartheta', \quad \eta = \cos \vartheta$$

and write the identity

$$\gamma(\eta') \cdot i = \gamma(\eta) \frac{\sin\vartheta'}{\sin\vartheta} (i - i^*) + \left(\gamma(\eta') - \gamma(\eta) \frac{\sin\vartheta'}{\sin\vartheta}\right) i + \gamma(\eta) \frac{\sin\vartheta'}{\sin\vartheta} i^* \qquad ..$$
(4)

and a similar expression for μ . j. Here the third term and its first and second derivatives are bounded, since this holds for i^* . In the second term the logarithmic singularity, contained in i, is cancelled by the zero of $\gamma(\eta') - \gamma(\eta) \frac{\sin \vartheta'}{\sin \vartheta}$. Thus Multhopp's method for evaluating the spanwise integrals in (1) can easily be applied to the second and third term in (4). For the first term we obtain, using (3) for $i - i^*$:

The integral is evaluated in Appendix I and we have

$$\alpha_1 = -\frac{\gamma(\eta) K_1}{8 \sin \vartheta} \left(\frac{b}{2c_{\nu}}\right)^2 \left(\cos 2\vartheta - \log 4\right) . \qquad (6)$$

For the contribution of the second and third terms in (4) to the integral (1) we may write

$$\alpha_{2} = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-1}^{+1} \left[\gamma(\eta')i - \gamma(\eta) \frac{\sin\vartheta'}{\sin\vartheta} K_{1} \left(\frac{b}{2c_{\nu}} \right)^{2} (\eta - \eta')^{2} \log |\eta - \eta'| \right] \frac{d\eta'}{(\eta - \eta')^{2}} \dots$$
(7)

If we use a trigonometrical interpolation formula for the bracket at the *m* stations (n = 1, ..., m)

$$\eta' = \eta_n' = \cos \vartheta_n' = \cos \left(\frac{\pi}{2} - \frac{n\pi}{m+1} \right) = \sin \frac{n\pi}{m+1} \qquad \dots \qquad (8)$$

and calculate α_2 for the same set ($\nu = 1, \ldots m$)

$$\eta = \eta_{\nu} = \sin \frac{\nu \pi}{m+1} \qquad \dots \qquad \dots \qquad \dots \qquad \dots \qquad (9)$$

of spanwise stations, we have, according to Multhopp¹ (equation (71)):

$$\alpha_{2\nu} = b_{\nu\nu}\gamma_{\nu}i_{\nu\nu} - \sum_{n=-\frac{(m-1)}{2}}^{\frac{m-1}{2}} b_{\nu n} \left[\gamma_{n}i_{\nu n} - \gamma_{\nu}\frac{\sin\vartheta_{n}}{\sin\vartheta_{\nu}}K_{1}\left(\frac{b}{2c_{\nu}}\right)^{2}(\eta_{\nu} - \eta_{n})^{2}\log|\eta_{\nu} - \eta_{n}| \right] \qquad ..$$
(10)

where the coefficients $b_{\nu\nu}$ and $b_{\nu m}$ are given by Multhopp¹.

When adding the contribution α_1 and omitting the terms dependent on μ for the time being, we obtain the following system of linear equations, which replaces the integral equation (1):

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{\nu} &= \gamma_{\nu} \left[b_{\nu\nu} i_{\nu\nu} + \frac{K_1 \left(\log 4 - \cos 2\vartheta_{\nu} \right)}{8 \sin \vartheta_{\nu}} \left(\frac{b}{2c_{\nu}} \right)^2 \right. \\ &+ \sum_n ' b_{\nu n} \frac{\sin \vartheta_n}{\sin \vartheta_{\nu}} \left. K_1 \left(\frac{b}{2c_{\nu}} \right)^2 (\eta_{\nu} - \eta_n)^2 \log \left| \eta_{\nu} - \eta_n \right| \right] - \sum_n ' b_{\nu n} \gamma_n i_{\nu n} \end{aligned}$$

or

with

$$\bar{i}_{\nu\nu} = i_{\nu\nu} + \left(\frac{b}{2c_{\nu}}\right)^2 \frac{K_1}{b_{\nu\nu}} \left[\frac{(\log 4 - \cos 2\vartheta_{\nu})}{8\sin \vartheta_{\nu}} + \sum_n' b_{\nu n} \frac{\sin \vartheta_n}{\sin \vartheta_{\nu}} (\eta_{\nu} - \eta_n)^2 \log |\eta_{\nu} - \eta_n| \right].$$
(13)

Substituting the values of b_{yy} and b_{yn} as given by Multhopp, and simplifying, we have,

$$\bar{i}_{\nu\nu} = i_{\nu\nu} + 4K_1 \left(\frac{b}{2c_\nu}\right)^2 \frac{1}{(m+1)^2} \left[\sum_n' \sin^2 \vartheta_n \log |\eta_\nu - \eta_n| - \frac{m+1}{8} \left(\cos 2\vartheta_\nu - \log 4\right)\right].$$
(14)

If an arbitrary constant is included in the logarithmic term we find that its coefficient in equation (14) becomes zero.

When using two terms for the chordwise lift distribution we obtain a similar expression for \bar{j}_{vv} , where K_1 is replaced by the corresponding value for the second term. Thus Multhopp's scheme for the solution of the linear equations can be retained, the only difference being the new expressions for the 'diagonal' terms \bar{i}_{vv} and \bar{j}_{vv} respectively.

3. Numerical Values.—Multhopp's equations (86) are now replaced by a similar set based on equation (14). The values for the cases of one and two chordwise pivotal stations are as follows:—

(a) One chordwise pivotal station 0.75c

$$ilde{\imath}_{\scriptscriptstyle
m yy} = 1\!\cdot\!8847\,+\,3\!\cdot\!9205\!\Bigl(rac{b}{2c_{\scriptscriptstyle
m y}}\Bigr)^{\!\!2}F(artheta)$$

- (b) Two chordwise pivotal stations 0.9045c and 0.3455c
 - 0.9045c

$$\bar{\imath}_{\nu\nu'} = 1.9742 + 4.7894 \left(\frac{b}{2c_{\nu}}\right)^2 F(\vartheta)$$

$$\bar{\jmath}_{\nu\nu}' = 0.2859 - 36.9168 \left(\frac{b}{2c_{\nu}}\right)^2 F(\vartheta)$$

0.3455c

where the dashes have the same significance as in Multhopp's report, and

$$F(\vartheta) = \frac{1}{(m+1)^2} \left[\sum_{n}' \sin^2 \vartheta_n \log |\eta_{\nu} - \eta_n| - \frac{m+1}{8} (\cos 2\vartheta_{\nu} - \log 4) \right]. \qquad (16)$$

(15)

 $F(\vartheta)$ has been evaluated for the most used cases of 7 and 15 spanwise stations, the results being given in the following Tables 1 and 2.

TABLE 1

т	=	7

ν	± 3	<u>+</u> 2	± 1	0
$F(\vartheta)$	0.00125	0.00542	0.00958	0.01130

m = 15

	ν	± 7	± 6	± 5	± 4	± 3	± 2	±1	0
_	$F(\vartheta)$	0.000078	0.000375	0.000825	0.00135	0.00188	. 0·00 233	0.00263	0.002735

To get some idea of the differences involved in using the new correction, the values of the coefficient of $(b/2c_{\nu})^2$ in the $i_{\nu\nu'}$ term in the two cases have been compared, as follows:

m = 7		Multhopp Method	Revised Method
$ u = \pm 3$ Coeff. of ($\left(\frac{b}{2c_{y}}\right)^{2}$ in $\bar{\imath}_{yy}$ term	0.0087	0.0060
$\nu = 0$,, ,,	,, ,,	0.0596	0.0541
m = 15			
$v=\pm 7$ Coeff. of ($\left(\frac{b}{2c_{y}}\right)^{2}$ in \bar{i}_{yy} term	0.00058	0.00037
$\nu = 0$,, ,,	່. ມີ ມີ	0.0152	0.0131

With corresponding differences in the $\bar{j}_{\nu\nu}'$, $\bar{i}_{\nu\nu}''$ and $\bar{j}_{\nu\nu}''$ terms. These show the percentage differences to be considerably greater at the stations nearest the wing tips than at the central wing section.

4. Examples.—(i) The following tables show the load distribution on a delta wing (60-deg leading-edge sweep) of aspect ratio $2 \cdot 31$ at unit incidence as obtained by using

(a)	Multhopp's	correction term
---	----	------------	-----------------

	<u></u> .								
(a)	v	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
	γ	0.7006	0.6827	0.6326	0.5540	0.4524	0.3348	0.2119	0.0929
	μ	$\overline{0} \cdot 0719$	$\overline{0} \cdot 0416$	$\overline{0} \cdot 0231$	$\overline{0} \cdot 0117$	$\overline{0} \cdot 0011$	0.0081	0.0106	0.0015
	$X_{\mathrm{A.C.}}$	0.3737	0.3109	0.2865	0.2711	0.2524	0.2258	0.2000	0.2339
			I		<u> </u>	r	<u> </u>		
(b)	v	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
	γ	0.7045	0.6867	0.6367	0.5588	0.4574	0.3403	0.2184	0.1044
	μ	ō·0731	$\bar{0} \cdot 0424$	$\bar{0} \cdot 0237$	$\overline{0} \cdot 0121$	$\overline{0} \cdot 0012$	0.0087	0.0118	0.0040
	$X_{\rm A.C.}$	0.3747	0.3117	0.2872	0.2716	0.2526	0.2244	0.1960	0.2117

(b) New correction term.

Whilst we have seen that the values of the i_{yy} and j_{yy} terms obtained by the two methods differed considerably, their effect on the final lift and $X_{A.C.}$ distributions is very small. It is even smaller on the overall lift slope and aerodynamic centre. We find

reC.

$$\frac{d\bar{C}_L}{d\alpha} = 2.422 \text{ (Multhopp)} = 2.445 \text{ (new)}$$
$$-\frac{\partial \bar{C}_M}{\partial \bar{C}_r} = 1.178 \text{ (Multhopp)} = 1.181 \text{ (new)}$$

(measured from apex in terms of mean chord).

Using a different approach Mr. H. C. Garner of the National Physical Laboratory, Teddington also tried to improve on Multhopp's original treatment of the logarithmic singularity in the spanwise integration. His results are in complete agreement with our equations (15) (Tables 1 and 2). He also calculated the load on two plan-forms using Multhopp's and the new coefficients. The results are given here with his permission:

(ii) Arrowhead wing $(A = 6, \text{ taper ratio } \lambda = 0, \text{ sweepback of quarter-chord line} = 45 \text{ deg})$ 15-point solution:

			•		
a)	v	0	2	4	6
	γ	0.4087	0.3715	0.2437	0.0866
	μ	-0.0346	0.0041	0.0068	-0.0003
	$X_{A.C.}$	0.3806	0 ·23 90	0.2221	0.2535
				I	
)	ν	0	2	4	6
	γ	0.4155	0.3790	0.2522	0.0966
	μ	-0.0358	0.0048	0.0082	0.0003
	X_{A,C_i}	0.3822	0.2373	0.2175	0.2469

$$\frac{dC_L}{d\alpha} = 3.552 \text{ (Multhopp)} \quad 3.648 \text{ (new)}$$
$$-\frac{\partial \bar{C}_M}{\partial \bar{C}_L} = 1.702 \text{ (Multhopp)} \quad 1.711 \text{ (new)}$$

(iii) Cropped Delta wing $(A = 3, \text{ taper ratio } \lambda = 1/7, \text{ Leading-edge sweep 45 deg})$ 7-point solution:

(a)	ν	0	1	2	3
-	γ	0.6600	0.6025	0.4539	0.2505
	μ	-0.0294	0.0068	0.0137	0.0159
	$X_{ m A.C.}$	0.3331	0.2387	0.2198	0.1865
-					

(b)	v	0	0 1		3
	γ 	0.6683 -0.0300	0.6111 0.0076	0.4635 0.0158	0.2580 0.0210
	μ $X_{ m A.C.}$	0.3334	0.0376 0.2376	0.2159	0.1686

$$\frac{dC_L}{d\alpha} = 3.071 \quad \text{(Multhopp)} \quad 3.122 \quad \text{(new)}$$
$$= \frac{\partial \bar{C}_M}{\partial \alpha} = 0.9180 \quad \text{(Multhopp)} \quad 0.9177 \quad \text{(new)}.$$

 $\partial \overline{C}_{T}$

In all these examples the difference between the old and the new results is fairly small. The γ -values and the values for the lift slope are more affected than the μ -values and the a.c.-position. Since the functions $F(\vartheta)$ in (15) are multiplied by $(b/c_{\gamma})^2$ the effect of the new integration method will be most noticeable for wings of a fairly large aspect ratio and for wings with a small taper ratio λ (pointed tips). In the latter case the load near the wing tips will be most affected. This general trend is confirmed by the examples. The delta wing of aspect ratio $2 \cdot 31$ shows the smallest difference between the old and the new values, whereas the results for the arrowhead wing (A = 6) differ most.

5. Conclusion.—The method of correcting for the logarithmic singularity in the spanwise integration as suggested by this note entails solely the modification of Multhopp's equations (86) as shown in equations (15) (in conjunction with Tables 1 and 2).

While for most practical plan-forms the results for the γ - and μ - distributions as obtained by the present method apparently do not differ very much from the results obtained by Multhopp's original method, it is felt that the use of the new correction is justified on account of its sounder basis and the fact that it does not involve any departure from Multhopp's procedure¹ or any additional work.

	-					
						REFERENCES
No.		Autho)r			Title, etc.
1	H. Multhopp	•••	••	• •	•••	Methods for calculating the lift distribution of wings (Subsonic lifting surface theory). R. & M. 2884. January, 1950.
2	H. Glauert	•••	•••	••	••	The Elements of Aerofoil and Airscrew Theory. 2nd edition. Cam- bridge University Press. 1948.
3	N. Hofreiter	• • -	••	••	••	Table of integrals, Part II: Definite integrals. 1944. Issued September 1948 by T.I.B. for Chief Scientist Ministry of Supply.

7

APPENDIX I

Evaluation of an Integral

We have to evaluate the integral in equation (5), namely

$$h(\vartheta) = \frac{4}{\pi} \int_{-1}^{+1} \sin \vartheta' \log |\eta' - \eta| \, d\eta' \, .$$

Substituting the values

$$\eta = \cos artheta$$
 , $\eta' = \cos artheta'$, $d\eta' = -\sin artheta' dartheta'$

we have

$$h(\vartheta) = \frac{4}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi} \sin^2 \vartheta' \log |\cos \vartheta' - \cos \vartheta| \, d\vartheta' \, . \qquad \dots \qquad \dots \qquad (I.1)$$

Next we differentiate equation (I.1) with respect to ϑ , obtaining

$$rac{dh}{dartheta} = rac{4}{\pi} \int_0^\pi rac{\sin^2artheta'\,\sinartheta}{\cosartheta'-\cosartheta} dartheta'$$

which becomes

According to Glauert² we have for n = 0, 1, 2...

$$\int_{0}^{\pi} \frac{\cos n\vartheta'}{\cos \vartheta' - \cos \vartheta} d\vartheta' = + \frac{\pi \sin n\vartheta}{\sin \vartheta}$$

Substituting these values in equation (I.2) we obtain

$$rac{dh}{dartheta}=-2\sin2artheta$$

giving

.

where C is a constant.

To evaluate the constant C we substitute the particular value of $\vartheta = \pi/2$ in equation (I.1) which becomes

$$h\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right) = \frac{4}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi} \sin^2 \vartheta' \log |\cos \vartheta'| \, d\vartheta'$$
$$= \frac{4}{\pi} \left[h_1\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right) - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{\pi} \cos 2\vartheta' \log |\cos \vartheta'| \, d\vartheta' \right] \qquad \dots \qquad \dots \qquad (I.4)$$

where

$$h_1\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{\pi} \log|\cos\vartheta'| \, d\vartheta' = \int_0^{\pi/2} \log|\cos\vartheta'| \, d\vartheta'$$
$$= \int_0^1 \frac{\log\eta'}{\sqrt{(1-\eta'^2)}} d\eta' = -\frac{\pi}{2} \log 2 \qquad \dots \qquad \dots \qquad (I.5)$$

(see Ref. 3). We integrate the second term of equation (I.4) by parts and obtain after simplifying:

Comparing (I.6) with (I.3) taken at the value of $\vartheta = \pi/2$ we find that $C = -\log 4$, and the complete solution is given by

$$h(\vartheta) = \cos 2\vartheta - \log 4 \, \dots \, (I.7)$$

Printed in Great Britain under the authority of Her Majesty's Stationery Office By The Hove Printing Co., Ltd. (7803) Wt. 18/680 K7. 12/56 H.P.Co. 34-261

Publications of the Aeronautical Research Council

ANNUAL TECHNICAL REPORTS OF THE AERONAUTICAL RESEARCH COUNCIL (BOUND VOLUMES)-

1939 Vol. I. Aerodynamics General, Performance, Airscrews, Engines. 50s. (51s. 9d.) Vol. II. Stability and Control, Flutter and Vibration, Instruments, Structures, Seaplanes, etc. 63s. (64s. 9d.)

1940 Aero and Hydrodynamics, Aerofoils, Airscrews, Engines, Flutter, Icing, Stability and Control, Structures, and a miscellaneous section. 50s. (51s. 9d.)

1941 Aero and Hydrodynamics, Aerofoils, Airscrews, Engines, Flutter, Stability and Control, Structures. 63s. (64s. 9d.)

1942 Vol.

Vol. I. Aero and Hydrodynamics, Aerofoils, Airscrews, Engines. 75s. (76s. 9d.) Vol. II. Noise, Parachutes, Stability and Control, Structures, Vibration, Wind Tunnels. 47s. 6d. (49s. 3d.)

I. Aerodynamics, Aerofoils, Airscrews. 80s. (81s. 9d.) 1943 Vol.

Vol. II. Engines, Flutter, Materials, Parachutes, Performance, Stability and Control, Structures. 90s. (92s. 6d.)

I. Aero and Hydrodynamics, Aerofoils, Aircraft, Airscrews, Controls. 84s. 1944 Vol. (86s. 3d.)

Vol. II. Flutter and Vibration, Materials, Miscellaneous, Navigation, Parachutes, Performance, Plates and Panels, Stability, Structures, Test Equipment, Wind Tunnels. 84s. (86s. 3d.)

1945 Vol. I. Aero and Hydrodynamics, Aerofoils. 130s. (132s. 6d.) Vol. II. Aircraft, Airscrews, Controls. 130s. (132s. 6d.)

Vol. III. Flutter and Vibration, Instruments, Miscellaneous, Parachutes, Plates and

Panels, Propulsion. 130s. (132s. 3d.) Vol. IV. Stability, Structures, Wind Tunnels, Wind Tunnel Technique. 130s. (132s. 3d.)

ANNUAL REPORTS OF THE AERONAUTICAL RESEARCH COUNCIL

1937 2s. (2s. 2d.) 1938 1s. 6d. (1s. 8d.) 1939-48 3s. (3s. 3d.)

INDEX TO ALL REPORTS AND MEMORANDA PUBLISHED IN THE ANNUAL TECHNICAL REPORTS, AND SEPARATELY-

R. & M. No. 2600 2s. 6d. (2s. 8d.) April, 1950

AUTHOR INDEX TO ALL REPORTS AND MEMORANDA OF THE AERONAUTICAL **RESEARCH COUNCIL-**

1909-January, 1954 R. & M. No. 2570 15s. (15s. 6d.)

INDEXES TO THE TECHNICAL REPORTS OF THE AERONAUTICAL RESEARCH COUNCIL-

December 1, 1936 — June 30, 1939 July 1, 1939 — June 30, 1945 July 1, 1945 — June 30, 1946 July 1, 1946 — December 31, 1946 January 1, 1947 — June 30, 1947

R. & M. No. 1850 1s. 3d. (1s. 5d.) R. & M. No. 1950 1s. (1s. 2d.) 1s. (1s. 2d.) 1s. 3d. (1s. 5d.) 1s. 3d. (1s. 5d.) R. & M. No. 2050 R. & M. No. 2150 R. & M. No. 2250

PUBLISHED REPORTS AND MEMORANDA OF THE AERONAUTICAL RESEARCH COUNCIL-

Between Nos. 2251-2349 Between Nos. 2351-2449 Between Nos. 2451-2549 Between Nos. 2551-2649

R. & M. No. 2350 1s. 9d. (1s. 11d.) R. & M. No. 2450 2s. (2s. 2d.) R. & M. No. 2550 2s. 6d. (2s. 8d.) R. & M. No. 2650 2s. 6d. (2s. 8d.)

Prices in brackets include postage

HER MAJESTY'S STATIONERY OFFICE

York House, Kingsway, London, W.C.2; 423 Oxford Street, London, W.I (Post Orders: P.O. Box 569, London, S.E.1);
 13a Castle Street, Edinburgh 2; 39 King Street, Manchester 2; 2 Edmund Street, Birmingham 3; 109 St. Mary Street, Cardiff; Tower Lane, Bristol 1; 80 Chichester Street, Belfast or through any bookseller.

S.O. Code No. 23-2926

R. & M. No. 2926