C.P. No. 851



LIBRA ROYAL AIRCRAFT ESTABLISHMENT BEDFORD.

# MINISTRY OF AVIATION

AERONAUTICAL RESEARCH COUNCIL

CURRENT PAPERS

# Mercury Programmes for Lifting Surface Theory Calculations on Wings Oscillating in Supersonic Flow

by

G. Z. Harris, Ph.D.

LONDON: HER MAJESTY'S STATIONERY OFFICE

1**9**66

PRICE 13s 6d NET

C.P. No.851 November 1964

#### MERCURY PROGRAMMES FOR LIFTING SURFACE THEORY CALCULATIONS ON WINGS OSCILLATING IN SUPERSONIC FLOW

by

G. Z. Harris, Ph.D.

# SUMMARY

Programmes for lifting surface theory calculations on wings oscillating in supersonic flow are described. The computation falls into two parts, one finding the complex influence matrices connecting lift and downwash, and the other finding the generalised forces when the influence matrices are given as data. The numerical method is described and values of constants used in the calculations are given.

Replaces R.A.E. Tech Report No. 64064 - A.R.C. 26839

CONTENTS

	CONTENTS	]	Page
1	INTRODUCTION		3
2	THE FROGRAMMES		3
3	THE NUMERICAL METHOD		5
4	DESCRIPTION OF PROGRAMMES		9
	4.1 The programmes and their use		9
	4.2 Data input and output		12
5	DISCUSSION		12
6	CONCLUSIONS		13
Append	lix A Data input and output for the programmes		14
Append	lix B Summary of method		27
Append	lix C Logical structure of the programmes		35
Append	lix D Lift and downwash points, interpolation functions and integration constants		37
Symbol	Ls		61
Refere	ences		64
Illus	trations	Figures 1	- 7
Detacl	hable abstract cards		-

ł

f,

1

### 1 INTRODUCTION

....

Programmes have been written in the Mercury Autocode system for finding the generalised forces on wings oscillating harmonically in supersonic flow. The method used is a modification of the Multhopp-Richardson method<sup>1</sup>, and is described in a separate paper<sup>2</sup>; the purpose of the present paper is to describe the programmes and the details of the numerical method.

The method relies on replacing the integral equation (which one cannot solve in general) connecting the downwash and lift by a matrix equation (which one can solve) for the lift values at a set of points on the wing. These lifts being known, the generalised aerodynamic forces corresponding to any particular modes of distortion can be found. The machine calculation is thus split into two parts; the first builds up the matrix equation and inverts the complex matrices needed for its solution, while the second finds the generalised aerodynamic forces for wing distortion modes which are expressible as polynomials in the chordwise and spanwise co-ordinates. The first part of the calculation is performed differently for different wing planforms and for different leading and trailing edge conditions; the second is not. Hence six programmes have been written to perform the first part of the calculation for different classes of planform, and one programme does service for all other cases in the second stage in which the generalised forces are found. Necessity, in the form of machine capacity limitations, dictated that the calculation should be split into these two parts. This may, however, be thought of as a virtue since, once the complex matrices for any wing have been found by the first programme, the generalised forces for different sets of distortion modes may be found on separate occasions without repeating the whole of the calculation.

### 2 THE PROGRAMMES

The programme titles are:

- RAE 178A Multhopp-Richardson; segmented planform, subsonic leading edge, supersonic trailing edge.
- RAE 179A Multhopp-Richardson; curved subsonic leading edge, straight supersonic trailing edge.
- RAE 180A Multhopp-Richardson; segmented planform, subsonic edges.
- RAE 181A Multhopp-Richardson; segmented planform, supersonic edges.
- RAE 182A Multhopp-Richardson; segmented planform, subsonic leading edge, mixed trailing edge.

RAE 258A Multhopp-Richardson; curved mixed leading edge, straight supersonic trailing edge.

0

ê,

RAE 183A Generalised forces for polynomial modes.

These are written for general values of frequency parameter, and special versions RAE 178A/1, RAE 179A/1, 180A/1, 181A/1, 182A/1, 258A/1 and 183A/1 are written to take advantage of the reduction in computation (and machine) time possible in the steady case. These programmes need as data some constants specifying the planform together with some standard data relating to the number of integration stations taken. This is detailed in Appendix A.

The 'segmented' planform of RAE 178A, RAE 180A, RAE 181A, RAE 182A is a symmetric planform of the type shown in Fig.1, where the half-wing is divided into three spanwise sections having different leading and trailing edge sweep-back angles. These sections are not necessarily swept back at different angles so that, for example, a delta wing falls into this classification. In RAE 178A, RAE 180A and RAE 181A the leading and trailing edge conditions are clear from the programme titles. In RAE 182A the 'mixed' trailing edge described is one in which the outer section is supersonic while the inner two sections are subsonic and swept back; the wing of Fig.2 is of this type, being of a shape used in a current aircraft rather than a general example. The planform dealt with by RAE 179A and 258A is shown in Fig.3. With co-ordinates (X,Y,Z) based on root chord, the equation of the leading edge for Y  $\geq 0$  is

 $Y = S(a_1 X + a_2 X^2 + \dots + a_1 X^{14})$ 

the leading edge for Y < 0 being defined by symmetry. Here, S = semi-span/rootchord. The trailing edge is straight. Ogive and gothic planforms have leading edges of this type with suitable values of  $a_1$ ,...,  $a_5$  and  $a_6 = \ldots = a_{14} = 0$ . In RAE 258A the 'mixed' leading edge described is one in which a central portion of the leading edge on each half of the wing is supersonic, as shown in Fig.4.

The above programmes with their various planform variations are written with some hope of covering the range of planform shapes likely to be of practical interest, together with their leading and trailing edge conditions. Variants of four of the programmes, namely RAE 178A/2, RAE180A/2, RAE 181A/2, RAE 182A/2, have been devised to take any type of planform into account for the leading and trailing edge conditions specified. Since the planform is not limited in these to the 'segmented' type, more preliminary work needs to be done by the user for these programmes. More information about the planform is needed than the basic

data required, for example, for the segmented planform; the actual input needed for these programmes is specified in Appendix A.

The programme RAE 183A evaluates generalised forces for wing distortion modes which are expressible as polynomials in the chordwise and spanwise coordinates. It accepts as input data the output of any of programmes 178A, 179A, 180A, 181A, 182A or 258A together with information about the modes for which the generalised forces are needed. Separate versions, RAE 183A/1 and RAE 183A/2, deal with the steady case and with general planforms respectively. The actual form of the polynomials giving the wing distortion, and the input data for this programme, is given in Appendix A.

#### 3 THE NUMERICAL METHOD

24

The method used, which is a modification of the Multhopp-Richardson method, is described in a separate Report<sup>2</sup>. For completeness, a summary of the steps in the calculation is given in Appendix B.

The aim of the calculation is to evaluate the matrices  $M_{rs}$ ,  $N_{rs}$  and  $R_{rs}$  of equations (22) and (23) of Appendix B, and to combine these to form one large matrix C which may be used to evaluate the generalised forces. The logarithmic correction terms (that is, those involving  $\delta_{\beta s}$  in (16)) are then found and used to modify the relevant elements of C. The steps taken in the calculation may be roughly detailed as follows (the symbols are all defined in Appendix B):-

(a) Work out the co-ordinates of the lift and downwash points, and  $\eta_1$  and  $\eta_2$  at each point.

(b) Work out  $x_{rs} - x_{rs,\lambda\gamma}$  and  $y_s - y_{rs,\gamma}$  for all the integration points and each downwash point, and find the other quantities needed in forming  $M_{rs}$ ,  $N_{rs}$ ,  $R_{rs}$ .

(c) Evaluate K(X, Y), equation (9), for all the values of  $X = X rs^{-X} rs_{\gamma}\lambda\gamma$ and  $Y = y_s - y_{rs,\gamma}$ .

(d) For each downwash point form the matrices  $H \underset{rs}{M} \underset{rs}{N} \underset{rs}{R} \underset{rs}{R}$  G' of equation (22) and combine these.

(e) Form the correction terms (i.e. those terms of (16) which involve  $\delta_{\beta s}$ ) and add to the appropriate terms already found in (d) above to give the matrix C.

(f) Form from C the symmetric and anti-symmetric aerodynamic influence matrices A, B, L and M of (19).

(g) Form the matrices  $\overline{Z}$ ,  $\overline{\overline{Z}}$  etc (see following equation (20)) of the deflection and downwash values at the collocation points.

(h) Form the generalised force matrices.

So far as the organisation of the programmes is concerned, steps (a) to (f) form the first part of the calculation while steps (g) and (h) form the second part.

Integrals have to be evaluated numerically at three points in the calculation.

In the expression (17) for  $L_{a}(\vec{\xi}_{r}, \eta_{s})$  write

$$\begin{split} \vec{\xi}_{r} & -\frac{1}{2}i\nu c(\eta_{s})(\vec{\xi}_{r}-\xi) \\ \int e^{-1} & h_{a}(\xi)d\xi = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}(\vec{\xi}_{r}-1) & \vec{\xi}_{r} \\ \int + & \int \\ -1 & \frac{1}{2}(\vec{\xi}_{r}-1) \end{cases} e^{-\frac{1}{2}i\nu c(\eta_{s})(\vec{\xi}_{r}-\xi)} & h_{a}(\xi)d\xi \end{cases}$$

The second integral on the right is evaluated by an eight-point Legendre-Gauss formula (see, for example, Gawlik<sup>3</sup> for the weights and abscissae). The first integral on the right hand side is found either by an eight point Jacobi-Gauss formula if  $h_{\alpha}(\xi)$  has a singularity of the form  $1/\sqrt{(1 + \xi)}$  occurring at  $\xi = -1$  (see, for example, Mineur<sup>4</sup> page 289 for the weights and zeros) or by an eight point Legendre-Gauss formula if  $h_{\alpha}(\xi)$  has no singularity at  $\xi = -1$ .

¢.

The expression (16) for  $C_{\alpha\beta}(\tilde{\xi}_r, \eta_s)$  contains an integral

 $\int_{\eta_1}^{\eta_2} \sqrt{1 - \eta_s^2 \log |\eta - \eta_s|} \, d\eta$ 

which may be written in either of the forms

0

**\$** 

¢

$$\int_{\eta_{1}}^{\eta_{2}} \sqrt{1 - \eta^{2}} \log |\eta - \eta_{s}| d\eta = \begin{cases} \eta_{s}^{-0.2} & \eta_{2} & \eta_{s} & \eta_{s}^{+0.2} \\ \int_{\eta_{1}}^{\eta_{2}} \eta_{s}^{+0.2} & \eta_{s}^{-0.2} & \eta_{s} \\ & \text{if } \eta_{2} - \eta_{s} > 0.2, \eta_{s} - \eta_{1} > 0.2, \end{cases} \\ \eta_{s}^{-0.2} & \eta_{s} & \eta_{2} \\ & \int_{\eta_{1}}^{\eta_{2}-0.2} \eta_{s} & \eta_{2} \\ & & \eta_{1} & \eta_{s}^{-0.2} & \eta_{s} \\ & & \text{if } \eta_{2} - \eta_{s} < 0.2, \eta_{s} - \eta_{1} > 0.2, \end{cases} \\ \eta_{s} & \eta_{2} \\ & & \int_{\eta_{1}}^{\eta_{3}} \eta_{2} - \eta_{s} < 0.2, \eta_{s} - \eta_{1} > 0.2, \end{cases}$$

The last two of these integrals on the right-hand side are evaluated by a Gauss formula which takes the logarithmic singularity at  $\eta = \eta_s$  into account (suitable Gauss weights and zeros are given in Mineur<sup>4</sup>, page 556); the remaining integrals, if any, are found by a Legendre-Gauss formula.

The third integral which has to be evaluated is

$$(X+MR)/(\beta^{2}|Y|) = \int_{(X-MR)/(\beta^{2}|Y|)} \frac{\tau \{\cos \nu \tau |Y| + i \sin \nu \tau |Y|\}}{\sqrt{1 + \tau^{2}}} d\tau , \qquad (1)$$

which occurs in equation (9) for K(X,Y). The real and imaginary parts of this are dealt with separately; the upper limit  $(X+MR)/(\beta^2|Y|)$  is positive, whereas  $(X-MR)/(\beta^2|Y|)$  can be either positive or negative, so some simplification follows from the odd and even nature of the integrands. To evaluate an integral like

$$\int_{a}^{b} \frac{\cos\left(\nu\tau \left|Y\right|\right) \frac{\tau d\tau}{\sqrt{1 + \tau^{2}}}, \qquad (2)$$

for some a and b with  $a \ge 0$  and b > 0, the integral is expressed as the sum of t integrals

where

 $\psi = \min\left(\frac{\pi}{5}, \frac{\pi}{\nu|Y|}\right)$ 

and t is an integer chosen so that

;

.,

• .

 $0 < b - a - (t - 1)\psi < \psi$ .

Since the limits of integration in (1) are large when  $\beta^2 |Y|$  is small the following approximation is used when large limits occur. For any c and d

$$\begin{vmatrix} i\nu |Y| \int_{c}^{d} \frac{\tau e^{-i\nu\tau |Y|}}{\sqrt{1 + \tau^{2}}} d\tau + \left[ e^{-i\nu\tau |Y|} \frac{\tau}{\sqrt{1 + \tau^{2}}} \right]_{c}^{d} = \left| \int_{c}^{d} e^{-i\nu\tau |Y|} \frac{d\tau}{(1 + \tau^{2})^{3/2}} \right| \\ \leq \int_{c}^{d} \frac{d\tau}{(1 + \tau^{2})^{3/2}} \leq \int_{c}^{\infty} \frac{d\tau}{(1 + \tau^{2})^{3/2}} = 1 - \frac{c}{\sqrt{1 + c^{2}}}.$$

This last expression is less than  $10^{-4}$  if  $c \ge 71$ , which gives the approximations

\_

9

(3)

$$\nu |Y| \int_{0}^{d} \cos \left(\nu\tau |Y|\right) \frac{\tau d\tau}{\sqrt{1 + \tau^{2}}} \neq \left[\frac{\tau \sin \left(\nu\tau |Y|\right)}{\sqrt{1 + \tau^{2}}}\right]_{0}^{d}$$

and

'ŀ

C

C

C

٥

$$\nu |Y| \int_{c}^{d} \sin \left(\nu\tau |Y|\right) \frac{\tau d\tau}{\sqrt{1 + \tau^{2}}} \div - \left[\frac{\tau \cos \left(\nu\tau |Y|\right)}{\sqrt{1 + \tau^{2}}}\right]_{c}^{d}$$

to within  $10^{-4}$  if  $c \ge 71$ . This approximation may be used as it stands if, in (2), a > 71 and b > 71. If a < 71 and b > 71 write

$$\int_{a}^{b} = \int_{a}^{71} + \int_{71}^{b}$$

and approximate to the second integral by means of (3).

For the various leading and trailing edge conditions different positions for the lift points, interpolation functions and integration points have to be taken to account for the different singularities. These are discussed in section 4.2.

### 4 DESCRIPTION OF PROGRAMMES

### 4.1 The programmes and their use

The programmes RAE 178A to 182A and 258A which find the aerodynamic matrices are all six chapter Mercury Autocode programmes, and their logical structure is given in Appendix C. The variants of these programmes (178A/1, 178A/2 etc.) are also six chapter Mercury Autocode programmes, whose logical structure is similar to that given in Appendix C. The programme RAE 183A which finds generalised forces is a three chapter Mercury Autocode programme, and its logical structure is also described in Appendix C.

Due to the limited capacity of the computer, there are certain restrictions on the size of problem which may be treated by the programmes. The quantities m, n, p and q must satisfy

 $2 \le m \le 10$ ,  $n \le 21$ ,  $p \le 10$ ,  $q \le 21$ 

together with additional limitations which are given in the following table.

If m =	then n ≤	
2	21	
3	18	
4	14	
5	10	
6,7	8	
8,9	6	
10	5	

Also

mpq x integral part of  $\left\{\frac{1}{2}(n+1)\right\} \leq 1608$ .

For 180A and 182A there is the additional limitation that  $mq \leq 189$ .

There are limitations on the Mach number and frequency parameter which can be used, but these are imposed by the basic theory rather than by limitations of the computer or of the detailed numerical method. The method breaks down when M = 1; Mach numbers very close to M = 1 will give trouble, since the functions

 $\frac{2X}{R} \exp\left\{\frac{-i\nu M^2 X}{M^2 - 1}\right\} \cos\left\{\frac{MR\nu}{M^2 - 1}\right\}$ 

of equation (9), Appendix B, will have a large number of waves making approximate integrations involving this function difficult. Similar remarks apply to large values of the frequency parameter  $\nu$ . However, larger numbers of lift and downwash points and of integration points may be used for extreme values of M and  $\nu$  to offset this, and this is discussed below.

In any particular case, the values of m and n, and consequently the number of lift and downwash points, are chosen with regard to the complexity of the modes for which the generalised forces are ultimately needed. These should be chosen in such a way that the deflection can be adequately represented by an (m - 1)th degree polynomial in the chordwise co-ordinate and an (n - 1)th degree polynomial in the spanwise co-ordinate. However, even for rigid-body motions of any particular wing there are minimum values of m and n below which reasonable answers could not be expected. In addition, a higher value of m should be chosen when the Mach number is close to unity. The choice of p and q, 0

3

20

Ð

which determines the number of integration points, will depend on M and v; a higher value of p should be taken for M near unity or for large v. The value of q should be higher if there are any kinks in the planform or if the Mach number is close to one; in the latter case the integration areas of Fig.5 extend further in the spanwise direction. In addition, the number of points chosen will depend on the aspect ratio of the wing under consideration. For low aspect ratio wings, for example, more chordwise lift and downwash points (as well as integration points) than spanwise points will be needed.

The foregoing remarks on the numbers of points to be taken, and on limitations, are of a general character and should be taken as an overall guide if related to any particular problem.

24

C

ê

C

The time taken by programmes 178A etc. varies approximately linearly with

$$m pq \times integral part of \frac{1}{2}(n + 1)$$
.

Since there is also some variation in time taken with Mach number and frequency parameter, and also from planform to planform, it is only possible to predict times to within rather broad limits. Examples of times which have been taken using programmes 178A and 179A are given in the following table.

m	n	p	q	Mach No.	ν	Planform	Time
2	7	3	7	1.25	0.3	) Delta wing	18 min
3	7	3	7	1.25	0.3	aspect	25 "
3	7	5	7	1.25	0.3	, ratio 1.456	38 "
3	7	3	9	1.25	0.25	) Swept wing, aspect ratio 2, 60°	30 "
3	7	3	7	1.12	0.25	>leading edge sweep, 27°	32 "
3	7	3	7	1.80	0.25	) trailing edge sweep	15 "
3	7	3	7	1.054	0.25	Cropped delta wing, aspect ratio	37 "
3	7	3	7	1.41	0.25	3, leading edge sweep, 49°	17 "
3	7	3	7	1.01	0.15	Delta wing, aspect ratio 1.5	102 "
5	8	5	8	2.0	2.4	Ogive wing, aspect ratio 0.87	60 "

Times for programmes 180A etc. should be little different. The considerable effect of Mach number on the computing time, which is exemplified above for the cropped delta wing of aspect ratio 3, should be noted; the reason for this variation is that for M close to unity the limits  $(X - MR)/(\beta^2|Y|)$  and

 $(X + MR)/(\beta^2|Y|)$  will be large in modulus, and the integrals of (9), Appendix B will take longer to evaluate.

The times taken for programmes 178A/1 etc., which operate for zero frequency parameter, will be very much less than these times since the function K(X, Y) of (9) takes the particularly simple form 2X/R instead of having to be found by numerical integration.

Programme 183A, and its derivatives, which find the generalised forces are straightforward and quick. It is not worthwhile to discuss them in any detail here.

## 4.2 Data input and output

The data input and output for the individual programmes is given in detail in Appendix A.

For the programmes RAE 178A etc. which perform the first part of the calculation, this consists of basic data specifying the planform followed by data needed for the numerical work, consisting of the chordwise and spanwise positions of the lift and downwash points and matrices connected with the interpolation functions used and integration points. This latter numerical data depends only on the wing leading and trailing edge conditions, and is in the form of standard input tapes; these vary with the number of points taken for the various stages of the calculation (that is with choice of m, n, p and q) and values are given in Appendix D. The standard tapes containing this data are kept along with the programmes.

The output data from these programmes is suitable for input to the generalised forces programme 183A (or one of its variants). Additional data, giving information about the polynomial distortion modes for which generalised forces are required, have also to be provided.

The output of programme 183A is the matrix of generalised forces, the real part being divided by the aspect ratio and the imaginary part by (aspect ratio)  $\times$  (frequency parameter). This form is chosen since, if simple pitching and heaving modes only are being considered, the data output gives, with a change of sign, the aerodynamic derivatives for these modes.

#### 5 DISCUSSION

The Mercury programmes described in this Report have been used in aerodynamic derivative calculations on a number of wings. Results have been presented in Ref.2 for an ogee wing, a symmetrical tapered wing and a delta wing and comparisons made with other theoretical and experimental results. Further calculations 6

a.

597

£

are being made on a series of cropped delta and swept wings which are being used in an extensive programme of theoretical and experimental work. The results of these calculations are to be given in a separate Report. In view of this, the reader is referred to these separate sources for examples of the results which have been obtained, and of the good agreement which has been obtained both with experiment and with other theory.

# 6 <u>CONCLUSIONS</u>

ଚ

Mercury Autocode programmes have been developed which calculate generalised forces on wings oscillating in supersonic flow. A fairly general specification of planform and, of leading and trailing edge conditions is allowed for by means of separate programmes.

13

:'

# DATA INPUT AND OUTPUT FOR THE PROGRAMMES (see section 2)

#### INDEX

Page

٢

e

A.1 Input for programmes 178A, 180A, 181A and 182A 15 A.2 Input for programmes 179A, 258A 18 Input for programmes 178A/1, 179A/1, 180A/1, 181A/1, 182A/1, 258A/1 A. 3 19 Input for programmes 178A/2, 180A/2, 181A/2, 182A/2 A.4 19 Output from programmes 178A, 179A, 180A, 181A, 182A, 258A, 178A/2, A.5 22 180A/2, 181A/2 and 182A/2 A.6 Output from programmes 178A/1, 179A/1, 180A/1, 181A/1, 182A/1, 23 258A/1 Input for programmes 183A, 183A/1 and 183A/2 A.7 23 A.8 Output from programmes 183A, 183A/1 and 183A/2 25

For all programmes described in this Appendix, standard data tapes are available containing numerical data necessary for the calculations. This is as follows:

(a) For programmes 178A, 179A, 180A, 181A, 182A, 258A etc., tapes containing n,G and q,P.

(b) For programmes 178A, 179A, 181A etc., tapes containing m, H,  $\overline{\xi}_1 \dots \overline{\xi}_m$ .

(c) For programme 180A etc., tapes containing m, H.

(d) For programme 182A etc., tapes containing m, H,  $\xi_1 \dots \xi_m$  (for subsonic leading edge, supersonic trailing edge), H (for subsonic leading edge, subsonic trailing edge).

(e) For programme 258A etc., tapes containing m, H,  $\overline{\xi}_1 \dots \overline{\xi}_m$  (for subsonic leading edge, supersonic trailing edge), H,  $\overline{\xi}_1 \dots \overline{\xi}_m$  (for supersonic leading edge, supersonic trailing edge).

7

ç

6

ŝ

G

ŝ

A.1 Input for programmes 178A, 180A, 181A and 182A

These programmes all deal with the 'segmented' planform of Fig.1, for different leading and trailing edge conditions. Data are provided as follows:

.

# RAE 178A Multhopp-Richardson: segmented planform, subsonic leading edge. supersonic trailing edge

Segmented planform data (see below)

	N <sub>1</sub>	number of variations of Mach number, m and n
	M	Mach number
	<sup>m</sup> 1	number of chordwise lift and dewnwash stations
First set of	<sup>Н</sup> 1	$m_1 \times m_1$ matrix of coefficients in chord- wise interpolation functions relevant to the leading and trailing edge conditions, defined in Appendix B following (22)
values of (M,m,n)	Ē <sub>1</sub> ,,Ē <sub>m1</sub>	the m <sub>1</sub> chordwise downwash points relevant to the leading and trailing edge conditions
	n <sub>1</sub>	number of spanwise lift and downwash stations
	G <sub>1</sub>	$n_1 \times n_1$ matrix of coefficients in span- wise interpolation functions, defined in Appendix B following (22)
	N <sub>2</sub>	number of variations of p and q
First set of	( <sup>p</sup> 1	number of chordwise integration stations
values of	a <sub>1</sub>	number of spanwise integration stations
(p,q)	P <sub>1</sub>	$q_1 \times q_1$ matrix of coefficients in span- wise integration functions, defined in Appendix B following (14)
	N <sub>3</sub>	number of variations of frequency parameter
	ν <sub>1</sub> ,,ν <sub>N</sub> <sub>3</sub>	N <sub>3</sub> values of frequency parameter



w<sub>1</sub>, ..., w<sub>p1</sub>

÷.

ç

£

÷.

0

ŝ,

 $p_1$  weights, related to Gauss weights by  $W_i = W_i/k(\zeta_i)$ , with  $W_i$  and  $k(\zeta_i)$  defined in Appendix B, equation (12) and adjacent text.



### RAE 182A Multhopp-Richardson: segmented planform, subsonic leading edge. mixed trailing edge

Segmented planform data (see below); note that number of segments can only be 2 or 3

as defined for 178A

relevant to subsonic leading edge, supersonic trailing edge as defined in 178A

relevant to subsonic leading edge, subsonic trailing edge; compare with 180A



# Segmented planform data

d.

n

N,

H,

M<sub>1</sub>m<sub>1</sub>

 $H_1(\overline{\xi}_1 \dots \overline{\xi}_{m_4})$ 

For the above four programmes, basic planform data relevant to the 'segmented' planform of Fig.1 must be provided. This consists of

root ch	iord	l/mean ch	ord			
number	of	segments	making	up	half	wing
(n = 1,	,2,3	3)				

first segment  $0 \le y \le y_1$  (y<sub>1</sub> non-У1 dimensional and based on mean cnord)  $\Lambda_1 =$ leading edge sweep  $\tan \Lambda_1$  $\tan \phi_1$  $\phi_1$  = trailing edge sweep y, as above for the second segment  $\tan \Lambda_2$  $y_1 \le y \le y_2$ , if applicable  $\tan \phi_2$ У3 as above for third segment  $y_2 \le y \le y_3$  if applicable  $\tan \Lambda_3$  $\tan \phi_z$ 

# A.2 Input for programmes 179A and 258A

These programmes deal with planforms having curved leading edges, as shown in Fig.3. Data is provided as follows.

# RAE 179A Multhopp-Richardson, curved subsonic leading edge, straight supersonic trailing edge

Curved planform data (see below)

 $\left.\begin{array}{c} N_{1} \\ M_{1}m_{1}H_{1}(\overline{\xi}_{1}\cdots\overline{\xi}_{m_{1}})n_{1}G_{1} \\ N_{2} \\ P_{1}q_{1}P_{1} \\ N_{3} \\ \nu_{1}\cdots\nu_{N_{3}} \\ \text{etc. etc.} \end{array}\right\} \text{ as defined for 178A above}$ 

RAE 258A Multhopp-Richardson, curved mixed leading edge, straight supersonic trailing edge

Curved planform data (see below)

 $\begin{array}{c} N_{1} \\ M_{1}m_{1}H_{1}(\overline{\xi}_{1}\cdots\overline{\xi}_{m_{1}}) \\ H_{1}(\overline{\xi}_{1}\cdots\overline{\xi}_{m_{4}}) \end{array} \qquad \text{as in 178A above}$ 

06

0

ŝ

ð

Ξ

È

<u>\_ا</u>(`

ŝ

S,

0

ទ

ę,



#### Curved planform data

The planform, of the type shown in Fig.3, has its leading edge specified for  $Y \ge 0$  by

$$Y = S(a_1 X + a_2 X^2 + \dots + a_N x^N)$$
, (N < 14)

X and Y here being based on the root chord, and the leading edge for Y < O defined by symmetry. The equation of the trailing edge is

$$X - 1 = Y \tan \Lambda$$
.

The 'curved planform' data are then as follows:

N	degree of polynomial
a1•••aN	coefficients
$ an \Lambda$	tangent of trailing edge sweepback
S	semi-span/root chord

# A.3 Input for programmes 178A/1, 179A/1, 180A/1, 181A/1, 182A/1 and 258A/1

These are the versions of 178A, 179A, 180A, 181A, 182A and 258A which deal with zero frequency parameter. The input data are the same as the input data specified for the corresponding programmes in A.1 and A.2 above, except that the parameters  $N_3$  and  $\nu_1 \cdots \nu_{N_3}$  are omitted.

## A.4 Input for programmes 178A/2, 180A/2, 181A/2, 182A/2

These programmes, namely

RAE 178A/2 Multhopp-Richardson; subsonic leading edge, supersonic trailing edge RAE 180A/2 Multhopp-Richardson; subsonic edges

- RAE 181A/2 Multhopp-Richardson; supersonic edges
- RAE 182A/2 Multhopp-Richardson; subsonic leading edge, mixed trailing edge

are the versions of 178A, 180A, 181A and 182A which take account of any planform, providing certain planform data are provided. The input data for these programmes are as follows.

RAE 178A/2 Multhopp-Richardson: subsonic leading edge, supersonic trailing edge  $m_1 H_1(\overline{\xi}_1 \cdots \overline{\xi}_{m_1})$ defined in A.1 above for 178A n<sub>1</sub>G<sub>1</sub>  $P_1 q_1 P_1$ Mach number M frequency parameter v (see below) Planform data RAE 180A/2 Multhopp-Richardson: subsonic edges m<sub>1</sub>H<sub>1</sub>  $n_1G_1$ defined in A.1 above for 180A P1 q1 P1 Mach number M, ν frequency parameter Planform data (see below) RAE 181A/2 Multhopp-Richardson: supersonic edges  $m_{1}H_{1}(\overline{\xi}_{1}\cdots\overline{\xi}_{m_{1}})$  $m_{1}G_{1}$  $p_{1}(\zeta_{1}\cdots\zeta_{p_{1}})(w_{1}\cdots w_{p_{1}})$ defined in A.1 above for 181A qP1 Mach number M4 ν frequency parameter (see below) Planform data RAE 182A/2 Multhopp-Richardson: subsonic leading edge, mixed trailing edge  $m_1 H_1 (\overline{\xi}_1 \cdots \overline{\xi}_{m_4}) \overline{H}_1$ defined in A.1 above for 182A n<sub>1</sub>G<sub>1</sub> P1 q1P1

20

14

0

2

ົ

0

M <sub>1</sub>	Mach number		
ν	frequency parameter		
η	value of $\eta$ at which trailing edge discontinuity occurs		
Planform data	(see below)		

#### Planform data

For the above four programmes, certain planform data must be provided, consisting of:

đ			root ch
8			semi-sp
x(1) xlift	x(1) downwash	y(1)	3r = 3m x and y reference
	•		downwas
(r)	$x^{(r)}$	y <sup>(r)</sup>	furthes
lift	downwash	-	section

$$\eta_1^{(1)} \cdots \eta_1^{(r)}$$
  
 $\eta_2^{(1)} \cdots \eta_2^{(r)}$   
 $\xi$ 

root chord/mean chord semi-span/mean chord

 $3r = 3m \left[\frac{1}{2}n + \frac{1}{2}\right] \text{ values of co-ordinates}$ x and y (referred to mean chord as reference length) at the r lift and downwash points, starting at point furthest upstream on centre line section, and ending at point furthest downstream on extreme starboard section

t =  $\left[\frac{1}{2}n + \frac{1}{2}\right]$  values of (chord/mean chord), starting at centre line section (or starboard section nearest it)

r values of  $\eta_1$  and  $\eta_2$ , as defined in Appendix B, following (9), r being defined above

r x q matrix of values of  $\xi$  at intersections of spanwise integration stations with reversed Mach lines through downwash points

rp  $\times$  q matrix of values of  $\overline{X}$ =  $x_{\text{downwash}}$  - $x_{\text{integration}}$ , for each of the s downwash points there being a p  $\times$  q matrix of  $\overline{X}$ 's.

x

It should be noted that the above programmes do not allow for repeats for variations of any of the quantities for which repeats are allowed in 178A, 180A, 181A, 182A, namely  $(M_1, m_1, n_1)$ ,  $(p_1, q_1)$  and  $v_{\circ}$ 

# A.5 <u>Output from 178A, 179A, 180A, 181A, 182A, 258A, 178A/2, 180A/2, 181A/2</u> and 182A/2

The output from these programmes is:

8	= semi-span/mean chord
đ	= root chord/mean chord
N <sub>1</sub>	number of variations of Mach number, m and n (not in 178A/2 etc.)
M <sub>1</sub>	Mach number
<sup>m</sup> 1	number of chordwise lift and downwash stations
n <sub>1</sub>	number of spanwise lift and downwash stations
$\begin{array}{c} x_{\text{lift}}^{(1)} & x_{\text{downwash}}^{(1)} & y^{(1)} \\ & \cdot \\$	$3t = 3m \left[\frac{1}{2}n + \frac{1}{2}\right]$ values of co-ordinates x and y, taken in order described in A.4 under 'planform data', and referred to mean chord as reference length
N <sub>2</sub>	number of variations of p and q (not in 178A/2 etc.)
₽ <sub>1</sub>	number of chordwise integration stations
q <sub>1</sub>	number of spanwise integration stations
<sup>N</sup> 3	number of variations of frequency parameter (not in 178A/2 etc.)
ν <sub>1</sub>	first value of frequency parameter
$16\pi s^{2}A_{1}$ , $-16\pi s^{2}B_{1}$	where the matrices A and B are defined in Appendix B, equation (19)
16 m <sup>2</sup> I16 m <sup>2</sup> M	
	where the matrices L and M are defined in Appendix B, equation (19)
v <sub>2</sub>	where the matrices L and M are defined in Appendix B, equation (19) second value of frequency parameter

22

3

٢

¥

3

Ę

Ş

64

S

¢

Ω

6

# A.6 Data output from 178A/1, 179A/1, 180A/1, 181A/1, 182A/1, 258A/1

Output from these programmes is the same as that output from RAE 178A etc. described in section A.5 above, except that the values of  $N_3$ ,  $\nu$ , B and M (all of which are zero in the steady case to which these programmes apply) do not appear.

# A.7 Data input for 183A, 183A/1 and 183A/2

The programme evaluates generalised forces for modes  $Z_i$ , based on mean chord, which are expressible as polynomials in the chordwise and spanwise coordinates. Taking  $\eta$  (which is ±1 at the port and starboard tips) as the spanwise co-ordinate, the polynomials are defined as follows

# (i) Symmetric distortions

(ia) Purely chordwise distortions are defined by polynomials

$$a_0 + a_1 x + \dots + a_r x^r$$
 (r < 19).

(ib) Purely spanwise distortions are defined by polynomials

$$b_0 + b_1 \eta^2 + \dots + b_s \eta^{2s}$$
 (s < 19).

(ic) Distortions having both a spanwise and chordwise element are defined by polynomials

$$c_{00} + c_{01} x + \dots + c_{0t} x^{t}$$
  
+  $c_{10} \eta^{2} + c_{11} \eta^{2} x + \dots + c_{1t} \eta^{2} x^{t}$   
...  
$$\vdots$$
  
...  
$$c_{t0} \eta^{2t} + c_{t1} \eta^{2t} x + \dots + c_{tt} \eta^{2t} x^{t}$$
 (t < 6).

#### (ii) Antisymmetric distortions

(iia) Purely spanwise distortions are defined by polynomials

$$d_0 \eta + \dots + d_u \eta^{2u+1}$$
 (u < 19).

(iib) Distortions having both a chordwise and spanwise component are defined by polynomials

0E

•

ů

É

3

â

T



The input for the programme is then as follows.

RAE 183A Generalised forces RAE 183A/2)	for polynomial modes (and RAE 183A/1, and
n	<pre>Indicator: n = 0 if symmetric modes only     1 if antisymmetric only     2 if both symmetric and antisymmetric</pre>
r <sub>1</sub>	number of symmetric chordwise polynomials (see (ia) above) (do not punch if $n = 1$ )
r	maximum degree of these (if $r_1 \neq 0$ and $n \neq 1$ )
$ \begin{array}{c}     a_{0}^{(1)} \dots a_{r}^{(1)} \\     \vdots \\     (r_{1}) \dots (r_{1}) \\     a_{0}^{(r_{1})} \dots a_{r}^{(r_{1})} \end{array} $	r <sub>1</sub> (r + 1) coefficients
<sup>8</sup> 1	number of symmetric spanwise polynomials (see (ib) above) (do not punch if $n = 1$ )
28	maximum degree of these (if $s_1 \neq 0$ and $n \neq 1$ )
$\left.\begin{array}{c} b_{0}^{(1)} \cdots b_{s}^{(1)} \\ \vdots \\ (s_{1}) & (s_{1}) \\ b_{0} & \cdots & b_{s} \end{array}\right\}$	s <sub>1</sub> (s + 1) coefficients
t <sub>1</sub>	number of symmetric double polynomials (see (ic) above) (do not punch if $n = 1$ )
• t	maximum degree of these (if $t_1 \neq 0$ and $n \neq 1$ )

~G4

ŝ

ç

5

0

u, number of antisymmetric spanwise polynomials (see (iia)) (do not punch if n = 0) 2u + 1maximum degree of these (if  $u_1 \neq 0$  and  $n \neq 0$ )  $\begin{array}{c} d_0^{(1)} \cdots d_u^{(1)} \\ \vdots \\ d_0^{(u_1)} \cdots d_u^{(u_1)} \end{array} \end{array} \right\} \qquad u_1 \ (u+1)^2 \ \text{coefficients}$ number of antisymmetric double polynomials v, (see (iib)) (do not punch if n = 0) maximum degree of these (if  $v_1 \neq 0$  and  $n \neq 0$ ) v  $\begin{array}{c} e_{00}^{(1)} \cdots e_{vv}^{(1)} \\ \vdots \\ (v_1) & (v_1) \end{array} \end{array} \begin{cases} v_1 (v+1)^2 \text{ coefficients} \end{cases}$ followed by data output from the appropriate programme, namely for 183A the output from 178A, 179A, 180A, 181A, 182A and 258A described in A.5 above for 183A/1 the output from 178A/1, 179A/1, 180A/1, 181A/1, 182A/1 and 258A/1 described in A.6 above for 183A/2 the output from 178A/2, 180A/2, 181A/2, 182A/2 described in A.5 above. A.8 Data output from programmes 183A. 183A/1 and 183A/2 The data output from 183A is as follows RAE 183A Generalised forces for polynomial modes M 1 Mach number number of chordwise lift and downwash stations <sup>m</sup>1 number of spanwise lift and downwash stations n number of chordwise integration stations P1

O;

ē

ع

ŗ,

હે

Ş

ê

94	number of spanwise integration	stations			
<sup>۷</sup> 1	first value of frequency parameter				
<sup>E</sup> 1, <sup>F</sup> 1	two $(r_1 + s_1 + t_1)$ square matrices of symmetric general- ised forces (E real, F imaginary)	$r_1, s_1, t_1, u_1$ and $v_1$ are as de- fined in input for 183A in section A.7 > $-2s(E_1 + iv F_1) = Q$ symmetric			
<sup>G</sup> 1, <sup>H</sup> 1	two (u <sub>1</sub> + v <sub>1</sub> ) square matrices of antisymmetric generalised forces	$-2s(G_1+i\nu H_1) = Q$ antisymmetric where Q is defined in equation (20) of Appendix B			

 $v_2$  etc. etc.

Output from RAE 183A/1 differs only in that

(i) the frequency parameter is not punched, being zero, and there are no repeats for different frequency parameters,

(ii)  $F_1$  and  $H_1$  are not printed since v = 0.

Output from RAE 183A/2 differs in that there are no repeats of (M,m,n), (p,q) or  $\nu$ .

#### Appendix B

# SUMMARY OF METHOD (see section 3)

The detail of the method is given in a separate report<sup>2</sup>. A summary, together with the main results, is given here for completeness and to enable the main steps in the programmes to be followed.

Wing co-ordinates  $\xi$  and  $\eta$  are chosen so that

$$x - x_{\text{mid chord}} = \frac{1}{2}\xi c(\eta)$$

$$s\eta = y$$
(4)

where  $\bar{c} c(\eta) = \text{local chord, } s\bar{c} = \text{semi-span and } (x,y)$  are co-ordinates based on the wing mean chord  $\bar{c}$  as reference length. Two sets of mn points are taken over the wing; one of points  $(\xi_{\alpha}, \eta_{\beta})$  at which the lift is evaluated and one of points  $(\bar{\xi}_r, \eta_s)$  at which downwash values are taken. According to local leading and trailing edge conditions, a function

 $f(\xi) = \begin{cases} \sqrt{\left(\frac{1-\xi}{1+\xi}\right)} \text{ (subsonic leading edge, subsonic trailing edge} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{(1+\xi)}} \text{ (subsonic leading edge, supersonic trailing edge)} \\ \sqrt{(1-\xi)} \text{ (supersonic leading edge, subsonic trailing edge)} \end{cases}$ (5)

is defined which takes into account the singularity which occurs in the chordwise lift distribution. Then the m points  $\xi_{\alpha}(\alpha = 1, \ldots, m)$  are chosen to be the zeros of the m'th degree polynomial of the set orthogonal with respect to the weight function  $f(\xi)$  over (-1,1); the  $\overline{\xi}_r$  are similarly chosen with weight function  $f(-\xi)$ . The n points  $\eta_s$  are chosen to be the zeros of the n'th degree polynomial of the set orthogonal with respect to the weight function  $\sqrt{(1 - \eta^2)}$  over (-1,1). Lift and downwash points for m = 2, n = 7 are shown for a delta wing with subsonic leading edge in Fig.6.

These points being chosen, interpolation functions  $h_a(\xi)$  and  $g_\beta(\eta)$  are defined, having the form

$$h_{a}(\xi) = (polynomial of degree (m - 1) in \xi) f(\xi)$$

and

$$g_{\beta}(\eta) = (\text{polynomial of degree } (n - 1) \text{ in } \eta) \sqrt{(1 - \eta^2)}$$

with the properties

$$\begin{array}{c} h_{\alpha}(\xi_{\gamma}) = \delta_{\alpha\gamma} \\ g_{\beta}(\eta_{\gamma}) = \delta_{\beta\gamma} \end{array} \right\} , \qquad (6)$$

;

 $\boldsymbol{\delta}_{\boldsymbol{\beta}\boldsymbol{\gamma}}$  being the Kronecker delta. We also define

$$H_{\alpha} = \frac{1}{2} \int_{-1}^{1} h_{\alpha}(\xi) d\xi, \qquad G_{\beta} = \frac{1}{2} \int_{-1}^{1} g_{\beta}(\eta) d\eta \quad .$$
 (7)

The integral equation connecting reduced lift  $\ell(\xi,\eta)$  and downwash  $w(\xi,\eta)$ on a wing oscillating harmonically with frequency parameter  $\nu$  in an airstream of speed V is

$$w(\xi',\eta') = \frac{-1}{8\pi s} \int \frac{c(\eta)d\eta}{(\eta'-\eta)^2} \int K(x'-x, y'-y) \ell(\xi,\eta)d\eta \quad (8)$$

$$\eta_1(\xi',\eta') = -1$$

where

ŝ

٩

Ŧ

;

ç

ŝ

9

$$K(X,Y) = \begin{cases} \frac{2X}{R} \exp\left\{\frac{-i\nu M^{2}X}{\beta^{2}}\right) \cos\left\{\frac{MR\nu}{\beta^{2}}\right\} + i\nu|Y|e^{-i\nu X} \begin{pmatrix} (X+MR)/(\beta^{2}|Y|) \\ \int \\ (X-MR)/(\beta^{2}|Y|) & \sqrt{1+\tau^{2}} e^{-i\nu\tau|Y|} d\tau \\ if X > \beta|Y| and Y \neq 0 \\ if X > \beta|Y| and Y = 0 \\ 0 & if X < \beta|Y| \end{cases}$$

.... (9)

and  $R = \sqrt{(X^2 - \beta^2 Y^2)}$ ,  $\beta^2 = M^2 - 1$ . K(X,Y) takes the particularly simple form 2X/R if v = 0. Further,  $\xi_M(\xi',\eta',\eta) = \min\{\xi_1(\xi',\eta',\eta),1\}$  and  $\xi = \xi_1(\xi',\eta',\eta)$  is the equation of the reversed Mach lines through the point (x',y'); these cut the wing leading edges (or tips) where  $\eta = \eta_1(\xi',\eta')$  and  $\eta = \eta_2(\xi',\eta')$ . The region of integration in (8) is shown in Fig. 5. If the lift is approximated by  $\overline{\ell}(\xi,\eta)$ , where

$$c(\eta)\overline{\ell}(\xi,\eta) = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{m} \sum_{\beta=1}^{n} P_{\alpha\beta} \frac{h_{\alpha}(\xi)g_{\beta}(\eta)}{H_{\alpha}G_{\beta}}$$
(10)

and

$$P_{\alpha\beta} = H_{\alpha}G_{\beta}o(\eta_{\beta})\ell(\xi_{\alpha},\eta_{\beta})$$
(11)

the integrations in (8) may be performed approximately.

To perform the chordwise integration a variable  $\zeta$  such that  $\zeta = -1$  at the leading edge and  $\zeta = 1$  at  $\xi = \xi_M(\xi', \eta', \eta)$  is taken. The singularity in the chordwise integration of (8) can be represented by a function

$$k(\zeta) = \sqrt{\left(\frac{1-\zeta}{1+\zeta}\right)}, \quad \frac{1}{\sqrt{(1-\zeta^2)}}, \quad \frac{1}{\sqrt{(1-\zeta)}}$$
 (12)

which depends on the singularity in the integrand arising from the singularity in K at the Mach line and the singularity in  $h_{a}(\xi)$  at the leading edge (and

ê

Ł

3

З

Ξ

۲

trailing edge, if relevant). Other possible forms of  $k(\zeta)$ , which do not arise in the programmes considered here, are given in Refs.1 and 2. The chordwise integration of (8) can then be carried out by a p-point Gauss-type integration formula, the integrand being evaluated at p points  $\zeta_{\lambda}(\lambda = 1, ..., p)$  and multiplied by weights  $W_{\lambda}/k(\zeta_{\lambda})$  ( $W_{\lambda}$  being the relevant Gauss weight).

For the spanwise part of the integration in (8) a variable  $\phi$ , such that  $\phi = (-1,1)$  corresponds to  $\eta = (\eta_1,\eta_2)$ , is defined; q points  $\phi_{\gamma}(\gamma = 1, \dots, q)$  in (-1,1) are chosen to be the q zeros of the qth degree Chebyshev polynomial. Interpolation polynomials  $p_{\gamma}(\phi)$  ( $\gamma = 1, \dots, q$ ) of degree (q - 1) are defined so that

$$P_{\gamma}(\phi_{\alpha}) = \delta_{\gamma \alpha} \quad (13)$$

Then a suitable integration formula for any function  $\text{U}(\eta)$  is

$$\eta_{2}(\xi',\eta') = \frac{U(\eta)d\eta}{(\eta - \eta')^{2}} = \frac{2}{\eta_{2} - \eta_{1}} (U(\overline{\eta}_{1}) \dots U(\overline{\eta}_{q}))P \int_{0}^{1} \frac{d\phi}{(\phi - \phi')^{2}}$$
(14)  
$$\eta_{1}(\xi',\eta') = \frac{1}{(\phi - \phi')^{2}} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{\phi^{q-1}}{(\phi - \phi')^{2}} d\phi$$

where  $2 \bar{\eta}_{\gamma} = (\eta_2 - \eta_1)\phi_{\gamma} + \eta_1 + \eta_2$ , and P is the  $(q \times q)$  matrix of coefficients of the polynomials  $p_1(\phi)$ , ...,  $p_q(\phi)$ . The integrals on the right of (14) are easy to evaluate exactly.

Carrying out the chordwise and spanwise integrations of (8) gives the equation

$$-8\pi s w(\overline{\xi}_{r},\eta_{s}) = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{m} \sum_{\beta=1}^{n} P_{\alpha\beta} C_{\alpha\beta}(\overline{\xi}_{r},\eta_{s})$$
(15)

for the downwash at any point  $(\bar{\xi}_r, \eta_s)$ . Here

Appendix B

، ۲

ć

÷

ŝ

$$= \frac{1}{G_{\beta}(\eta_{2}(\overline{\xi}_{r},\eta_{s})-\eta_{1}(\overline{\xi}_{r},\eta_{s}))} \sum_{\gamma=1}^{q} \sum_{\lambda=1}^{p} \frac{g_{\beta}(\eta_{rs,\gamma})W_{\lambda}\{1+\xi_{M}(\overline{\xi}_{r},\eta_{s},\eta_{rs,\gamma})\}h_{\alpha}(\xi_{rs,\lambda\gamma})}{H_{\alpha}k(\zeta_{\lambda})} \times$$

× K(x<sub>rs</sub> - x<sub>rs, 
$$\lambda\gamma$$</sub>, y<sub>s</sub> - y<sub>rs,  $\gamma$</sub> )  $\int \frac{p_{\gamma}(\phi)d\phi}{(\phi-\phi_{rs})^2}$ 

$$+ \delta_{\beta s} \frac{L_{\alpha}(\vec{\xi}_{r},\eta_{s})}{G_{s}\sqrt{(1-\eta_{s}^{2})}} \begin{cases} \eta_{2}(\vec{\xi}_{r},\eta_{s}) \\ \sqrt{(1-\eta^{2})} \log |\eta-\eta_{s}| d\eta - \frac{2}{\eta_{2}(\vec{\xi}_{r},\eta_{s})-\eta_{1}(\vec{\xi}_{r},\eta_{s})} \sum_{\gamma=1}^{q} \sqrt{(1-\eta^{2}_{rs},\gamma)} \times (\eta-\eta_{rs},\gamma)^{2} \log |\eta-\eta_{rs},\gamma| \int_{-1}^{1} \frac{p_{\gamma}(\phi) d\phi}{(\phi-\phi_{rs})^{2}} \end{cases}$$
(16)

and

$$L_{\alpha}(\vec{\xi}_{r},\eta_{s}) = \frac{4s^{2}}{H_{\alpha}\{o(\eta_{s})\}^{2}} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} i (M^{2} + 1) v o(\eta_{s}) h_{\alpha}(\vec{\xi}_{r}) + (M^{2} - 1) h_{\alpha}'(\vec{\xi}_{r}) + \frac{1}{4} v^{2}\{o(\eta_{s})\}^{2} \int_{-1}^{\vec{\xi}_{r}} \exp \{-\frac{1}{2} i v c(\eta_{s})(\vec{\xi}_{r} - \xi)\} h_{\alpha}(\xi) d\xi \right\} .$$
(17)

The immediate substitution of (10) and (11) in (8), and the approximate evaluation of the chordwise and spanwise integrals is not the only step taken to reach equation (15). Allowance is made for a logarithmic singularity which arises from the chordwise integration, and this gives rise to those terms of (16) which involve  $L_{\alpha}(\bar{\xi}_r, \eta_s)$ . It will be noted that the right hand side of (16) entails the evaluation of the function K(X,Y), as well as some of the other quantities, at a set of pq integration points. These points are shown for a particular case with p = 3 and q = 7 in Fig.7. Since any problem may be considered as the sum of a symmetric and an antisymmetric problem, the downwash need only be evaluated at points on the starboard half wing. The set of equations which is given by taking (15) for all the downwash points may be written as a matrix equation

$$-8\pi s W = CP = \begin{pmatrix} C_{o-} & C_{oo} & C_{o+} \\ C_{+-} & C_{+0} & C_{++} \end{pmatrix} P$$
(18)

when n is odd (n can be even, in which case the only matrices appearing in (18) are  $C_{+-}$  and  $C_{++}$ ). W is a column of  $\frac{1}{2}m(n + 1)$  downwash values, taken in order from upstream on the centre line to downstream at the extreme starboard station, P a column of mn  $P_{\alpha\beta}$ 's taken in order from upstream at the extreme port to downstream at the extreme starboard section,  $C_{+-}$  and  $C_{++}$  are  $\frac{1}{2}m(n - 1)$  square matrices,  $C_{0-}$ ,  $C_{0+}$  and  $C_{+0}$ ' are (m ×  $\frac{1}{2}m(n - 1)$ ) matrices, and  $C_{0}$  is (m × m).

 $(A + iB)^{-1} = C \left( \bigcirc \cdots I \right) = CJ$ 

Write

$$(L + iM)^{-1} = (C_{+-} C_{++} C_{++}) / (C_{+-} -i) = CK$$

$$(19)$$

$$(L + iM)^{-1} = (C_{+-} C_{++} C_{++}) / (C_{+-} -i) = CK$$

$$(19)$$

$$(L + iM)^{-1} = (C_{+-} C_{++} C_{++}) / (C_{+-} -i) = CK$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(1$$

and

the unit matrices being  $(m \times m)$ . Then the  $(j \times j)$  matrices Q of generalised force coefficients Q<sub>ij</sub> corresponding to deflection shapes  $Z_1(x,y)$ , ...,  $Z_j(x,y)$ are given by

$$\frac{1}{32\pi s^{2}} Q = \overline{Z}A\underline{Z}' - \nu \overline{Z}B\underline{Z}' + i(\overline{Z}B\underline{Z}' + \nu \overline{Z}A\underline{Z}') \text{ (symmetric)}$$

$$\frac{1}{32\pi s^{2}} Q = \overline{Z}L\underline{Z}' - \nu \overline{Z}M\underline{Z}' + i(\overline{Z}M\underline{Z}' + \nu \overline{Z}L\underline{Z}') \text{ (antisymmetric)}$$

$$(20)$$

3

ى

ċ

.

٩

Appendix B

,<sub>4</sub>

ŝ

e.

4

Ē

Here  $\rho V^{2\overline{0}}Q_{ij}$  is the generalised force in mode i due to deflection in mode j; also

$$\overline{\mathbf{Z}} = \begin{pmatrix} \overline{\mathbf{Z}}_1 \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ \overline{\mathbf{Z}}_j \end{pmatrix}, \quad \overline{\mathbf{Z}} = \begin{pmatrix} \overline{\mathbf{Z}}_1 \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ \overline{\mathbf{Z}}_j \end{pmatrix}, \quad \underline{\mathbf{Z}} = \begin{pmatrix} \underline{\mathbf{Z}}_1 \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ \underline{\mathbf{Z}}_j \end{pmatrix}, \quad \underline{\mathbf{Z}} = \begin{pmatrix} \underline{\mathbf{Z}}_1 \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ \underline{\mathbf{Z}}_j \end{pmatrix}$$

and the row matrices  $\overline{Z}_1$ ,  $\overline{\overline{Z}}_1$ ,  $\overline{Z}_1$ ,  $\overline{Z}_1$ ,  $\overline{Z}_1$  and so on are defined by

$$\vec{z}_{1} = \left(\frac{1}{2}Z_{1}(\xi_{1}, \eta_{\frac{1}{2}(n+1)}), \dots, \frac{1}{2}Z_{1}(\xi_{m}, \eta_{\frac{1}{2}(n+1)}), Z_{1}(\xi_{1}, \eta_{\frac{1}{2}(n+3)}), \dots, Z_{1}(\xi_{m}, \eta_{n})\right)$$

$$\vec{z}_{1} = \left(Z_{1}(\xi_{1}, \eta_{\frac{1}{2}(n+3)}), \dots, Z_{1}(\xi_{m}, \eta_{\frac{1}{2}(n+3)}), \dots, Z_{1}(\xi_{1}, \eta_{n}), \dots, Z_{1}(\xi_{m}, \eta_{n})\right)$$

$$\vec{z}_{1} = \left(Z_{1}(\vec{\xi}_{1}, \eta_{\frac{1}{2}(n+1)}), \dots, Z_{1}(\vec{\xi}_{m}, \eta_{\frac{1}{2}(n+1)}), \dots, Z_{1}(\vec{\xi}_{1}, \eta_{n}), \dots, Z_{1}(\vec{\xi}_{m}, \eta_{n})\right)$$

$$\vec{z}_{1} = \left(Z_{1}(\vec{\xi}_{1}, \eta_{\frac{1}{2}(n+3)}), \dots, Z_{1}(\vec{\xi}_{m}, \eta_{\frac{1}{2}(n+3)}), \dots, Z_{1}(\vec{\xi}_{1}, \eta_{n}), \dots, Z_{1}(\vec{\xi}_{m}, \eta_{n})\right)$$

$$(21)$$

 $\frac{Z}{x}$  and  $\frac{Z}{x}$  are similarly defined in terms of row matrices  $\frac{Z}{1,x}$  etc.,  $\frac{Z}{1,x}$  being given by replacing  $\frac{\partial Z_1}{\partial x}$  in the equation defining  $\frac{Z}{2}$  on the right of (21).

In assembling the matrix C of (18) from terms defined in (16) a certain simplification is possible. The terms involving  $\delta_{\beta s}$  in (16) being omitted for the moment, the elements of the row of the matrix C which correspond to the downwash point  $(\bar{\xi}_r, \eta_s)$  are the terms of the matrix

$$HM_{rs} N_{rs} R_{rs} G'$$
(22)

taken row by row. H and G are matrices whose rows consist of the coefficients in the interpolation functions  $h_{\alpha}(\xi)/H_{\alpha}$  ( $\alpha = 1, ..., m$ ) and  $g_{\beta}(\eta)/G_{\beta}(\beta = 1, ..., n)$  respectively. We also define



where  $\bar{K}_{i}^{rs}$  (i = 1, ..., m) is the (p × q) matrix in which the element in the  $\lambda^{th}$  row and  $\gamma^{th}$  column is

$$K(x_{rs} - x_{\lambda\gamma}, y_s - y_{rs,\gamma}) f(\xi_{rs,\lambda\gamma}) (\xi_{rs,\lambda\gamma})^{i-1}$$
  
N<sub>rs</sub> is the (q × q) diagonal matrix whose  $\gamma^{th}$  diagonal element is

$$\{1 + \xi_{\mathrm{M}}(\overline{\xi}_{\mathrm{r}}, \eta_{\mathrm{s}}, \eta_{\mathrm{rs}}, \gamma)\} \quad \sqrt{\left(1 - \eta_{\mathrm{rs}}^{2}, \gamma\right)} \int_{-1}^{1} \frac{p_{\gamma}(\phi) \mathrm{d}\phi}{\left(\phi - \phi_{\mathrm{rs}}\right)^{2}}$$

The matrix C is formed by combining all the terms from matrices such as (22), the terms arising from the logarithmic correction (i.e. those involving  $\delta_{\beta s}$  in (16) being added separately.

This procedure - the calculation and formation of the matrices (22) and their combining to form the matrix C of (18) - is in fact the basis of the whole computation.

34

F.

06

e

٣

Ę
E

#### Appendix C

#### LOGICAL STRUCTURE OF THE PROGRAMMES



٩.

Ē

Ξ

٢

#### C.2 Logical structure of programme RAE 183A



·';-

Ŧ

Ĉ,

4

÷

Ŧ

## LIFT AND DOWNWASH POINTS, INTERPOLATION FUNCTIONS AND INTEGRATION CONSTANTS

(see section 4.2)

## INDEX

D.1	Chordwise interpolation functions and lift and downwash points	38
	D.1.1 Subsonic leading and trailing edges	38
	D.1.2 Subsonic leading edge, supersonic trailing edge	43
	D.1.3 Supersonic leading edge, supersonic trailing edge	47
	D.1.4 Supersonic leading edge, subsonic trailing edge	51
D.2	Spanwise interpolation functions and lift and downwash points	52
D•3	Chordwise integration formulae	53
	D.3.1 $k(\zeta) = \sqrt{(1-\zeta)} / \sqrt{(1+\zeta)}$	54
	D.3.2 $k(\zeta) = 1/v(1-\zeta^2)$	55
	D.3.3 $k(\zeta) = 1/\sqrt{(1-\zeta)}$	57
D.4	Spanwise integration points and interpolation functions	- 59

Ŧ

1

.

٠ ،

, 37

Page

3

3

3

З

Ì

### D.1 Chordwise interpolation functions and lift and downwash points

For the various leading and trailing edge conditions, the chordwise lift points  $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m$  are the zeros of the polynomials orthogonal over (-1,1) to the function  $f(\xi)$  defined in equation (5) of Appendix B; the downwash points are derived from the polynomials orthogonal to  $f(-\xi)$  and so are merely the points  $-\xi_m$ ,  $\dots$ ,  $-\xi_1$ . The polynomials  $h_a(\xi)$  such that

$$h_{a}(\xi_{\gamma}) = \delta_{a\gamma}$$

can then be computed; so also can

$$H_1 = \frac{1}{2} \int_{-1}^{1} h_{c}(\xi) d\xi$$

and hence the coefficients of the matrix H of Appendix B are given.

### D.1.1 Subsonic leading and trailing edges

The m<sup>th</sup> degree polynomial orthogonal to  $\sqrt{(1-\xi)}/\sqrt{(1+\xi)}$  over (-1,1) is

$$\frac{\sin \left[ (m + \frac{1}{2}) \cos^{-1} \xi \right]}{\sqrt{(1 - \xi)}}$$

and the zeros of this, which are the lift points, are

$$\xi_{\alpha} = \cos \frac{2\pi (m - \alpha + 1)}{2m + 1}$$
 ( $\alpha = 1, ..., m$ ).

Also (see, e.g., Ref.1)

$$H_{\alpha} = \frac{\pi}{2m+1} \sqrt{\left(1-\xi_{\alpha}^{2}\right)} .$$

For  $m = 2, \dots, 10$  the  $\xi_{\alpha}$  and matrix H are given below.

.

,

<u>m = 2</u>

Ŧ

'e,

¢

£

3

•

$\xi_1 = -0.809017$ $\xi_2 = 0.309017$	$H = \begin{pmatrix} 0.243167 \\ 1.666692 \end{pmatrix}$	-0.786905 2.060145	
<u>m = 3</u>	N N	,	
$\xi_1 = -0.900969$ $\xi_2 = -0.222521$ $\xi_3 = 0.623490$	$H = \begin{pmatrix} -0.157232 \\ 1.783769 \\ 0.919942 \end{pmatrix}$	-0.454414 1.133290) -0.881109 -3.175408 5.155242 4.588597)	
<u>m = 4</u>			
$\begin{array}{l} \xi_1 &= -0.939693 \\ \xi_2 &= -0.500000 \\ \xi_3 &= 0.173648 \\ \xi_4 &= 0.766044 \end{array}$	$H = \begin{pmatrix} -0.117643 \\ 0.636620 \\ 2.808432 \\ -0.780930 \end{pmatrix}$	0.595763 0.777713 -1.768766 -3.819719 0 5.092958 4.939387 -5.256386 -7.802865 2.104287 12.118110 9.571631/	)
<u>m = 5</u>			
$\xi_1 = -0.959493$ $\xi_1 = 0.415415$	$\xi_2 = -0.6548$ $\xi_5 = 0.8412$	$\xi_3 = -0.142315$ 254	
H = ( 0.094425 -0.403844 2.929401 1.289214 -0.726098	0.468140 -1. -1.806376 7. -3.007541 -14. 10.838716 10. -5.219700 2.	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
<u>m = 6</u>			
ξ <sub>1</sub> = -0.970942 ξ <sub>1</sub> = 0.120537	ξ <sub>2</sub> = −0.7485 ξ <sub>5</sub> = 0.5680	511 $\xi_3 = -0.354605$ 365 $\xi_6 = 0.885456$	
$H = \begin{pmatrix} 0.079033 \\ -0.301597 \\ 1.019843 \\ 3.953293 \\ -0.992314 \\ 0.698082 \end{pmatrix}$	-0.555593 -1.3245 2.212510 4.2824 -8.995055 0.8901 9.077668 -19.5685 4.207053 31.2214 -3.400104 -20.5939	561 3.893245 2.312845 -4.91110 41 -15.372381 -3.590459 14.44790 186 30.124607 -3.365175 -23.14504 599 -35.840237 18.924552 30.49707 484 23.207077 -38.532277 -36.07672 911 -0.919353 54.808264 39.55972	)7 )7 10 72 29

-

tit.	H	₩ . <b> </b>	11 11
$\frac{m}{E_1} = -0.988831$ $E_1 = -0.988831$ $0.048112$ $-0.157232$ $0.317281$ $-0.636620$ $1.783769$ $6.244811$ $-1.439751$ $0.919942$ $-0.736272$ $0.6587777$	$m = 9$ $E_1 = -0.986361$ $0.053299$ $-0.177698$ $0.377579$ $-0.866821$ $5.221292$ $2.046485$ $-1.023663$ $0.763014$ $-0.663910$	$\frac{m}{c_1} = \frac{8}{-0.982973}$ $-0.059757$ $0.204912$ $-0.470875$ $1.401904$ $5.098906$ $-1.214311$ $0.803279$ $-0.671099$	$m = 7$ $E_1 = -0.978148$ $-0.068032$ $0.243167$ $-0.636620$ $4.075271$ $1.6666692$ $-0.869159$ $0.681639$
$E_2 = -0.900969$ -0.529776 1.746835 -3.605630 7.639437 -25.853875 21.116765 10.456665 -7.723950 6.471608 -5.898362	$E_2 = -0.879474$ 0.478950 -1.574932 3.218297 -6.510304 -11.014657 28.801335 -12.108217 8.597042 -7.341040	$\mathcal{E}_{2} = -0.850217$ 0.538851 -1.880303 4.548365 -16.337965 14.470449 6.990220 -5.339264 4.649085	$\mathcal{E}_{2} = -0.809017$ -0.474701 1.644766 -3.819719 -6.385021 18.727067 -8.252213 6.199258
$E_3 = -0.733052$ -2.350962 7.495085 -14.118194 22.918312 9.160121 -92.1114870 115.00669 115.00669 52.008938 -45.699203	$\frac{15}{53} = -0.677282$ -2.617516 8.898695 -19.854943 50.879902 -75.468952 35.464569 18.808727 -19.626412 18.794800	$E_3 = -0.602635$ 1.842108 -5.984904 11.287551 3.624898 -47.126230 64.254785 -39.356054 31.829680	$\xi_3 = -0.5$ 2.118063 -7.869053 22.918312 -36.722455 20.601449 8.527085 -9.573401
$E_{1} = -0.5$ 10.075443 -33.476051 70.024410 -147.69579 2444.23783 -233.46442 84.432623 38.793174 -54.856916 57.580408	$\frac{1}{4} = -0.401695$ -5.874064 -31.096987 12.028466 78.489565 -182.97058 198.17453 -146.95301 126.09703	$\mathcal{E}_{44} = -0.273663$ -6.654604 23.432185 -56.400372 98.906432 -102.83932 47.008703 11.007130 -19.553113	$f_{4_{4}}^{e_{4}} = -0.104528$ 3.277142 -9.726685 5.092958 25.293710 -66.667689 82.276276 -65.010503
$E_5 = -0.222521$ 16.753492 -50.894359 82.152777 -61.115500 -98.6842222 372.99236 -575.15399 577.38706 -4.78.70592 429.17249	$E_5 = -0.082579$ 18.746946 -63.470833 136.53336 -237.98127 302.63544 -254.18525 116.64878 -3.095485 -26.017590	$\xi_5 = 0.092268$ -7.571887 21.618523 -19.420442 -24.960055 109.16937 -185.97213 207.68139 -182.03262	$\epsilon_5 = 0.309017$ -8.792878 31.476212 -61.115498 84.042485 -82.405796 53.427219 -16.631744
$E_6 = 0.074730$ -49.274019 162.14844 -325.28226 550.03948 -755.20989 794.68044 -606.78112 307.85578 -84.604781 6.427876	E <sub>6</sub> = 0.245485 16.810482 -47.244098 52.142795 9.938393 -156.07579 339.79912 -474.62921 508.82343 -473.65531	$\xi_6 = 0.445738$ 19.176454 -64.770068 122.63398 -177.95820 204.18778 -184.07489 126.79735 -66.364252	E6 = 0.669131 -4.072740 7.781348 0 -21.563349 53.334151 -87.032868 112.66895
E7 = 0.365341 -36.386187 101.78872 -124.83025 40.743669 197.36844 -556.69301 919.17075 -1154.7741 1217.0021	E7 = 0.546948 -40.920690 133.32740 -246.14372 363.59467 -449.12859 467.36689 -409.17647 302.95111 -203.35796	$\xi_7 = 0.739009$ 7.262661 -15.619731 7.455798 22.229501 -71.332640 131.04515 -188.29138 229.48166	$E_7 = 0.913545$ 8.517746 -25.180970 40.743665 -54.525668 65.924636 -74.442383 79.706637
<u> </u>			

.

Appendix D

0.623490 6.063908 7.98262 9.61370 9.61370 18.99975 18.99975	0.789141 3.091236 3.211894 1.525482 1.7525482 1.75364 0.12222 17.42719 4.83576
$E_{9} = 0.826239$ 23.814106 -58.164982 -58.164982 -112.78197 274.64945 -466.09339 659.87093 -826.16271 938.28761	E = 0.945817 26.916689 -80.015830 130.93235 -178.27738 220.75947 -257.21981 286.66388 -308.28850 321.50382
$E_{10} = 0.955573$ -48.716458 145.06109 -238.16532 325.94932 -406.45216 477.87552 -538.62394 587.34038 -622.93663 644.61743	

0.932472 15.037402 14.600132 14.600132 14.600132 14.96935 51.96935 51.96935

ı.

#### D.1.2 Subsonic leading edge, supersonic trailing edge

Here are required the polynomials orthogonal to  $1/\sqrt{(1+\xi)}$  over (-1,1). Mineur<sup>4</sup> (page 286) shows that the polynomials orthogonal to  $1/\sqrt{x}$  over (0,1) are the polynomials  $P_{2m}(\sqrt{x})$ , where  $P_{2m}(u)$  is the Legendre polynomial of degree 2m over the interval (-1,1) in u. Changing the interval (0,1) in x to the interval (-1,1) in  $\xi$ , it follows that the lift points required here are just the points

$$\xi_{a} = 2u_{a}^{2} - 1$$
 (a = 1, ..., m)

where  $u_{a}$  are the positive zeros of  $P_{2m}(u)$ . Mineur also shows that the Gauss weights for the weight function  $1/\sqrt{x}$  in the interval (0,1) are related to the weights  $A_{a}$  and zeros  $u_{a}$  for a 2m-point Gauss-Legendre formula in the interval (-1,1). In our notation, Mineur's result is

$$H_{\alpha} = 2A_{\alpha}u_{\alpha} \qquad (\alpha = 1, \ldots, m)$$

The  $h_{\alpha}(\xi)$  have to be evaluated numerically. The values of  $u_{\alpha}$  and  $A_{\alpha}$  are given by Gawlik<sup>3</sup>.

The values of 
$$\xi_{n}$$
 and H for  $m = 2, \dots, 10$  are appended.

m = 2

$$\begin{split} \xi_{1} &= -0.768826 \\ \xi_{2}^{*} &= 0.483112 \\ \hline H &= \begin{pmatrix} 0.418413 & -0.866080 \\ 1.248338 & 1.623695 \end{pmatrix} \\ \underline{m} &= 3 \\ \xi_{1} &= -0.886122 \\ \xi_{2}^{*} &= -0.125604 \\ \xi_{3}^{*} &= 0.738999 \\ \hline H &= \begin{pmatrix} -0.113494 & -0.750005 & 1.222712 \\ 1.951982 & -0.438550 & -2.980841 \\ 0.326935 & 2.971845 & 2.937401 \end{pmatrix} \\ \underline{m} &= 4 \\ \xi_{1} &= -0.932704 \\ \xi_{2} &= -0.447631 \\ \xi_{3}^{*} &= 0.269355 \\ \xi_{3}^{*} &= 0.269355 \\ \xi_{4}^{*} &= 0.844313 \\ \hline H &= \begin{pmatrix} -0.191550 & 0.510096 & 1.253233 & -1.881627 \\ 1.064075 & -4.069892 & -0.907808 & 5.016493 \\ 2.261946 & 4.799258 & -3.439512 & -6.416741 \\ -0.595113 & 0.241878 & 5.879177 & 5.291884 \end{pmatrix} \\ \mathbf{n} &= 5 \\ \hline \end{split}$$

 $\xi_2 = -0.624337$  $\xi_5 = 0.896988$  $\xi_1 = -0.955673$  $\xi_4 = 0.496669$  $\xi_3 = -0.076805$ 0.065238 -0.277555 3.192554 0.520348 0.749802 -1.477083 -2.114775 3.053761 7.808881 3.065611 -8.487790 -3.035913 -1.532975 -13.929905 2.238163 12.010365 7.572723 9.723486 -9.618407 -12.658678 -3.000001 -1.012335 11.198712 9.652849 43

064

°t.

.

$\xi_1 = -0.968633$ $\xi_1 = 0.185500$	ξ <sub>2</sub> ξ <sub>5</sub>	= -0.729400 = 0.634856	5 5 6	= -0.310115 = 0.926923	
$H = \begin{pmatrix} 0.126804 \\ -0.478864 \\ 1.678016 \\ 3.266546 \\ -0.918187 \\ 0.459860 \end{pmatrix}$	-0.437377	-2.097758	3.656221	3.634710	-5.135485
	1.813861	7.140408	-15.418284	-6.842342	14.603836
	-9.466452	-3.209597	30.074085	1.071460	-21.757441
	9.714646	-13.301344	-33.308347	11.308804	25.335055
	0.772826	19.676748	20.201120	-21.830813	-24.372211
	-0.615297	-9.908939	-5.284675	21.168914	17.822070

,

. .-

+

,

.

٩

3

ۍ

Э

Ŧ

.

.

(

11 11	н	, HI 11	52 11
$\frac{m}{4} = 10$ $\frac{\pi}{64} = -0.988287$ $-0.247416$ $0.076277$ $-0.247416$ $0.492677$ $-0.977551$ $2.888056$ $5.268900$ $-1.496045$ $0.867648$ $-0.572379$ $0.347666$	$m = 9$ $E_1 = -0.985626$ $0.035742$ $-0.118150$ $0.247594$ $-0.568286$ $5.673596$ $0.884521$ $-0.420707$ $0.261279$ $-0.155142$	$H = 8$ $E_1 = -0.981945$ $-0.095172$ $-0.323200$ $-0.733473$ $2.284512$ $4.268341$ $-1.211769$ $0.681379$ $-0.391477$	$\frac{m}{2} = -0.976648$ $-0.046119$ $-0.425213$ $4.433042$ $0.703883$ $-0.327353$ $0.178736$
$\xi_2 = -0.896227$ -0.387761 1.283483 -2.689682 6.025310 -26.890368 24.755545 2.426868 -2.226832 1.663859 -1.058157	$E_2 = -0.873107$ 0.756443 -2.485062 5.116614 -11.081393 -5.690616 22.647637 -10.039483 6.110598 -3.601341	$\xi_2 = -0.841399$ 0.405664 -1.432604 3.643077 -17.072488 16.366956 1.500878 -1.341821 0.861262	Ez = -0.796335 -0.753253 2.626035 -6.473677 -3.283624 14.127003 -6.133875 3.291278
$E_3 = -0.720688$ -3.689815 11.809096 -22.634936 39.712030 -13.680684 -64.869872 86.010023 -4.9.786664 32.570686 -19.694338	$E_3 = -0.660922$ -2.097281 7.242062 -16.953465 50.827222 -79.172082 44.682442 -1.263770 -2.333883 2.045590	$\xi_3 = -0.580441$ 2.899898 -9.548287 19.256542 -7.325229 -32.730760 45.192878 -25.430037 14.526889	E3 = -0.469038 1.781779 -6.871305 23.118831 -37.707087 22.911318 -1.299825 -0.435308
$\xi_{t_{t}} = -0.478030$ $\theta_{t_{t}} = -0.478030$ $\theta_{t} = -28.347560$ $\theta_{t} = -143.01605$ 24.8.76531 -24.5.84142 118.95293 -21.738531 2.842511 1.069296	$\epsilon_{t_{t}} = -0.373313$ -9.191658 29.123424 -52.761335 43.823593 38.500416 -127.04302 131.10773 -85.567933 51.249991	$\mathcal{E}_{4} = -0.236458$ -5.822943 21.093623 -55.292225 99.864283 -102.98271 54.094561 -11.872175 3.362216	E <sub>4</sub> = -0.055257 5.148072 -16.029152 14.994939 12.196907 -44.214432 47.694660 -27.482156
E <sub>5</sub> = -0.190871 26.092363 -80.609766 138.00342 -144.28541 6.846002 234.14820 -387.29338 360.88461 -256.34733 158.81737	$\epsilon_5 = -0.043138$ 16.831549 -58.167549 -236.73049 298.95652 -249.50424 132.56606 -48.574742 20.451979	$\epsilon_5 = 0.141272$ -11.822066 35.215875 -41.553525 67.191208 -117.54224 111.96108 -69.510751	$E_5 = 0.1368524$ -8.399566 31.192143 -60.812936 79.974190 -72.312189 43.672971 -16.941780
$\xi_6 = 0.114023$ -45.040861 150.40296 -311.88095 540.71154 -741.60349 765.99524 -7583.12374 -331.19824 -158.73599 78.333012	$\xi_6 = 0.291883$ 26.121792 -76.177348 99.791299 -52.707546 -73.013367 214.24014 -285.10278 257.06425 -166.34480	$\xi_6 = 0.498635$ 18.514690 -63.843756 121.49332 -171.45626 185.20920 -153.28221 96.367234 -45.936022	E6 = 0.723983 -6.354593 13.718121 -8.227852 -9.827310 32.024862 -45.826381 40.039999
$E_7 = 0.408235$ -56.326550 162.71538 -225.43263 174.82554 27.082252 -321.02044 570.22508 -660.59239 579.76240 -383.92277	$\epsilon_7 = 0.593478$ -39.790988 131.17451 -243.03839 352.46573 -416.77602 405.14559 -323.98268 213.62669 -114.44906	$\epsilon_7 = 0.784444$ 11.271281 -26.43034669 -40.120304 -92.841439 75.962755	$\xi_7 = 0.945512$ 8.857946 -25.542265 39.221629 -48.172538 51.012606 -46.607432 33.234538
	00 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	<u>68868511</u>	

0.827199 E<sub>9</sub> = 0.966403

Appendix D

1

5

lineter line lo	
= 0.664,343 84. 109326 86.9. 50862 884. 77330 884. 77330 864. 98575 865. 91802 771. 144,86	20.233377 50.096962 52.094020 19.430759 41.294895 41.294895 67.44592 84.77103 84.77103 44.63135
$\xi_9 = 0.8584.84$ 36.6864.14 -94.3394.95 109.61714 -68.864.333 -24.02354.7 147.98325 -269.92061 352.91616 -364.2851.3 276.344.85	27.774652 -81.331016 129.01613 -167.26087 192.96248 -203.55006 196.85134 -170.39927 118.20673
$\xi_{10} = 0.972609$ -50.126466 147.14763 -236.10275 310.73220 -366.55444 399.48734 -406.11254 383.29058 -326.74418 224.84992	

-15.570633 +5.309083 -70.933904 90.003386 100.46750 100.67320 -89.055813 62.474872 0.957828

,

1

•

D.1.3 Supersonic leading edge, supersonic trailing edge

The polynomials orthogonal to  $f(\xi) = 1$  over (-1,1) are the Legendre polynomials; the zeros and weights in the corresponding Gauss formulae are given by Gawlik<sup>3</sup>. The H<sub>a</sub> are merely one half of these Gauss weights; the h<sub>c</sub>( $\xi$ ) are found numerically.  $\xi_a$  and H are given below for m = 2, ..., 10.

		0
	=	2
the second se	_	and the local division of

Ŷ

Ł

ন

٤

-

$\xi_1 = -0.577350$ $\xi_2 = 0.577350$	$H = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$	-1.732051 1.732051)		
<u>m = 3</u>				
$\xi_1 = -0.774597$ $\xi_2 = 0.000000$ $\xi_3 = 0.774597$	$H = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 2.250000 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$	-2.323790 0 2.323790	3.000000 -3.750000 3.000000	
<u>m = 4</u>				,
$\xi_1 = -0.861136$ $\xi_2 = -0.339981$ $\xi_3 = 0.339981$ $\xi_1 = 0.861136$	$H = \begin{pmatrix} -0.530834 \\ 1.816548 \\ 1.816548 \\ -0.530834 \end{pmatrix}$	0.616434 -5.343087 5.343087 -0.616434	4.592502 -2.449645 -2.449645 4.592502	-5.333073 7.205240 -7.205240 5.333073

#### <u>m = 5</u>

ξ1	=	-0.906180	<sub>لاع</sub> =	-0.538469	$\xi_{z} = 0.$	.000000
ξĹ	Ħ	0.538469	ξ <sub>5</sub> =	0.906180	7	
H	2	0 0 3.515625 0 0	2.542288 -5.997920 0 5.997920 -2.542288	-2.805500 11.138831 -16.406250 11.138834 -2.805500	-8.768046 7.304187 0 -7.304187 8.768046	9.675834 -13.564723 14.765625 13.564723 9.675834

## <u>m = 6</u>

$\begin{array}{l} \xi_1 = -0.932470 \\ \xi_1 = 0.238619 \end{array}$		2 = -0.66120 = 0.66120	9 <b>E</b> 9 E	3 = -0.23861 6 = 0.93247	9 70
$H = \begin{pmatrix} 0.413644 \\ -0.834822 \\ 2.629339 \\ 2.629339 \\ -0.834822 \\ 0.413644 \end{pmatrix}$	-0.443601	-8.210802	8.805437	16.616449	-17.819831
	1.262568	15.621774	-23.626062	-16.862181	25.502029
	-11.018974	-9.038039	37.876413	6.916705	-28.986373
	11.018974	-9.038039	-37.876413	6.916705	28.986373
	-1.262568	15.621774	23.626062	-16.862181	-25.502029
	0.443601	-8.210802	-8.805437	16.616449	17.819831

Appendix D <u>m = 7</u>

		n .	H II	Ħ R	H n
-	-1.39464,3 4.252382 4.252382 -1.39464,3 0.803026 -0.522071 0.314093	$\frac{11}{5} = \frac{10}{-0.973907}$ $\begin{bmatrix} -0.314093\\ -0.522071\\ 0.902071 \end{bmatrix}$	■ 9 6.056214 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	$\frac{m}{8} = 8$ $\xi_{1} = -0.960290$ $-0.353127$ $0.622137$ $-1.117701$ $3.441084$ $-1.117701$ $0.622137$ $-0.353127$	ε <sub>1</sub> = -0.949108
		€ <sub>2</sub> = -0.865063 -0.322508 0.603506	$\epsilon_2 = -0.836031$ $\epsilon_2 = -0.836031$ -5.373993 8.778294 -18.167273 0 18.167273 -8.778294 5.373993 -3.156506	$\xi_2 = -0.796667$ 0.367729 -0.780925 2.126798 -18.759182 -18.759182 -2.126798 0.780925 -0.367729	$\xi_2 = -0.741531$ -2.852863 5.292404 -11.274212 0 11.274212 -5.292404 2.852863
	-42.017418 -42.017418 -42.017418 69.280387 -42.426789 -16.943959	$\xi_3 = -0.679410$ -16.943959 28.016256	$\epsilon_3 = -0.613371$ -3.260314 6.427982 -14.311547 56.028006 -14.311547 56.028006 -14.311547 6.427982 -3.260314	$\epsilon_3 = -0.525532$ 12.329616 -21.416685 36.190302 -21.612719 36.190302 -21.612719 36.190302 -21.416685 12.329616	$\xi_3 = -0.405845$ 3.005836 -7.137129 27.779591 -4.3.066406 27.779591 -7.137129 3.005836
	62.446558 -159.85492 282.23412 -282.23412 159.85492 -62.446558 32.386362 -17.397931	$\xi_{4} = -0.433395$ 17.397931 -32.386363	$\xi_{\mu} = -0.324253$ -42.927889 71.129880 -105.41571 93.662541 0 -93.662542 105.41571 -71.129880 42.927889	$\epsilon_{12} = -0.1334$ -12.839474 -68.882874 -68.864074 117.82245 -68.864073 -26.882875 12.839474	$\xi_{4} = 0$ 22.508756 -38.006778 33.019166 -33.019166 38.006777 -22.508756
- •	290.86298 -295.93657 131.18136 131.18136 -295.93657 290.86298 -211.41566 131.85353	E5==-0-148874 131-85353 -211-41566	<pre></pre>	55 $\epsilon_5 = 0.183435$ -56.548620 89.438889 -90.268052 39.021621 39.021621 -90.268052 89.438889 -56.548620	$\xi_5 = 0.405845$ -23.715697 51.254456 -81.359027 94.746094 -81.359027 51.254456 -23.715697
	-4,28.11141 682.83274 -881.15496 881.15496 -682.83275 428.11141 -244.39326 135.38623	$\xi_6 = 0.148874$ -135.38623 244.39326	$\xi_6 = 0.324253$ 134.75431 -205.62536 221.92476 -148.33398 0 148.33398 -221.92476 205.62536 -134.75431	$\epsilon_6 = 0.525532$ 58.887033 -112.26642 171.76496 -212.72765 212.72765 -171.76496 112.26641 -58.887033	$E_6 = 0.741531$ -31.499334 35.669829 -22.761254 0 22.761254 -35.669829 31.4993334
	-518.20518 418.79692 -162.12339 -162.12339 418.79692 -518.20518 464.04652 -310.13922	$\varepsilon_7 = 0.433395$ -310.13922 464.04652	$\xi_7 = 0.613371 \xi$ -139.18596 245.95420 -361.81138 457.46310 -494.87918 457.46310 -361.81138 245.95420 -139.18596	$\epsilon_7 = 0.796667 \epsilon_7 = 59.870849 -72.597138 56.755142 -21.288177 -21.288177 -21.288177 56.755142 59.870849 59.870849$	ε <sub>7</sub> = 0.949108 33.188358 -4.8.102939 56.083591 -58.652344 56.083592 -4.8.102939 33.188358
	•	~~~	ຕົ້	m"	

the second se	
= 0.679410 318.44865 536.43067 762.72870 966.31605 988.9949 966.31605 762.72870 762.72870 762.72870 762.72870 536.43067 318.44865	0.836031 14.16830 144.93938 127.43878 73.706075 0 -73.706075 127.43878 144.93938 144.93938
$\epsilon_9 = 0.865063$ 218.41911 -286.43319 271.76355 -192.05675 69.098721 -192.05675 271.76355 -286.443319 218.41911	$\xi_9 = 0.968160$ 117.92294 -173.36602 207.76774 -227.31009 233.69295 -227.31009 207.76771 -173.36602 117.92294
E <sub>10</sub> = 0.973907 -224.27112 331.11237 -399.99959 443.14441 -464.14125 464.14125 464.14125 464.14125 299.99959 -331.11238 224.27112	× 

-62.346644 91.126136 107.99551 116.05320 107.99551 116.05320 107.99551 62.346644

•

.

.

-, ,

6

:

ł

Ξį.

### D.1.4 Supersonic leading edge, subsonic trailing edge

This case, in which  $f(\xi) = \sqrt{(1-\xi)}$ , is not dealt with in the programmes which are the subject of this Report, and the  $h_{\alpha}(\xi)$  etc. have not been calculated by the writer. However, it is worth pointing out that the lift points are again derived from the Legendre zeros over (-1,1). Mineur<sup>4</sup> (page 290) gives a result, which gives the positions of the lift points needed here as

$$\xi_{\alpha} = 1 - 2u_{\alpha}^{2}$$
 ( $\alpha = 1, ..., m$ )

where the  $u_a$  are the positive zeros of  $P_{2m+1}(u)$ . The lift points thus follow from the zeros of the Legendre polynomials of odd degree.

The lift points for  $m = 2, \dots, 10$  are as follows:

<u>m = 2</u>

ξ <sub>1</sub> = -0.642324	$\xi_2 = 0.420102$		
<u>m = 3</u>			
Ę <sub>1</sub> = -0.801612	$\xi_2 = -0.099737$	د <sub>ع</sub> = 0.670579	
<u>m = 4</u>			
€ <sub>1</sub> = −0.874668	Ę <sub>2</sub> = −0.397896	$\xi_3 = 0.247551$	Ę <sub>4</sub> = 0.789719
<u>m = 5</u>			
ξ <sub>1</sub> = -0.913863 ξ <sub>5</sub> = 0.854693	<b>€</b> <sub>2</sub> = −0.573760	ξ <sub>3</sub> = −0.066244	E <sub>4</sub> = 0.461078
<u>m = 6</u>			
$\xi_1 = -0.937232$	$\xi_2 = -0.683974$	ξ <sub>3</sub> = −0,285055	$\xi_{4} = 0.174775$
<u>m = 7</u>	6 - 0,000110		
$\xi_1 = -0.952258$ $\xi_5 = 0.347982$	ξ <sub>2</sub> = -0.756963 ξ <sub>6</sub> = 0.689289	E <sub>3</sub> = -0.438909 E <sub>7</sub> = 0.919042	ξ <sub>4</sub> = −0.049562
<u>m = 8</u>			
ξ <sub>1</sub> = -0.962480 ξ <sub>5</sub> = 0.134937	ξ2 = −0.807568 ξ6 = 0.474297	E3 = -0.549642 E7 = 0.753273	ξ <sub>4</sub> = -0.221528 ξ <sub>8</sub> = 0.936287
<u>m = 9</u>			
$\xi_1 = -0.969743$ $\xi_5 = -0.039584$ $\xi_9 = 0.948570$	<b>ξ</b> 2 = −0.843999 ξ6 = 0.278691	ξ <sub>3</sub> = -0.631381 ξ <sub>7</sub> = 0.568348	$\xi_{1} = -0.353719$ $\xi_{8} = 0.799574$

### <u>m = 10</u>

$\xi_9 = 0.834087$ $\xi_{10} = 0.957624$	)• 639868
--	-----------

#### Spanwise interpolation functions and lift and downwash points D.2

Spanwise positions of both lift and downwash points are given by the zeros of the polynomials orthogonal to  $\sqrt{(1-\eta^2)}$  over (-1,1). These are the polynomials (see, for example, Hildebrand<sup>5</sup> page 308)

$$\frac{\sin\left[(n+1)\cos^{-1}n\right]}{\sqrt{(1-\eta^2)}}$$

which were originally considered in connection with lifting surface theory by Multhopp<sup>6</sup>. This polynomial has zeros

$$\eta_{\beta} = \cos \frac{\pi(n-\beta+1)}{n+1}$$
. ( $\beta = 1, ..., n$ )

Also (see, for example, Multhopp<sup>6</sup>)

 $G_{\beta} = \frac{\pi}{2(n+1)} \sqrt{\left(1 - \eta_{\beta}^2\right)} \quad .$ 

For  $n = 2, \dots, 7$  the  $\eta_{\beta}$  and the matrix G of coefficients in the interpolation functions (see following equation (22) of Appendix B) are given below. These . have been found by the writer for  $n = 2, \dots, 21$ .

$$\eta_1 = -0.5$$
  
 $\eta_2 = 0.5$ 

-0.5

 $G = \begin{pmatrix} 1.273240 & -2.546479 \\ 1.273240 & 2.546479 \end{pmatrix}$ 

n = 3

n = 4

$\begin{array}{rcl} \eta_1 &=& -0.809017 \\ \eta_2 &=& -0.309017 \\ \eta_3 &=& 0.309017 \\ \eta_4 &=& 0.809017 \end{array}$	$G = \begin{pmatrix} -0.786905 \\ 2.060145 \\ 2.060145 \\ -0.786905 \end{pmatrix}$	0.972668 -6.666769 6.666769 -0.972669	8.240579 -3.147621 -3.147621 8.240580	-10.185916 10.185916 -10.185916 10.185917
---	--	--	--	--

à

٣

n	=	_5
	-	

η <sub>1</sub> = -θ.866025	$\eta_2 = -0.5$	η <sub>3</sub> = 0	$n_{1} = 0.5$	n <sub>5</sub> = 0.866025
$G = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 3.819719 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$	4.410631 -7.639437 0 7.639437 -4.410632	-5.092958 15.278874 -20.371833 15.278874 -5.092959	-17.642524 10.185916 0 -10.185916 17.642525	20. 371831 -20. 371833 20. 371833 -20. 371833 20. 371834
n = 6				
$\eta_1 = -0.900969$ $\eta_5 = 0.623490$	$\eta_2 = -0.6234$ $\eta_6 = 0.9009$	.90 ŋz = 969	-0.222521	n <sub>4</sub> = 0.222521

G =

0.706595	-0.784261	-16.087807	17.856118	36.708772	-40.743664
-1.021059	1.637651	21.878823	-35.090909	-25.403260	40.743665
2.860943	-12.856962	-10.883974	48.912135	9.066318	-40.743665
2.860943	12.856962	-10.883974	-48.912136	9.066318	40.743665
-1.021059	-1.637651	21.878823	35.090907	-25.403260	-40.743664
0.706595	0.784261	-16.087809	-17.856121	36.708777	40.743664

<u>n = 7</u>

$\eta_1$	=	-0.923880	$\eta_2 = -0.707107$	$\eta_3 = -0.382683$	$\eta_{\mu} = 0$
$\eta_5$	=	0.382683	$\eta_6 = 0.707107$	$\eta_7 = 0.923880$	4

G =

S

s

70	-5.512579	5.966772	48.667402	-52.677217	-75.284486	81.487341
/ 0	7.202531	-10.185917	-57.620246	81,487334	57.620245	-81.487333
0	-13.308541	34.776895	42.209007	-110.29745	-31.183851	81.487331
5.092958	-0,000001	-50.929582	0.000001	122.23100	-0.000001	-81.487331
0	13.308541	34.776892	-42.209007	-110.29745	31.183851	81.487328
o	-7.202530	-10.185916	57.620245	81.487331	-57.620246	-81.487332 /
\ o	5.512578	5.966772	<b>-</b> 48 <b>.667</b> 396	-52.677209	75.284477	81.487331 /

## D.3 Chordwise integration formulae

The function  $k(\zeta)$  of (12) takes the values

$$k(\zeta) = \begin{cases} \sqrt{\left(\frac{1-\zeta}{1+\zeta}\right)} & \text{if } \zeta = -1 & \text{at a subsonic leading edge} \\ \zeta = +1 & \text{at a subsonic trailing edge} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{\left(1-\zeta^2\right)}} & \text{if } \zeta = -1 & \text{at a subsonic leading edge} \\ \zeta = +1 & \text{at a Mach line} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{\left(1-\zeta^2\right)}} & \text{if } \zeta = -1 & \text{at a supersonic leading edge} \\ \zeta = +1 & \text{at a Mach line} \end{cases}$$

3

3

đ

The case  $k(\zeta) = \sqrt{(1-\zeta)}$ , which occurs when  $\zeta = -1$  at a supersonic leading edge and  $\zeta = +1$  at a subsonic trailing edge is not considered here, since the corresponding leading and trailing edge conditions are not dealt with by the programmes described in this Report.

The Gauss zeros  $\zeta_\lambda$  and the quantities  $\mathbb{W}_\lambda/k(\zeta_\lambda)$  for the various values of  $k(\zeta)$  are as follows.

D. 3.1 
$$k(\zeta) = \sqrt{(1-\zeta)} / \sqrt{(1+\zeta)}$$

The zeros here are the same as the lift points in D.1.1. The integration points are

$$\zeta_{\lambda} = \cos \frac{2\pi(p-\lambda+1)}{2p+1} \qquad (\lambda = 1, \dots, p)$$

and

$$w_{\lambda} = \frac{W_{\lambda}}{k(\zeta_{\lambda})} = \frac{2\pi}{2p+1} \sqrt{\left(1-\zeta_{\lambda}^{2}\right)},$$

being twice the value of the corresponding H<sub>a</sub> in D.1.1 above. Values of  $w_{\lambda}$  are given below for p = 1, ..., 10. The values of  $\zeta_{\lambda}$  have already been given above in D.1.1 (where they appear as  $\zeta$ 's) and so are omitted here, except for p = 1.

<u>p = 1</u>	ζ <sub>1</sub> = <sup>w</sup> 1 =	-0.5 1.813799	•	p = 2	$w_1 = 0.738633$ $w_2 = 1.195133$
<u>p = 3</u>	w <sub>2</sub> = w <sub>3</sub> =	0.389453 0.875093 0.701770		<u>p = 4</u>	$w_1 = 0.238775$ $w_2 = 0.604600$ $w_3 = 0.687526$ $w_4 = 0.448750$
<u>p = 5</u>	₩1 ₩2 ₩3 ₩4 ₩5	0.160925 0.431683 0.565385 0.519581 0.308813	·	<u>p = 6</u>	$w_1 = 0.115667w_2 = 0.320502w_3 = 0.451914w_4 = 0.479798w_5 = 0.397766w_6 = 0.224611$
<u>p = 7</u>	₩1 ₩23 ₩456 ₩7	0.087090 0.246211 0.362760 0.416584 0.398378 0.311288 0.170373	ъ 	<u>p = 8</u>	$w_1 = 0.067914$ $w_2 = 0.194569$ $w_3 = 0.294946$ $w_4 = 0.355490$ $w_5 = 0.368022$ $w_6 = 0.330852$ $w_7 = 0.248997$ $w_8 = 0.133515$

64

ç

ĩ

ç

4

G.,

÷

D.3.2 k(
$$\zeta$$
) = 1/ $\sqrt{(1 - \zeta^2)}$ 

The Gauss zeros corresponding to the weight function  $k(\zeta) = 1/\sqrt{(1 - \zeta^2)}$ are the Chebyshev zeros

$$\zeta_{\lambda} = \cos \frac{(2p - 2\lambda + 1)\pi}{2p} \qquad (\lambda = 1, \dots, p)$$

and

$$w_{\lambda} = \frac{W_{\lambda}}{k(\zeta_{\lambda})} = \frac{\pi}{p} \sqrt{\left(1 - \zeta_{\lambda}^{2}\right)}$$

The values of 
$$\zeta_{\lambda}$$
 and  $w_{\lambda}$  are given below for  $p = 1, \dots, 10$ .  
 $p = 1$ 

$$\begin{aligned}
\xi_{1} &= 0 & w_{1} = \pi = 3.141593 \\
p &= 2 & & \\
\xi_{1} &= -0.707107 & w_{1} = 1.110721 \\
\xi_{2} &= 0.707107 & w_{2} = 1.110721 \\
p &= 3 & & \\
\xi_{2} &= 0 & & \\
\xi_{2} &= 0 & & \\
\xi_{3} &= 0.866025 & & \\
y_{1} &= 0.523599 \\
w_{2} &= 1.047198 \\
w_{3} &= 0.523599 \\
y_{2} &= 1.047198 \\
w_{3} &= 0.523599 \\
y_{2} &= 0.382683 & & \\
w_{1} &= 0.300559 \\
w_{2} &= 0.725613 \\
w_{3} &= 0.725613 \\
w_{4} &= 0.300559 \\
w_{4} &= 0.300559
\end{aligned}$$

55

,

- .

,

٠.

,

t

E

ð

9

÷

£

e,

٦

p	=	5

z <sub>1</sub> :	= -0.951057 = -0.587785 = 0 = 0.587785 = 0.951057	$w_1 = 0.194161$ $w_2 = 0.508320$ $w_3 = 0.628319$ $w_4 = 0.508320$ $w_5 = 0.194161$
2	= -0.965926 = -0.707107 = -0.258819 = 0.258819 = 0.707107 = 0.965926	$w_1 = 0.135517w_2 = 0.370240w_3 = 0.505758w_4 = 0.505758w_5 = 0.370240w_6 = 0.135517$
$\frac{p}{2} = \frac{7}{4}$ $\frac{\zeta_1}{\zeta_2} = \frac{\zeta_3}{\zeta_3} = \frac{\zeta_4}{\zeta_5} = \frac{\zeta_5}{\zeta_6} = \frac{\zeta_6}{\zeta_7} = \frac{\zeta_7}{\zeta_7} = \frac{8}{4}$	= -0.974928 = -0.781831 = -0.433884 = 0 = 0.433884 = 0.781831 = 0.974928	$w_1 = 0.099867$ $w_2 = 0.279822$ $w_3 = 0.404354$ $w_4 = 0.448799$ $w_5 = 0.404354$ $w_6 = 0.279822$ $w_7 = 0.099867$
2	= -0.980785 = -0.831470 = -0.555570 = -0.195090 = 0.195090 = 0.555570 = 0.831470 = 0.980785	$w_1 = 0.076612$ $w_2 = 0.218172$ $w_3 = 0.326517$ $w_4 = 0.385153$ $w_5 = 0.385153$ $w_6 = 0.326517$ $w_7 = 0.218172$ $w_8 = 0.076612$
p = 9 x <sub>1</sub> x <sub>2</sub> x <sub>3</sub> x <sub>4</sub> 5 x <sub>5</sub> x <sub>5</sub>	= -0.984808 = -0.866025 = -0.642788 = -0.342020 = \$ = 0.342020 = 0.642788 = 0.866025 = 0.984808	$ \begin{array}{l} \underline{w}_1 &= 0.060615 \\ \underline{w}_2 &= 0.174533 \\ \underline{w}_3 &= 0.267400 \\ \underline{w}_4 &= 0.328015 \\ \underline{w}_5 &= 0.349066 \\ \underline{w}_6 &= 0.328015 \\ \underline{w}_7 &= 0.267400 \\ \underline{w}_8 &= 0.174533 \\ \underline{w}_9 &= 0.060615 \end{array} $

.

.

.

••

.

. ·

•

.

<u>p = 10</u>

-54

Ŷ

Ŧ

G

Ē

54 =	-0.987688	W <sub>1</sub>	=	0.049145
కి =	-0.891007	₩2	Π	0.142625
ζ <sub>3</sub> =	-0.707107	wz	=	0.222144
ζί =	-0.453991	W)	=	0.279918
ζ, =	-0.156434	w	=	0.310291
26 =	0.156434	WZ	=	0.310291
ζ7 =	0.453991	w	=	0.279918
ζ8 =	0.707107	$W'_Q$	=	0.222144
ζς =	0.891007	WQ	=	0.142625
5í0=	0.987688	₩10	=	0.049145

D.3.3 <u>k( $\zeta$ ) =  $1/\sqrt{(1-\zeta)}$ </u>

The zeros here are the negative of the lift points for a singularity  $1/\sqrt{(1+\zeta)}$ , which was dealt with in D.1.2 above. So

$$\zeta_{\lambda} = 1 - 2u_{\lambda}^{2} \qquad (\lambda = 1, \dots, p) \qquad (\lambda = 1, \dots, p)$$

Gauss weights and zeros for a singularity  $1/\sqrt{x}$  over (0,1), to which the  $w_{\lambda}$  required here are related, are given in Mineur<sup>4</sup> (page 289). Alternatively, in view of the remarks of D.1.2 above, it may be shown that

$$W_{\lambda} = \frac{W_{\lambda}}{k(\zeta_{\lambda})} = 4A_{\lambda} u_{\lambda}$$
  $(\lambda = 1, ..., p)$ 

where the  $A_{\lambda}$  are the weights and  $u_{\lambda}$  the positive zeros in a 2p-point Legendre-Gauss formula (see, e.g. Gawlik<sup>3</sup>). Values of  $\zeta_{\lambda}$  and  $w_{\lambda}$  are given below for  $p = 1, \ldots, 10$ .

<u>p = 1</u>

 $\zeta_{1} = 0.333333$   $W_{1} = 2.309401$ 

<u>p = 2</u>

Z	Ħ	-0.483112	W1	Ħ	1.198202
ζ2	=	0.768826	w.2	2	0.886868

p = 3

ሯ	E	-0.738999	w <sub>1</sub>	=	0.639019
ζ,	E	0.125604	` W <sub>2</sub> ';	=	0.954156
ζ,	E	0.886122	w <sup>2</sup>	=	0.446613
~			)		

€

3

Ŧ

đ

4

-3,

$\begin{aligned} \zeta_1 &= -0.844313 \\ \zeta_2 &= -0.269355 \\ \zeta_3 &= 0.447631 \\ \zeta_4 &= 0.932704 \end{aligned}$	$w_1 = 0.388835$ $w_2 = 0.708654$ $w_3 = 0.659452$ $w_4 = 0.266115$
$\begin{array}{rcl} \underline{p} & \underline{=} & \underline{0} \\ \zeta_1 & \underline{=} & -0.896988 \\ \zeta_2 & \underline{=} & -0.496669 \\ \zeta_3 & \underline{=} & 0.076805 \\ \zeta_4 & \underline{=} & 0.624337 \\ \zeta_5 & \underline{=} & 0.955673 \end{array}$	$w_1 = 0.259727$ $w_2 = 0.517140$ $w_3 = 0.595397$ $w_4 = 0.466796$ $w_5 = 0.175984$
p = 6	
$\zeta_1 = -0.926923$ $\zeta_2 = -0.634856$ $\zeta_3 = -0.185500$ $\zeta_4 = 0.310115$ $\zeta_5 = 0.729400$ $\zeta_6 = 0.968633$	$w_{1} = 0.185222$ $w_{2} = 0.386743$ $w_{3} = 0.492979$ $w_{4} = 0.477296$ $w_{5} = 0.343544$ $w_{6} = 0.124806$
p = 7	
$\zeta_1 = -0.945512$ $\zeta_2 = -0.723983$ $\zeta_3 = -0.368524$ $\zeta_4 = 0.055257$ $\zeta_5 = 0.469038$ $\zeta_6 = 0.796335$ $\zeta_7 = 0.976648$	$w_1 = 0.138551$ $w_2 = 0.297686$ $w_3 = 0.402081$ $w_4 = 0.432178$ $w_5 = 0.382394$ $w_6 = 0.261925$ $w_7 = 0.093041$
$\underline{p} = 8$	
$\zeta_1 = -0.957828$ $\zeta_2 = -0.784444$ $\zeta_3 = -0.498635$ $\zeta_4 = -0.141272$ $\zeta_5 = 0.236458$ $\zeta_6 = 0.580441$ $\zeta_7 = 0.841399$ $\zeta_8 = 0.981945$	$w_{1} = 0.107459$ $w_{2} = 0.235212$ $w_{3} = 0.329489$ $w_{4} = 0.376581$ $w_{5} = 0.369727$ $w_{6} = 0.309906$ $w_{7} = 0.205687$ $w_{8} = 0.072001$
p = 9	
$\zeta_{1} = -0.966403$ $\zeta_{2} = -0.827199$ $\zeta_{3} = -0.593478$ $\zeta_{4} = -0.291883$ $\zeta_{5} = 0.043138$ $\zeta_{6} = 0.373313$ $\zeta_{7} = 0.660922$ $\zeta_{8} = 0.873107$ 0.985626	$w_{1} = 0.085735$ $w_{2} = 0.190073$ $w_{3} = 0.272871$ $w_{4} = 0.324510$ $w_{5} = 0.339079$ $w_{6} = 0.314911$ $w_{7} = 0.254766$ $w_{8} = 0.165516$ $w_{9} = 0.057356$

;

, .

.

## 58

p = 4

 $\frac{1}{2}$ 

ç

ŝ

\$

-

G

51	=	-0.972609	W 1	=	0.069972
ζ,	=	-0.858484	W 2	=	0.156555
53	=	-0.664343	Wz	8	0.228686
5h	5	-0.408235	wу́	=	0.279516
$\zeta_{5}^{+}$		-0.114023	WE	=	0.304295
4	=	0.190871	WZ	=	0.300712
ζ <sub>7</sub>	=	0.478030	₩7	=	0.269102
<u>ζ</u> 8	=	0.720688	ws	=	0.212409
ζĞ	=	0.896227	₩9	=	0.135918
50°	=	0.988287	w10	=	0.046759

#### D.4 Spanwise integration points and interpolation functions

The integration points used to carry out the spanwise integration (see (14), Appendix B) are the Chebyshev zeros

$$\phi_{\gamma} = \cos \frac{(2q - 2\gamma - 1)\pi}{2q}$$
 ( $\gamma = 1, ..., q$ )

For  $q = 2, \ldots, 7$  the matrices P of the coefficients in the interpolation functions are given below. These have been found by the writer for  $q = 2, \ldots, 21$ . The values of  $\phi_{\gamma}$  are given above in D.3.2 (where they appear as  $\zeta_{\lambda}$ ) and are not duplicated.

<u>q = 2</u>

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} 0.5 & -0.707107 \\ 0.5 & 0.707107 \end{pmatrix}$$

q = 3

Р	p	/ 0	-0.577350	0.666667 \
		1.0	0	-1.333333
		$\langle 0 \rangle$	0.577350	0.666667 /

<u>q = 4</u>

P	u	-0.103553 0.603553 0.603553 -0.103553	0.112085 -1.577161 1.577161 -0.112085	0.707107 -0.707107 -0.707107 0.707107	-0.765367 1.847759 -1.847759 0.765367	
		\-0.103553	-0.112085	0.707107	0.765367	

<u>q = 5</u>

Ρ	=	1	0	0.324920	-0.341641	-0.940456	0.988854 \
		1	0	-1.376382	2.341641	1.521690	-2.588854
		[ 1.	•0	0	-4.0	0	3.2
		\	0	1.376382	2, 341641	-1.521690	-2.588854
		1	0	-0.324920	-0.341641	0.940456	0.988854/

ί

3

٢

ē

5

£

Z

60

	/ 0.0 -0.1 0.6 0.6 -0.1	44658 66667 22008 22008 66667 44658	-0.046 0.235 -2.403 2.403 -0.235 0.046	234 -( 702 : 256 - 256 - 702 : 234 -(	0.755983 2.666667 1.910684 1.910684 2.666667 0.755983	0.782651 -3.771236 7.382315 -7.382315 3.771236 -0.782651	1.333333 -2.666667 1.333333 1.333333 -2.6666667 1.333333	-1.380368 3.771236 -5.151604 5.151604 -3.771236 1.380368
a	<u>= 7</u>							
Ρ	2							
		-0.2282 0.7974 -2.0765 0 2.0765 -0.7974 0.2282	43 0 73 -1 21 4 -8 21 4 73 -1 44 0	. 234113 . 020007 . 785894 . 0 . 785894 . 020007 . 234113	1.585814 -5.075149 5.581812 0 -5.581812 5.075150 -1.585814	-1.626596 6.491360 -12.864764 16.0 -12.864764 6.491360 -1.626596	-1.983469 4.456813 -3.574087 0 3.574087 -4.456813 1.983469	2.034477 -5.700478 8.237430 -9.142857 8.237430 -5.700478 2.034477

.

:

:

..

-

. .

--

ç

6

G

6

3

Ŧ

•~~

# SYMBOLS

A,B	matrices defined by (19)
c(n)	local chord is $\overline{c} c(\eta)$
ī	mean chord
С	matrix of quantities $C_{10}(\overline{\xi},\eta)$ defined by (18) and
	immediate text
$C_{n}(\overline{E}, n)$	defined by (16)
$f(\xi)$	function which takes into account the chordwise singularity
1	in lift; see (5)
g <sub>a</sub> (η)	interpolation function for spanwise lift distribution; see
P	(6) and preceding text
G	matrix of coefficients of interpolation functions; see
	following (22)
Ga	defined by (7)
h_(ξ)	interpolation function for chordwise lift; see (6) and
ŭ	preceding text
Н	matrix of coefficients of interpolation functions; see
	following (22)
н	defined by (7)
k(ζ)	function which takes into account the singularity in the
	chordwise integration; see (12)
К(Х,Ү)	Kernel function, defined in (9)
$\ell(x,y)$ or $\ell(\xi,\eta)$	reduced lift, defined in such a way that the actual lift is $\rho V^2 e^{i\nu t} \ell(x,y)$
2(E,n)	approximation to $\ell(\mathcal{E}, n)$ ; see (10)
L	matrix defined by (19)
$L_{q}(\vec{\xi}_{n},\eta_{n})$	see (17)
m	number of chordwise lift and downwash points
М	Mach number
Μ	matrix defined by (19)
Mrs	matrix defined by (23)
n	number of spanwise lift and downwash points
N rs	diagonal matrix; see text following (23)
p	number of chordwise integration points
$p_{\gamma}(\phi)$	interpolation polynomial satisfying (13)
P	matrix of coefficients in $p_{\gamma}(\phi)$
P <sub>αβ</sub>	see (11)
q	number of points used in spanwise integration

## SYMBOLS (CONTD)

ହ	matrix of generalised forces, defined by (20)
Q <sub>ii</sub>	reduced generalised force coefficients; $\rho V^2 \overline{c}^3 Q_{ij}$ is the
0	generalised force in mode i due to motion in mode j
r	suffix associated with chordwise variation of downwash point
R	$=\sqrt{(x^2 - \beta^2 y^2)}$
R <sub>rs</sub>	matrix defined by (23)
8	reduced semi-span, defined so that semi-span = $sc$
S	suffix associated with spanwise variation of downwash point
t .	reduced time
V	airspeed
w(x,y) or w(ξ,η)	reduced downwash, defined in such a way that the actual
	downwash is V $e^{ivt} w(x,y)$
₩ <sub>λ</sub>	Gaussian weights associated with a p-point integration
· · ·	formula for k(ζ)
x,y, <sup>z</sup>	Cartesian co-ordinates, referred to $\overline{c}$ as reference length
rs	value of x at a downwash point $(\overline{\xi}_r, \eta_s)$
<sup>x</sup> rs, λγ	value of x at an integration point
X	= x' - x
y <sub>s</sub>	value of y at a downwash point $(\overline{\xi}_r, \eta_s)$
<sup>y</sup> rs, y	value of y at an integration point
Y	= y' - y
$Z_{j}(x,y) \dots Z_{j}(x,y)$	modal deflection shapes
$\overline{Z}_{1}, \overline{Z}_{1}$ etc.	row matrices whose elements are the modal deflections
	evaluated at the lift points; see (21)
$\underline{Z}_1, \underline{Z}_1$ etc.	row matrices whose elements are the modal deflections
. –.	evaluated at the downwash points; see (21)
$\underline{Z}_{\mathbf{x}}, \underline{Z}_{\mathbf{x}}$	see following (21)
Z.Z.Z.Z	see following (20)
α,β	suffices associated with chordwise and spanwise variation
	of lift points respectively
β	$=\sqrt{(M^2-1)}$
Ϋ́	suffix associated with spanwise variation of integration
	points
ረ	variable for chordwise integration; see preceding (12)
ዲ	Gaussian zeros associated with weight function $k(\zeta)$

С

Ξ

. F

3

3

3

T

ç

Ĵ,

÷

î

SYMBOLS (CONTD)

η <sub>s</sub> ,η <sub>β</sub>	spanwise co-ordinates of downwash and lift points respectively
<sup>n</sup> rs,r n <sub>1</sub> ,n <sub>2</sub>	= $\bar{\eta}_{\gamma}(\bar{\xi}_{r}, \eta_{s})$ intersections of $\xi = \xi_{1}(\xi', \eta', \eta)$ with leading edge or tips = 200 Fig. 5
η <sub>γ</sub> (ξ',η') λ	value of $\eta$ when $\phi = \phi_{\gamma}$ suffix associated with chordwise variation of
N.	integration points from $r_{\rm constant} = \sqrt{2} \Lambda$
ξ,η	wing co-ordinates; see (4)
<sup>و</sup> ر.	chordwise co-ordinate of point at which lift is evaluated
- Er	chordwise co-ordinate of downwash point
<sup>5</sup> rs,λγ	value of $\xi$ when $\zeta = \zeta_{\lambda}$ , $\eta = \eta_{rs,\gamma}$
ξ <sub>1</sub> (ξ',η',η)	equation of reversed Mach lines through $(\xi',\eta')$ is $\xi = \xi_1(\xi',\eta',\eta)$
ξ <sub>M</sub> (ξ',η',η)	$= \min \{\xi_1(\xi',\eta',\eta), 1\}$
ρ	density
φ	spanwise integration variable; see text preceding (13)
•rs	value of $\phi$ at point $(\xi_r, \eta_s)$
ω	frequency

REFERENCES

061

đ

÷

3

5,

£

3

No.	Author	Title, etc.
1	J.R. Richardson	A method for calculating the lifting forces on wings (unsteady subsonic and supersonic lifting surface theory). A.R.C. R & M 3157 April 1955
2	G.Z. Harris	The calculation of generalised forces on oscillating wings in supersonic flow by lifting surface theory. A.R.C. R & M 3453 April 1965
3	H.J. Gawlik	Zeros of Legendre polynomials of orders 2 to 64 and weight coefficients of Gauss quadrature formulae. Royal Armament Research and Development Establishment Memorandum (B) 77/58 (1958)
4	H. Mineur	Techniques de calcul numérique. Librarie Polytechnique Ch. Béranger; Paris - Liège, 1952
5	F.B. Hildebrand	Introduction to numerical analysis. McGraw Hill, 1956
6	H. Multhopp	Methods for calculating the lift distribution on wings (subsonic lifting surface theory). A.R.C. R & M 2884, January 1950



ç

₹

ê

ſĘ

FIG. I THE SEGMENTED PLANFORM OF PROGRAMMES 178A, 180A, 181A AND 182A



FIG. 2 PLANFORM WITH SUBSONIC LEADING EDGE, MIXED TRAILING EDGE



S

Ì

3

đ

T

FIG.3 PLANFORM WITH CURVED SUBSONIC LEADING EDGE, STRAIGHT SUPERSONIC TRAILING EDGE



FIG.4 PLANFORM WITH CURVED MIXED LEADING EDGE, STRAIGHT SUPERSONIC TRAILING EDGE



Ç

5

Ŧ

ĉ

FIG.5 INTEGRATION AREA FOR EQUATION (8) OF APPENDIX B



FIG.6 LIFT AND DOWNWASH POINTS ON A DELTA WING WITH SUBSONIC LEADING EDGE, M=2, N=7



Printed in England for Her Majesty's Stationery Office by the Royal Aircraft Establishment, Farnborough. Dd.125875 K.4. đ

ર

Э

C

٩

A.R.C. CP. No.851 MERCUMY PROGRAMMES FOR LIFTING SURFACE THEORY CALCULATIONS ON WINGS OSCILLATING IN SUPERSONIC FLOW. Harris, G.Z. November 1964	681.14 : 533.6.013.13 : 533.69 : 533.6.011.5	A.R.C. CP. No.851 MERCURY PROGRAMMES FOR LIFTING SURFACE THEORY CALCULATIONS ON WINGS OSCILLATING IN SUPERSONIC FLOW. Harris, G.Z. November 1964	681.14: 533.6.013.13: 533.69: 533.6.011.5	
grammes for lifting surface theory calculations on wings oscillating supersonic flow are described. The computation falls into two parts, finding the complex influence matrices connecting lift and downwash, the other finding the generalised forces when the influence matrices given as data. The numerical method is described and values of con- nts used in the calculations are given.		Programmes for lifting surface theory calculations on wings oscillating in supersonic flow are described. The computation falls into two parts, one finding the complex influence matrices connecting lift and downwash, and the other finding the generalised forces when the influence matrices are given as data. The numerical method is described and values of con- stants used in the calculations are given.		
ſ		A.R.C. CP. NO.851 MERCURY PROGRAMMES FOR LIFTING SURFACE THEORY CALCULATIONS ON WINGS OSCILLATING IN SUPERSONIC FLOW. Harris, G.Z. November 1964	681.14 : 533.6.013.13 : 533.69 : 533.6.011.5	
		Programmes for lifting surface theory calculations of in supersonic flow are described. The computation f one finding the complex influence matrices connectin and the other finding the generalised forces when th are given as data. The numerical method is describe stants used in the calculations are given.	ace theory calculations on wings oscillating ribed. The computation falls into two parts, luence matrices connecting lift and downwash, generalised forces when the influence matrices merical method is described and values of con- tions are given.	

ì

•

**3**)

ø

(9)

r)
• • -

•

© Crown Copyright 1966

Published by Her Majesty's Stationery Office

To be purchased from 49 High Holborn, London w.C.1 423 Oxford Street, London w.1 13A Castle Street, Edinburgh 2 109 St. Mary Street, Cardiff Brazennose Street, Manchester 2 50 Fairfax Street, Bristol 1 35 Smallbrook, Ringway, Birmingham 5 80 Chichester Street, Belfast 1 or through any bookseller

## C.P. No. 851

S.O. CODE No. 23-9016-51